Dear Senators JOHNSON, Bayer, Burgoyne, and Representatives COLLINS, Kauffman, Erpelding:

The Legislative Services Office, Research and Legislation, has received the enclosed rules of the State Tax Commission:
IDAPA 35.01.03 - Property Tax Administrative Rules - Proposed Rule (Docket No. 35-0103-1801).

Pursuant to Section 67-454, Idaho Code, a meeting on the enclosed rules may be called by the cochairmen or by two (2) or more members of the subcommittee giving oral or written notice to Research and Legislation no later than fourteen (14) days after receipt of the rules' analysis from Legislative Services. The final date to call a meeting on the enclosed rules is no later than 10/05/2018. If a meeting is called, the subcommittee must hold the meeting within forty-two (42) days of receipt of the rules’ analysis from Legislative Services. The final date to hold a meeting on the enclosed rules is 11/05/2018.

The germane joint subcommittee may request a statement of economic impact with respect to a proposed rule by notifying Research and Legislation. There is no time limit on requesting this statement, and it may be requested whether or not a meeting on the proposed rule is called or after a meeting has been held.

To notify Research and Legislation, call 334-4854, or send a written request to the address on the memorandum attached below.
MEMORANDUM

TO: Rules Review Subcommittee of the Senate Local Government & Taxation Committee and the House Revenue & Taxation Committee
FROM: Division Manager - Kristin Ford
DATE: September 18, 2018
SUBJECT: State Tax Commission

IDAPA 35.01.03 - Property Tax Administrative Rules - Proposed Rule (Docket No. 35-0103-1801)

Summary and Stated Reasons for the Rule
The State Tax Commission submits notice of proposed rulemaking relating to Property Taxes. This docket amends eleven rules as follows:

1. Rule 312 is being amended to provide that property changing status from exempt to taxable becomes taxable quarterly and the assessment is prorated. This rule conforms with Idaho Code 63-602Y.

2. Rule 408 is being amended to provide that preliminary operating property values will be sent to county assessors so that assessors will have time to file a complaint concerning the valuation or allocation of the value of operating property, if needed.

3. Rule 610 is being amended to provide that the ownership interests specified in a deed take priority over the ownership interest guidance given regarding the homeowner's property tax exemption.

4. Rule 613 relates to the determination of the taxable portion of the value of agricultural land. The amendment to this rule provides that rents and expenses from whole farms may be used in determining economic rent, defines "typical expenses" and defines the "agricultural area" from which rents and expenses are to be extracted. The rule docket also provides an example of how to calculate the rent attributable to exempt irrigation equipment.

5. Rule 614 also relates to the determination of the taxable value of crops grown in an "agricultural area." The amendment to the rule adds examples of how to compute the value by using a combination of both individual crop cash rent and crop share, updates examples of how the rent attributable to exempt irrigation equipment is deducted from the total rent, and updates amounts of rents, expenses, yields and prices that are closer to current expectations.

6. Rule 630 is being amended to enable the administration of the New Capital Investment tax exemption for operating property owners in conformance with the passage of 2018 HB 591. It adds exemption application requirements for centrally assessed operating property and application requirements for both locally and centrally assessed property, provides notification requirements for taxpayers whose property will no longer meet
the qualified new capital investment requirements, and provides for the continuation of the tax exemption following the end of the qualifying period for centrally assessed operating property.

7. Rule 709, similar to Rule 610, is being amended to clarify that the ownership interests specified in a deed take priority over the examples of ownership interests given regarding the property tax relief (circuit breaker) exemption. (Note: the summary on the first page of the docket lists Rule 702 instead of Rule 709 in error. However, the text of the docket reflects the Rule 709 amendment. A correction to the descriptive summary will be published in the October 2018 Bulletin.)

8. Rule 802 relates to the budget certification relating to new construction and annexation. This rule is being amended to conform with 2018 HB 559, which provides that a provisional property tax exemption may be given to an owner in the process of constructing or renovating a property for a tax exempt purpose. The law was retroactive so the rule amendment provides for the deduction of certain new construction roll values in certain instances and provides for changes in status of property that has received a provisional property tax exemption and the resulting effects on the new construction roll. The rule is also being amended to provide for the treatment of taxable value included in the base value of a revenue allocation area but not included previously on any new construction roll and otherwise eligible to be included on a new construction roll as the result of a land use classification change.

9. Rule 803 is also being amended to account for properties that have provisional property tax exemptions. The amended rule creates a "Recovered/recaptured property tax and refund list" that will account for refunds related to provisional property tax exemptions and for revoked provisional property tax exemptions. It also changes the date by which a county clerk shall notify taxing units of the amount of gross earnings tax on solar farms, pursuant to the passage of 2018 HB 392, and it provides special provisions for determining the maximum budget limitation for consolidated cemetery districts, pursuant to the passage of 2018 HB 567.

10. Rule 804 is being amended to clarify whether or not the base assessment roll includes property that existed in the revenue allocation area at the time of RAA formation and subsequently becomes taxable. In addition, the rule is being amended to provide a specific example of how to treat property in the event that the property is no longer exempt as a plant investment project, and the property was within a revenue allocation area when the exemption was granted, or was annexed to a revenue allocation area during the period of the exemption.

11. Rule 962 sets forth the process by which a county assessor may change a forest parcel's productivity class, including minimum requirements for landowner notification, inspector qualifications and exemptions, and document retention. This rule conforms to the 2018 statutory amendment to Idaho Code 63-1705.

**Negotiated Rulemaking / Fiscal Impact**

The agency states that negotiated rulemaking was conducted. The Notice of Intent to Promulgate Rules -- Negotiated Rulemaking was published in the May 2, 2018 edition of the Idaho Administrative Bulletin, Vol. 18-5, pages 143-145. No fiscal impact is expected.

