

Katharine Gerrity

From: Katharine Gerrity
Sent: Wednesday, November 02, 2016 7:14 PM
To: jim@larsenrice.com; Senator Jim Rice; Representative Terry Gestrin; Senator Lee Heider; Senator Mark Harris; Senator Grant Burgoyne; Representative Thomas "Tom" E. Dayley; Representative Brandon Hixon; Representative Mathew Erpelding
Subject: Fwd: IS Working Group Questions

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message: just received from ISDA. Forwarding FYI. Thank you. Katharine

From: Lloyd Knight <Lloyd.Knight@ISDA.IDAHO.GOV>
Date: November 2, 2016 at 6:23:26 PM MDT
To: Katharine Gerrity <kgerrity@lso.idaho.gov>
Cc: "Celia R. Gould" <Celia.Gould@ISDA.IDAHO.GOV>, "Pamela L. Juker" <Pamela.Juker@ISDA.IDAHO.GOV>, Brian Oakey <Brian.Oakey@ISDA.IDAHO.GOV>, Chanel Tewalt <Chanel.Tewalt@ISDA.IDAHO.GOV>, Adam Schroeder <Adam.Schroeder@ISDA.IDAHO.GOV>, Ray Houston <rhouston@lso.idaho.gov>
Subject: IS Working Group Questions

Katharine –

Responses to the follow-up questions from the last IS Working Group meeting are below. As always, please let me know if there are any other questions or requests that we can address.

Thanks.

- 1) Rice to Knight – How would cost be affected if LEAs (law enforcement agencies – especially Fish and Game) did inspections while providing enforcement.
 - a. We understand the working group has asked IDFG for additional cost regarding law enforcement operation of stations, and the working group received information from ISP regarding their costs. We are working with Ray Houston to develop a more detailed breakdown of our costs for station operations, as well as the total of program activities. It is our understanding from Mr. Houston that this will be helpful for the deliberations of the committee.
- 2) Burgoyne to Knight – Data showing number of Idaho residents vs. non-residents that were inspected.
 - a. We are still uploading and analyzing data from our 2016 station inspection season, but we can look at 2015 data in order to assess residents vs. non-residents.
 - b. We were able to compare 2015 vs. 2016 data for the Franklin station. In 2015, 11% of the boats inspected in Franklin were Idaho residents and 89% non-residents. In 2016, that same station inspected 32% residents and 68% non-residents.
 - c. Here is the total data set for 2015:

2015 Stations	% Resident	% Non-Resident
Albeni Falls	20	80
Bear Lake	21	79
Bruneau	88	12

Cedars	43	57
Clark Fork	67	33
Cotterell	20	80
Franklin	11	89
Glendale	50	50
Huetter	24	76
Hwy 20	42	58
Hwy 53	8	92
Hwy 87	45	55
Hwy 93	30	70
Malad	10	90
Marsing	43	57
Redfish Lake	88	12
Samuels	57	43
Treasureton	31	69
Twin Lake	69	31
Weston	32	68
Combined	58	42

d.

3) Hixon to Knight – Requested report from regional database discussions at the WRP meeting attended by Tom Woolf

- a. Tom and Stephen Cox have had a couple of different conversations with staff from Utah and Colorado, as well as the software company that operates the “Colorado” database program. Here are some points from that discussion:
- b. The program is hosted by a company called Istonish, and runs on a \$117,000/year budget and is funded through federal grants. If and when federal funds are no longer available, those agencies that utilize the system would have to pick up the cost of operation. There are currently no license fees for using the system. Agencies are responsible for all hardware costs and troubleshooting.
- c. Data is stored on servers allowing access anywhere with an internet connection. There are limited search abilities on data while it is stored on servers. Data compiled by other participating agencies (Colorado, Utah...) can be viewed but not changed. The only data that can be downloaded for sorting, etc. is that compiled from the stations operated by the participating state. (Colorado can only download Colorado’s data)
- d. Notification to participating agencies of a particular boat is created by management accessing the system, not necessarily at the boat inspection station. In other words, it takes the same action to push the notification to the same emails that we currently receive.
- e. The current software system that we run at ISDA cost \$2,000 in up-front costs, and we can continue to run the current software system at ISDA for the invasive species and other programs for no additional ongoing or future costs. We have the ability to search data within our ISDA server. We are planning to monitor the continued development and ongoing stability of the Colorado system but will be retaining our current system.

4) Utah station operation: We have been able to confirm a couple of things from the Utah program that were mentioned at the Bear Lake meeting.

- a. First, Utah is working to put a station in place at a new location outside of Logan that would replace the current station in Garden City. As discussed with the working group

at the Bear Lake meeting, they are hoping that this will assist in some logistical challenges that Utah DNR is having with the Garden City.

- b. Second, we understand that Utah is currently planning to run two 24 hour stations next year. One, on I-15 at St. George; and the second catching traffic from Lake Powell.

Lloyd B. Knight

Administrator, Division of Plant Industries

Idaho State Department of Agriculture

Office: (208) 332-8664

Mobile: (208) 859-4173