**Statutory Authority**

The proposed rule appears to be within the agency's statutory authority pursuant to sections 63-105(2), 63-602Y, 63-602K(4), 63-4502, 63-1305C, 27-121, 63-3503B, 50-2903, 63-602NN, and 63-1705, Idaho Code.

cc: State Tax Commission
Kimberlee Stratton

*** PLEASE NOTE ***
Per the Idaho Constitution, all administrative rules must be reviewed by the Legislature during the next legislative session. The Legislature has 3 options with this rulemaking docket: 1) Approve the docket in its entirety; 2) Reject the docket in its entirety; or 3) Reject the docket in part.
AUTHORITY: In compliance with Section 67-5221(1), Idaho Code, notice is hereby given that this agency has initiated proposed rulemaking procedures. The action is authorized pursuant to Sections 67-5221(1) and 63-105(a), Idaho Code.

PUBLIC HEARING SCHEDULE: Public hearing(s) concerning this rulemaking will be scheduled if requested in writing by twenty-five (25) persons, a political subdivision, or an agency, not later than September 19, 2018.

The hearing site(s) will be accessible to persons with disabilities. Requests for accommodation must be made not later than five (5) days prior to the hearing, to the agency address below.

DESCRIPTIVE SUMMARY: The following is a nontechnical explanation of the substance and purpose of the proposed rulemaking:

Rule 312 changes the time when government-owned property transferred to a private owner becomes taxable. The proposed change will conform with Section 63-602Y, Idaho Code, providing that property changing status from exempt status to taxable status becomes taxable quarterly and the assessment is prorated accordingly.

Rule 408, Subsection 408.02 requires final values of operating properties be sent to county assessors. Subsection 408.03 currently states that July 15 is the date by which county assessors may file a complaint concerning the valuation or allocation of the value. The operating property values are not final values until after the Tax Commission meets in August as the State Board of Equalization. This rule corrects a timing problem by changing Subsection 408.02 final values to preliminary values which are available in early July.

Rule 610 will explain that the primary guidance in determining partial ownership relative to the homeowners exemption program is the specific language found in the transfer deed.

Rule 613 provides that rents and expenses from whole farms may be used and provides a definition for “Agricultural Area” from which rents and expenses are to be extracted. The rule provides both a narrative description and an example of how to calculate the rent attributable to exempt irrigation equipment.

Rule 614 states and adds an example of computing the value by using a combination of both individual crop cash rent and crop share. The rule clarifies that only crops grown on a typical farm in an “Agricultural Area” should be included in the crop rotation. All examples are up-dated to show the rent attributable to exempt irrigation equipment deducted from the total rent prior to capitalization. All examples are up-dated to show amounts of rents, expenses, yields and prices that are closer to current expectations.

Rule 630: HB591 (2018) made operating property eligible for the New Capital Investment exemption. This rule is changed to enable the administration of the exemption for operating property owners as well as the owners of locally assessed property. The rule require taxpayers to apply to the Tax Commission for the exemption whenever operating property is involved in the exemption.

Rule 702: HB492 (2018) provides a benefit of property tax reduction for veterans with a 100% service connected disability. This rule clarifies that benefit continues for a surviving spouse but the surviving spouse may not transfer the benefit to a different homestead. The rule further clarifies that if an otherwise eligible claimant dies between January 1 and April 14 the spouse may file an application on behalf of the deceased veteran and receive the benefit.

Rule 802: HB559 (2018) created a retroactive provisional exemption which may be granted to property that will be used for an exempt purpose. This rule provides for the deduction from the new construction listing for any exemption of property that was previously added to the new construction listing. In addition, Section 63-301A, Idaho Code, and Subsection 802.06 provides that new construction is not counted in a revenue allocation area (RAA) until the RAA dissolves. This rule explains how new construction is counted when a dissolving RAA has expanded into a taxing district or when a taxing district has expanded into an RAA.
Rule 803: HB559 (2018) created a provisional property tax exemption, HB392 (2018) clarified the dates when solar farm gross earnings receipts are to be reported and deducted from property tax revenue, and HB567(a) revised the levy process to follow when cemetery taxing districts consolidate. The changes describe the handling of revenue distributed or refunds made pertaining to the new provisional exemption found in Section 63-602(4), Idaho Code. The dates for reporting certain gross earnings tax are changed to June 30 and the deduction of the solar revenue from the property tax budget is explained. A detailed explanation of how to compute levies for consolidating cemetery taxing districts is provided.

Rule 804 will clarify whether or not the “base assessment roll” includes the property that existed in the revenue allocation area (RAA) at the time of RAA formation and subsequently became taxable. The rule explains a special case for exemption provided in section 63-602NN, Idaho Code. Upon loss of the exemption, any newly taxable value in excess of the taxable value of the property in the year immediately preceding the first year of the exemption is to be added to the increment value provided the property was within an RAA when the exemption was granted and remains within the RAA at the time the exemption expires. If the parcel was annexed to an RAA during the period of the exemption, the value that would have been added to the base value at the time of annexation had the property not received the exemption would be added to the base at the time the exemption expires, while any remaining taxable value would be added to the increment. If the exemption has been granted in part, the adjustments provided in this subparagraph shall only apply to the portion of the property granted the exemption.

Rule 962 will adopt the process by which the county assessor may change a forest parcel’s productivity class. The process, at a minimum, will set forth requirements for landowner notification, inspector qualifications and retention of documents.

FEE SUMMARY: The following is a specific description of the fee or charge imposed or increased: N/A

FISCAL IMPACT: The following is a specific description, if applicable, of any negative fiscal impact on the state general fund greater than ten thousand dollars ($10,000) during the fiscal year resulting from this rulemaking: N/A

NEGOTIATED RULEMAKING: Pursuant to Section 67-5220(1), Idaho Code, negotiated rulemaking was conducted. The Notice of Intent to Promulgate Rules – Negotiated Rulemaking was published in the May 2, 2018 Idaho Administrative Bulletin, Volume 18-5, pages 143-144.

INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE: Pursuant to Section 67-5229(2)(a), Idaho Code, the following is a brief synopsis of why the materials cited are being incorporated by reference into this rule: N/A

ASSISTANCE ON TECHNICAL QUESTIONS, SUBMISSION OF WRITTEN COMMENTS: For assistance on technical questions concerning the proposed rule, contact Alan Dornfest at (208) 334-7742.

Anyone may submit written comments regarding this proposed rulemaking. All written comments must be directed to the undersigned and must be delivered on or before September 26, 2018.

Dated this 3rd day of August, 2018.

Alan Dornfest
Tax Policy Supervisor
State Tax Commission/Property Tax
P.O. Box 36
Boise, ID 83722-0410
(208) 334-7742
312. PARTIAL YEAR ASSESSMENT OF REAL AND PERSONAL PROPERTY (RULE 312).
Sections 63-311 and 63-602Y, Idaho Code

01. Quarterly Assessment. For each partial year assessment of any non-transient personal property, the assessment shall comply with the quarterly schedules provided in Sections 63-311 and 63-602Y, Idaho Code.

02. Change of Status. The real or personal property that has a change of status as described in Section 63-602Y, Idaho Code, does not includes exempt governmental federal or state of Idaho property. The Such property of the United States, except when taxation thereof is authorized by the Congress of the United States, the this state and its instrumentalities, including counties, cities, urban renewal agencies, school districts, and other taxing districts, that is transferred to a private non-exempt owner continues to maintain a non-taxable status until January 1 of the year immediately after transfer. However, property owned by an urban renewal agency that is transferred to a private owner is subject to property tax according to the proration as described in Section 63-602Y, Idaho Code or otherwise ceases to qualify for a property tax exemption is to be assessed as described in Section 63-602Y, Idaho Code.

03. Cross Reference. The partial year assessment of any non-transient personal property shall comply with the Idaho Supreme Court decision in Xerox Corporation v. Ada County Assessor, 101 Idaho 138, 609 P.2d 1129 (1980). When assessing all non-transient personal property, each assessor should be aware of the following quotation from this decision: “Where the county undertakes to update its initial (personal property) declarations during the course of the tax year, it cannot increase a taxpayer’s tax burden to reflect the taxpayer’s acquisition of non-exempt property without decreasing that tax burden to reflect the fact that property reported by the taxpayer in an earlier declaration was no longer subject to the county’s ad valorem tax.” (Clarification added.)

04. Effective Date. In the interest of addressing all property transfers made from the public sector to private ownership within the same year (2019) in a consistent manner, as per the proration schedule in Section 63-602Y, Idaho Code, the effective date for “Rule 312” is to be January 1, 2019.
408, Idaho Code, the staff of the State Tax Commission shall schedule a meeting between the staff appraiser(s) who performed the valuation and allocation and the complainant. Notice of this meeting shall be sent to the taxpayer in question. At this meeting, the staff appraiser(s) shall answer the complainant’s questions to the best of his knowledge. The taxpayer or representative may participate in this meeting. (7-1-99)

(BREAK IN CONTINUITY OF SECTIONS)

610. PROPERTY EXEMPT FROM TAXATION -- RESIDENTIAL IMPROVEMENTS -- SPECIAL SITUATIONS (RULE 610).
Sections 63-602G and 63-701(2), Idaho Code

01. Scope. This rule addresses issues relating to the homeowner’s exemption as it applies to certain unusual factual situations. It states general principles applicable to unusual cases and provides some illustrative examples. The rule cannot address every conceivable situation that may arise, but the principles established may apply to the resolution of situations not addressed in the rule. (7-1-99)

02. Definitions. The following definitions apply to this rule: (4-7-11)

a. Dual Residency Couples. As used in this rule, “dual residency couple” means a husband and wife, each of whom has established a different dwelling place as his or her primary dwelling place as defined in Section 63-602G, Idaho Code, and Subsection 609.03 of these rules. (4-2-08)

b. Multidwelling or Multipurpose Building. “Multidwelling or Multipurpose Building” means a building which is the primary dwelling place of the owner and which has a portion used for any purpose other than the primary dwelling place of the owner. (4-7-11)

c. Related Land. “Related Land” means land, not to exceed one (1) acre, that is reasonably necessary for the use of the dwelling as a home. (4-7-11)

03. Dual Residency Couples -- General Principles. (7-1-99)

a. Whether a particular residential improvement is an individual’s primary dwelling place is a question of fact for each individual. Each spouse of a dual residency couple can maintain a separate primary dwelling place for purposes of the homeowner’s exemption. The test to be applied is the general test set out in Subsection 609.03 of these rules. (4-2-08)

b. If a residential improvement is community property, either the husband or wife may exercise full management or control over it, except that neither the husband nor the wife can sell or encumber the property without the written consent of the other. Thus, either the husband or the wife can file an application for the homeowner’s exemption regarding community property on his or her own authority. The signature of the other spouse is not required on the application. See Section 32-912, Idaho Code. (7-1-99)

c. Neither spouse is a partial owner of community property. (This principle is an exception to laws generally governing community property interests. It applies only for matters relating to the homeowner’s exemption or the circuit breaker property tax relief program. See Section 63-701(7) Idaho Code.) Thus, there is no authority to reduce the value of the improvement proportionally to reflect one (1) spouse’s ownership in community property before determining the amount of the homeowner’s exemption. For purposes of the homeowner’s exemption, a community property interest is treated the same as a full ownership interest. (3-15-02)

d. An owner may apply only once for the homeowner’s exemption. See Section 63-602G(c), Idaho Code. Thus, an application by one (1) spouse regarding a residential improvement that is community property, precludes the other spouse from making a second application on any other residential improvement whether held by the other spouse as community or separate property except as provided in Subsection 610.07. (3-15-02)

04. Example -- Both Residences are Community Property. (7-1-99)
a. Each member of a dual residency couple maintains his or her primary dwelling in a different residential improvement, each of which is owned by the couple as community property. Each applies for the homeowner’s exemption for the residence in which he or she resides. (7-1-99)

b. The first application is valid. Any subsequent application, though filed by the other spouse, is not valid because the couple can not make more than one (1) application. The homeowner’s exemption applies to the full value of the first residential improvement to qualify without any proportional reduction. The other residential improvement does not qualify. (3-15-02)

05. Example -- One Residence Is Community Property, the Other Is Separate Property. (7-1-99)

a. Each member of a dual residency couple maintains his or her primary dwelling in a different residential improvement. One (1) is owned by the spouse who resides in it as his or her separate property, the other is owned by the couple as community property. Each applies for the homeowner’s exemption for the residence in which he or she resides. (7-1-99)

b. The first application is valid. Any subsequent application, though filed by the other spouse, is not valid. If the first application relates to the community property, it is an application on behalf of both members of the community. Thus, the other spouse can not file a second application relating to his or her separate property. If the first application relates to the separate property, then the subsequent application relating to the community property is a second application by the spouse owning the separate property and is not valid. The homeowner’s exemption applies to the full value of the first residential improvement to qualify without any proportional reduction. The other residential improvement does not qualify. (3-15-02)

06. Example -- Both Residences are Separate Property. (7-1-99)

a. Each member of a dual residency couple maintains his or her primary dwelling in a different residential improvement, each of which is owned by the spouse residing in it as his or her separate property. Each applies for the homeowner’s exemption for the residence in which he or she resides. (7-1-99)

b. Both residential improvements qualify for the full homeowner’s exemption. Neither application is a second application by the same owner. Each spouse is a sole owner of the residential improvement, so the proportional reduction provisions for partial ownership do not apply. (7-1-99)

07. Apportionment of Homeowner's Exemption by Dual Residency Couples. Both spouses of a dual residency couple may elect to equally apportion the homeowner’s exemption between the two (2) residential improvements if each files a written election with the county assessor of the county in which each property is located. When the election is made each residential improvement shall be entitled to one-half (1/2) of the exemption applicable to that property alone. The total exempted value of both properties shall not exceed the amount of exemption available to the individual residential improvement with the greatest market value if no election were made. (4-5-00)

08. Multiple Ownships Including Community Interests as Partial Owners. A community property interest in a residential improvement is a partial ownership when combined with the ownership of another individual who is not a member of the marital community. For example, if a deed conveys title to real property to a husband and wife and to an adult child of theirs, the husband and wife hold a community property interest in the improvement and the child is a tenant-in-common provided ownership interests are not specified in the deed. The parents collectively hold a one-half (1/2) partial interest and the child holds a one-half (1/2) partial interest in the property. Ownership interests specified in the deed supersedes this guidance. Qualification of the property for the homeowner’s exemption is as follows: (3-28-18)

a. If the residential improvement is the primary dwelling of the husband and wife but not the child, the homeowner's exemption applies to one-half (1/2) of the value of the improvement. (3-28-18)

b. If the residential improvement is the primary dwelling of the child, but not of the husband or wife, the homeowner's exemption applies to one-half (1/2) of the value of the improvement. (3-28-18)
c. If the residential improvement is the primary dwelling of the husband, wife and child, the homeowner’s exemption applies to the full value of the improvement.

d. If the residential improvement is the primary dwelling of one (1) spouse but of neither the other spouse nor the child, the homeowner’s exemption applies to one-half (1/2) of the value of the improvement unless the residential improvement of the other spouse has previously qualified for the homeowner’s exemption under the dual residency couple rules set out in Subsections 610.02 through 610.07. The one-half (1/2) qualification results from the statutory provision that a community property interest is not considered a partial interest of either spouse. See Paragraph 610.03.c. of this rule.

e. If the residential improvement is the primary dwelling of one (1) spouse and the child, the homeowner’s exemption applies to the full value of the improvement unless the residential improvement of the other spouse has previously qualified for the homeowner’s exemption under the dual residency couple rules set out in Subsections 610.02 through 610.07.

09. Determining the Qualifying Portion of a Multidwelling or Multipurpose Building and the Related Land. The portion of a Multidwelling or Multipurpose Building and Related Land used for the primary dwelling place of the owner qualifies for the homeowner’s exemption. When determining the value of the qualifying portion, the assessor shall include the Related Land value.
02. **Calculation of Rent Attributable to Exempt Irrigation Equipment**. Rent attributable to exempt irrigation equipment is calculated in the following manner.

a. Rent of irrigated cropland. To determine the rents of irrigated cropland free of income attributable to exempt irrigation equipment, find rents of cropland that utilize non-mechanized irrigation practices, such as gravity fed furrow. If no information is available, use the reserves for replacement calculation to determine the income attributable to the exempt irrigation equipment.

b. Irrigation system. Determine the irrigation system typically found in the area.

c. Reserves for replacement calculation of irrigation systems cost. Determine the replacement cost new of the irrigation system.

d. Acres irrigated. Determine the number of acres irrigated by the irrigation system. To calculate the irrigation system cost per acre, divide the irrigation equipment cost by the number of acres serviced by the irrigation equipment.

e. Reserves for replacement. To determine the reserves required to replace the irrigation system, divide the cost of the irrigation equipment per acre by the estimated number of years in the economic life of the irrigation system.

f. Income attributable to the exempt irrigation system. Multiply the per acre reserves for replacement of the irrigation system by the five year rolling average interest rate required by Section 63-602K, Idaho Code, plus a component for the local tax rate.

g. The rent attributable to exempt irrigation equipment. The rent attributable to exempt irrigation equipment shall be deducted from the gross income received by the landlord as a part of a whole farm or individual crop cash rent, or crop share rental agreement.

h. The following table demonstrates the calculations of the income attributable to irrigation equipment given hypothetical data:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calculations of the income attributable to irrigation equipment (given hypothetical data):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Replacement Cost New of the Irrigation Equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acres Serviced by the Irrigation Equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irrigation Equipment Cost Per Acre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserves for Replacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Yr Interest Rate (Section 63-602K, Idaho Code)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Levy Rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capitalization Rate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Formula: Income = Value X Capitalization Rate**

Income Attributable to Exempt Irrigation Equipment = $33.33 X 6.96% = $2.32

023. **Calculation of Net Income from Cash Rent**. Net Income from cash rent is calculated in the following manner.

(4-5-00)
a. Crops Grown. Determine the crops typically grown in the area. (4-5-00)

b. Economic Rent. Determine the average per acre gross income from individual crop cash rents or whole farm cash rents reported and typical to in the Agricultural Area over the immediate past five (5) years growing seasons. If sufficient data from local farmers is not reported, data typical in the Agricultural Area from third party providers such as the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), or the National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS), may be used. (4-5-00)

c. Landlord’s Expenses. Determine the landlord’s share of typically contracted expenses paid in the immediately preceding growing season. (4-5-00)

d. Landlord’s Net Income. Subtract the landlord’s share of typically contracted expenses from the average gross income per acre for the immediately preceding growing season to determine net income. (4-5-00)

03. Calculation of Net Income from Crop Share Rent. Net income from crop share rent is calculated in the following manner. (4-5-00)

a. Crops Grown. Determine the crops typically grown in the area. (4-5-00)

b. Average Crop Production. Determine average crop production per acre based on the most recent immediate past five (5) years growing seasons. (4-5-00)

c. Average Commodity Prices. Determine average commodity prices based on the most recent immediate past five (5) years growing seasons. (4-5-00)

d. Gross Income. Multiply average crop production per acre times the average commodity price to determine gross income per acre. (4-5-00)

e. Landlord’s Share of Gross Income. Determine the landlord’s share of gross income per acre from a crop rotation typical to the Agricultural Area. (4-5-00)

f. Expenses. Determine the landlord’s share of water, fertilizer, chemical, seed, electricity, materials and application of materials, management and harvest cost per acre for the immediately preceding growing season. (4-5-00)

g. Net Income. Subtract the landlord’s share of expenses from the landlord’s share of gross income to determine net income. (4-5-00)

045. Determination of Five Year Average Crop Prices. The State Tax Commission shall determine five (5) year average crop prices to be used in determining net income by surveying publicly available data from various sources, including the annual crop summary published by the Idaho Agricultural Statistics Service. Average crop prices determined in this manner by the State Tax Commission shall be considered guidelines in determining net income, subject to modification based on local market data. (4-5-00)

056. Farm Credit System Interest Rate. Annually, the State Tax Commission shall calculate the five (5) year rolling average Farm Credit System interest rate (FCSIR). Using the twenty (20) year fixed rate interest rates received bi-monthly from Northwest Farm Credit Services in Spokane, Washington, calculate the average Farm Credit System interest rate for the prior year applying the formula in Paragraph 613.056.a. of this rule. Calculate the five (5) year rolling average Farm Credit System interest rate applying the formula in Paragraph 613.056.b. of this rule. (4-5-00)

a. Formula for Calculating Average Farm Credit System Interest Rate for Prior Year. FCSIR5 = (R1 + R2 + R3 + R4 + R5 + R6 + R7 + R8 + R9 + R10 + R11 + R12)/12.
b. Formula for Calculating Five (5) Year Rolling Average Farm Credit System Interest Rate. \[ \text{FCSIR} = \frac{(\text{FCSIR}_1 + \text{FCSIR}_2 + \text{FCSIR}_3 + \text{FCSIR}_4 + \text{FCSIR}_5)}{5}. \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Average Farm Credit System Interest Rate Variable Definitions:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FCSIR(_5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(_1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(_2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(_3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(_4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(_5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(_6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(_7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(_8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(_9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(_{10})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(_{11})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(_{12})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(3-30-07)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Five Year Rolling Average Farm Credit System Interest Rate Variable Definitions:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FCSIR(_5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCSIR(_4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Notification. In addition to providing notification of the Farm Credit System interest rate, the State Tax Commission will annually notify each county assessor of the most recent five (5) year average crop prices for the state.

Cross Reference. For agricultural land taxable value calculation examples, see Rule 614 of these rules. For eligibility criteria, see Rule 645 of these rules. For information relating to Christmas tree farms, other annual forest products, and yield tax, see Rule 968 of these rules.

SPECULATIVE PORTION OF VALUE OF AGRICULTURAL LAND - EXAMPLES (RULE 614).
Sections 63-602K and 63-604, Idaho Code. The following examples show calculations for the taxable value of agricultural land. The example in Subsection 614.01 of this rule shows one (1) calculation of an average property tax rate, the example in Subsection 614.02 of this rule shows one (1) calculation of a capitalization rate (cap rate), the example in Subsection 614.03 of this rule shows calculations using individual crop cash rent agreements, and the example in Subsection 614.04 of this rule shows calculations using crop share agreements. Only the crops grown on a typical farm in an Agricultural Area as defined in Rule 613 of these rules should be included in the crop rotation. The choice to use cash rent or crop share analysis or a combination of both in determining the taxable value of agricultural land should be predicated on the quantity and quality of data available and the analysis that produces the most reliable and supportable value conclusion.

Average Property Tax Rate Calculation Example.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tax Code Areas</th>
<th>Property Tax Rates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.1323951%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.1186222%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.1226782%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.1714841%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.1674300%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.0692041%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.1603100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.1323951%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.1323951%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
02. Capitalization Rate Calculation Example.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capitalization Rate Calculation Example:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average Property Tax Rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-Year Average Farm Credit Bank Interest Rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Capitalization Rate (Cap Rate)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(3-30-07)

03. Cash Rent Agreement Calculation Analysis Examples:

Individual Crop Cash Rent Example:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crops</th>
<th>Contract Rents Per Acre (Land Only)</th>
<th>Rotation In Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Income Per Acre</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barley</td>
<td>$100 150.00</td>
<td>14.42%</td>
<td>$14.42 21.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beans</td>
<td>$400 150.00</td>
<td>22.46%</td>
<td>$22.46 33.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beets</td>
<td>$370 300.00</td>
<td>20.33 11.00%</td>
<td>$34.56 33.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corn/Grain</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corn/Silage</td>
<td>$110.00</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hay/Alfalfa</td>
<td>$490 150.00</td>
<td>21.32 23.00%</td>
<td>$25.56 34.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potatoes</td>
<td>$200 350.00</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$0.00 31.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat</td>
<td>$400 150.00</td>
<td>21.48%</td>
<td>$21.48 31.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peas</td>
<td>$125.00</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oats</td>
<td>$110.00</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Income Per Acre $184,50

Value per acre equals net income per acre divided by Cap rate:

| Total Gross Income Per Acre | $184,50 |
| Less Water Costs            | $23,26 00 |
| Less Electricity Cost       | $20.00 |
| Less Management (@ 5%)      | $5,93 9.22 |
| Less Income Attributable to Exempt Irrigation Equipment | $2.32 |

Total Net Income Per Acre $88,57 126.96
Whole Farm Cash Rent Analysis Example.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Gross Income Per Acre</th>
<th>$184.50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capitalization Rate</td>
<td>6.96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole Farm Cash Rent Value Per Acre</td>
<td>$1824.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

04. Crop Share Agreement Calculation Analysis Example:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crop</th>
<th>Yield</th>
<th>Price</th>
<th>Gross Income</th>
<th>Landlord Share</th>
<th>Landlord Share Gross Income to Land</th>
<th>Rotation Percent</th>
<th>Per Acre Share of Gross Inc. to Land</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barley</td>
<td>400 130.00</td>
<td>$2.83 3.00</td>
<td>$283 390.00</td>
<td>32.22 50.00%</td>
<td>$94.32 195.00</td>
<td>14.4200%</td>
<td>13.60 27.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beans</td>
<td>20 25.00</td>
<td>$21.20 27.00</td>
<td>$424 675.00</td>
<td>32.22 50.00%</td>
<td>$141.32 337.50</td>
<td>22.4600%</td>
<td>31.74 74.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beets</td>
<td>23 38.00</td>
<td>$39.74 45.00</td>
<td>$914.02 1710.00</td>
<td>25.00 33.33%</td>
<td>$228.54 569.94</td>
<td>20.33 11.00%</td>
<td>46.46 62.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G/Com</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>$3.22 0.00</td>
<td>$0.00 33.33%</td>
<td>$0.00 0.00%</td>
<td>$0.00 0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00 0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S/Com</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>$24.40</td>
<td>$0.00 33.33%</td>
<td>$0.00 0.00%</td>
<td>$0.00 0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00 0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hay</td>
<td>6.60 6.00</td>
<td>$84.10 120.00</td>
<td>$462.55 720.00</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
<td>$231.28 360.00</td>
<td>21.32 23.00%</td>
<td>49.21 82.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potatoes</td>
<td>450.00</td>
<td>$4.74 5.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>2250.00 33.33%</td>
<td>$0.00 749.92</td>
<td>3.9 0.00%</td>
<td>0.00 67.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat</td>
<td>98 120.00</td>
<td>$3.73 4.50</td>
<td>$365.54 540.00</td>
<td>25.00 33.33%</td>
<td>$231.28 360.00</td>
<td>21.32 23.00%</td>
<td>49.21 82.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peas</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>$8.68 0.00</td>
<td>$0.00 33.33%</td>
<td>$0.00 0.00%</td>
<td>$0.00 0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00 0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oats</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>$1.66</td>
<td>$0.00 33.33%</td>
<td>$0.00 0.00%</td>
<td>$0.00 0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00 0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Income Per Acre | 100.00% | $467.28 371.23 |
Value per acre equals net income per acre divided by Cap rate:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Income Per Acre</th>
<th>Expenses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$167.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>$23.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fertilizer</td>
<td>$14.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemicals</td>
<td>$9.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seed</td>
<td>$2.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>$8.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvest</td>
<td>$14.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Expense Per Acre</td>
<td>$71.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Income</td>
<td>$95.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cap Rate</td>
<td>9.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value Per Acre</td>
<td>$1,020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total Gross Income Per Acre | $371.23 |
| Less Water Costs           | $26.00  |
| Less Electricity Cost      | $20.00  |
| Less Management (@ 5%)     | $18.56  |
| Less Landlord Share of Fertilizer Cost | $60.00 |
| Less Landlord Share of Chemicals Cost | $32.00 |
| Less Landlord Share of Seed Cost | $27.00 |
| Less Landlord Share of Harvest Cost | $69.00 |
| Less Income Attributable to Exempt Irrigation Equipment | $2.32 |
| Total Net Income Per Acre  | $116.35 |
| Capitalization Rate        | 6.96%   |
| Crop Share Value Per Acre  | $1671.69 |

05. **Combination of Cash Rent and Crop Share Analysis Example:**

Crops in the Rotation for which Cash Rent agreement data is available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crop</th>
<th>Contract Rents Per Acre</th>
<th>Rotation In Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Income Per Acre</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beets</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
<td>11.00%</td>
<td>$33.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potatoes</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
<td>9.00%</td>
<td>$31.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$650.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>20.00%</strong></td>
<td><strong>$64.50</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Crops in the Rotation for Crop Share Agreement Analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crop</th>
<th>Yield</th>
<th>Price</th>
<th>Gross Income</th>
<th>Landlord Share</th>
<th>Landlord Share Gross Income to Land</th>
<th>Rotation Percent</th>
<th>Per Acre Share of Gross Inc. to Land</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barley</td>
<td>130.00</td>
<td>$3.00</td>
<td>$390.00</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
<td>$195.00</td>
<td>14.00%</td>
<td>$27.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beans</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>$27.00</td>
<td>$675.00</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
<td>$337.50</td>
<td>22.00%</td>
<td>$74.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hay</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>$120.00</td>
<td>$720.00</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
<td>$360.00</td>
<td>23.00%</td>
<td>$82.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat</td>
<td>120.00</td>
<td>$4.50</td>
<td>$540.00</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
<td>$270.00</td>
<td>21.00%</td>
<td>$56.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>80.00%</td>
<td>$241.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Gross Income for Crop Share Portion | $241.05

Less Crop Share Rotation % of Water Cost (80%) | $20.80
Less Crop Share Rotation % of Electricity Cost (80%) | $16.00
Less Management (@ 5%) | $12.05
Less Fertilizer Cost for Crop Share Program | $38.00
Less Chemicals Cost for Crop Share Program | $14.00
Less Seed Cost for Crop Share Program | $13.00
Less Harvest Cost for Crop Share Program | $49.00
Less Income Attributable to Exempt Irrigation Equipment (80%) | $1.86
Total Net Income for Crop Share Portion | $76.34
Total Combined Cash Rent + Crop Share Net Income | $127.95
Capitalization Rate | 6.96%
Value Per Acre | $1838.36

06. Cross Reference. For definitions and general principles relating to the taxable value of land actively devoted to agriculture, see Rule 613 of these rules. For eligibility criteria, see Rule 645 of these rules. For information relating to Christmas tree farms, other annual forest products, and yield tax, see Rule 968 of these rules. (3-30-07)
630. TAX EXEMPTION FOR NEW CAPITAL INVESTMENTS (RULE 630).
Section 63-4502, Idaho Code

01. Notification of New Capital Investment – Locally Assessed Property. (2-29-12)(

a. Prior to receiving the benefit of the tax exemption, the taxpayer shall notify the county in which the project site is located that the taxpayer expects to meet the criteria of the New Capital Investments Tax exemption. Notification shall be accomplished by submitting a written declaration or notification with the board of county commissioners containing the following information:

i. The name and address of the taxpayer; (3-29-12)

ii. A description of the new capital investment project; (3-29-12)

iii. The assessor’s parcel number(s) identifying the location of the project site; (3-29-12)

iv. The date that the qualifying period began; (3-29-12)

v. A statement that the taxpayer will make a qualified new capital investment of at least one billion dollars ($1,000,000,000) within the qualifying period, which shall be specified. (3-29-12)

b. The notification required hereunder may be submitted by the taxpayer to the board of county commissioners at any time after the qualifying period begins. However, if the notification is submitted after April 15 in a given year, a taxpayer may receive the benefit of the exemption only for tax years following the year in which the notification is filed. Submittal of the notification required hereunder shall constitute application for the exemption in compliance with Section 63-602, Idaho Code. Until the taxpayer meets all the requirements for the New Capital Investments Tax exemption, for each year after the first year in which the exemption is granted, the notice must identify the name and address of the taxpayer and the location of the project site, but does not need to provide additional information as required in Paragraph 630.01.a. of this rule. (4-4-13)

02. Notification of New Capital Investment – Centrally Assessed Operating Property. For taxpayers applying for the exemption for operating property subject to assessment by the State Tax Commission, the taxpayer shall provide notice to the State Tax Commission no later than April 30 of the first year the exemption is sought, as part of the operator’s statement required pursuant to Section 63-404, Idaho Code, and Rule 404 of these rules, that the taxpayer expects to meet the criteria of the New Capital Investments Tax exemption. (____)

a. To be eligible for the exemption, information to be provided on the operator’s statement must include:

i. A description of the new capital investment project; (____)

ii. The location of the project site, including county and tax code area(s); (____)

iii. The date that the qualifying period began; (____)

iv. A statement that the taxpayer will make a qualified new capital investment of at least one billion dollars ($1,000,000,000) within the qualifying period, which shall be specified. (____)

b. The notification required hereunder may be submitted by the taxpayer to the State Tax Commission at any time after the qualifying period begins. However, if the notification is submitted after April 30 in a given year, a taxpayer may receive the benefit of the exemption only for tax years following the year in which the notification is filed. Submittal of the operator’s statement including notification information required hereunder shall constitute
application for the exemption in compliance with Section 63-602, Idaho Code. Until the taxpayer meets all the requirements for the New Capital Investments Tax exemption, for each year after the first year in which the exemption is granted, the notice must identify the location of the project site, but does not need to provide additional information as required in Paragraph 630.02.a. of this rule.

03. Notification of New Capital Investment – Taxpayers Applying on Behalf of both Locally and Centrally Assessed Property. A taxpayer may apply for this exemption on behalf of both locally and centrally assessed property located in the same county.

a. The taxpayer must comply with notice requirements in Subsection 630.01 of this rule for locally assessed property and for centrally assessed property the April 30 filing deadline found in Paragraph 630.02.b of this rule shall apply.

b. Once the taxpayer notifies the State Tax Commission as provided in Subsection 630.02 of this rule, the State Tax Commission will notify the county commissioners and county assessor by the second Monday in May of the taxpayer’s new capital investment project property to be locally assessed and of the taxpayer’s filing an application for the exemption. By the later of the fourth Monday in July or the conclusion of the county board of equalization, as provided in Section 63-501, Idaho Code, the county clerk must provide to the State Tax Commission a statement of the equalized assessed value of the taxpayer’s locally assessed property.

c. The exemption will be granted by the State Tax Commission, which will notify the county commissioners and taxpayer by the first Monday in September of the amount of the exemption and the remaining taxable value of the centrally assessed operating property of the taxpayer. This remaining value is to be calculated so that the sum of the centrally and locally assessed property of the taxpayer in the county in which the exemption is being granted does not exceed four hundred million dollars ($400,000,000).

d. The exemption will apply to the combined total value of the locally and centrally assessed property of the taxpayer within the county in which the project site is located. For continuation of the exemption for both locally and centrally assessed property, Subsections 630.07 and 630.08 of this rule shall apply, and, upon satisfaction of the requirements therein, the State Tax Commission shall notify the county of the continuing exemption.

04. Property of the Taxpayer. Property of a taxpayer includes all real, or personal, or operating property that is owned by or leased to the taxpayer under an agreement that makes the taxpayer responsible for the payment of any property taxes on the property.

05. New Construction. Property taxable under Section 63-4502, Idaho Code and that qualifies for listing on the new construction roll as described by Section 63-301(A)3, Idaho Code, should be listed on the new construction roll.

06. Failure to Make the Qualifying New Capital Investment.

a. If the taxpayer fails to make the qualifying new capital investment during the qualifying period, the property shall lose the exemption granted by this section at the conclusion of the qualifying period.

b. In the event that, at any time during the project qualifying period, the taxpayer receiving the exemption for locally assessed property no longer intends to fulfill the qualified new capital investment requirements, the taxpayer must notify the county commissioners who shall notify the county assessor. Upon receipt of such notification, the property previously granted the exemption shall become taxable for the remainder of the year in which the notification is provided, pursuant to Section 63-602Y, Idaho Code. Failure of the taxpayer to provide such notice does not prevent the county assessor from discovering the taxpayer’s intent through alternate procedures and then notifying the county commissioners that the requirements for the exemption are no longer met. In such an instance, the taxpayer must be notified and may appeal loss of the exemption to the county board of equalization as provided in Section 63-501A, Idaho Code.

c. In the event that, at any time during the qualifying period, the taxpayer receiving the exemption for operating property no longer intends to fulfill the qualified new capital investment requirements, the taxpayer must notify the State Tax Commission. Upon receipt of such notification, the property previously granted the exemption...
shall become taxable. If the notification is received before the State Tax Commission has completed the assessment of the operating property for a given year, the exemption shall not be granted for that year. If the notification is received after the assessment is completed, the exemption shall be rescinded beginning the following tax year. If the taxpayer owns centrally and locally assessed property, the tax commission will also notify the county commissioners and assessor of the rescinding of the exemption.

057. Continuation of Tax Exemption Following the End of the Project Qualifying Period – Locally Assessed Property.

a. At any time during the qualifying period, but not later than ninety (90) days after the conclusion of the qualifying period, the taxpayer must provide notice to the county commissioners with sufficient evidence to prove that the required qualifying new capital investment has been made.

b. Once the taxpayer has successfully met all the requirements pursuant to Section 63-4502, Idaho Code, and provided notice to the county commissioners pursuant to Paragraph 630.057.a. of this rule, the county commissioners shall notify the county assessor and taxpayer of the taxpayer’s continuing qualification for the exemption for all years thereafter. The county assessor shall retain this notice.

c. After the year in which the taxpayer has been notified of continuing qualification as provided in Paragraph 630.057.b. of this rule, the taxpayer must continue to notify the county annually to identify the property to be exempted pursuant to Subsection 630.08. Failure to make such notification will not invalidate the exemption; the county assessor must then apply the exemption against the assessed value of the taxpayer’s highest value parcel within the county.

08. Continuation of Tax Exemption Following the End of the Qualifying Period – Centrally Assessed Operating Property.

a. At any time during the qualifying period after the requirements for this exemption have been met, but not later than ninety (90) days after the conclusion of the qualifying period, the taxpayer must provide notice to the State Tax Commission with sufficient evidence to prove that the required qualifying new capital investment has been made.

b. Once the taxpayer has successfully met all the requirements pursuant to Section 63-4502, Idaho Code, and provided notice to the State Tax Commission pursuant to Paragraph 630.08.a. of this rule, the State Tax Commission shall notify the taxpayer that the exemption will continue to be granted in perpetuity, and shall notify the taxpayer annually prior to the due date for the operator’s statement that they must identify the property qualifying for the exemption in these statements. Failure to provide either notification will not invalidate the exemption; the State Tax Commission must then apply the exemption against the assessed value of the taxpayer’s operating property within the county. Centrally assessed taxable property otherwise permitted to be included on the new construction roll will be reported to the county assessor for inclusion on the next available new construction roll.

069. Cross Reference. For an explanation of the treatment of new construction relating to Sections 63-802 and 63-301A, Idaho Code, see Rule 802 of these rules.

(BREAK IN CONTINUITY OF SECTIONS)

709. PROPERTY TAX REDUCTION BENEFIT PROGRAM -- SPECIAL SITUATIONS (RULE 709).

Section 63-701, Idaho Code

01. Scope. This rule addresses issues relating to the property tax reduction benefit program as it applies to certain unusual factual situations. It states general principles applicable to unusual cases and provides some illustrative examples. The rule cannot address every conceivable situation that may arise, but the principles established may apply to the resolution of situations not addressed in the rule. The following examples apply to qualified property tax reduction claimants.
02. **General Principles.** Benefits under the property tax reduction program are only available to owners of property that have first qualified for the homeowner’s exemption under Section 63-602G, Idaho Code. See Rule 610 of these rules. (3-15-02)

03. **Dual Residency Couples.** The definition of “dual residency couple” in Rule 610.02 of these rules applies to this rule. (3-15-02)

   a. Example -- Both residences are community property. Property tax reduction is available in regard only to the residential improvement qualifying for the homeowner’s exemption. See Rule 610.04 of these rules. (3-15-02)

   b. Example -- One (1) residence is community property, the other is separate property. Property tax reduction is available in regard only to the residential improvement qualifying for the homeowner’s exemption. See Rule 610.05 of these rules. (3-15-02)

   c. Example -- Both residences are separate property. Property tax reduction is available in regard to both residential improvements. See Rule 610.06 of these rules. (3-15-02)

   d. Household income. In the three (3) examples in Subsection 709.03, the household income upon which qualification is determined is the total of one-half (1/2) the community income plus any separate income of the spouse residing in the residence. (3-15-02)

04. **Apportionment of Property Tax Reduction Benefits by Dual Residency Couples.** If a dual residency couple makes the election provided in Subsection 610.07 of these rules and the applicable county assessor provided the State Tax Commission with a copy of the election required under that rule, each spouse shall be entitled to one-half (1/2) of the amount of any property tax reduction available to that spouse alone. The household income of the spouse shall be one-half (1/2) of the community income plus any separate income of the spouse residing in the residence. The total property tax reduction benefit shall not exceed the amount of benefit available to the individual spouse with the least household income if no election were made. (3-15-02)

05. **Multiple Ownerships Including Community Interests as Partial Owners.** Example: A deed conveys title to real property to a husband and wife and to an adult child of theirs. The husband and wife hold a community property interest in the improvement and the child is a tenant-in-common provided ownership interests are not specified in the deed. The parents collectively hold a one-half (1/2) partial interest and the child holds a one-half (1/2) partial interest in the property. Ownership interests specified in the deed supersede this guidance. For clarification of the calculation of the net taxable value, see Rule 700.05.b. of these rules. Qualification for the property tax reduction is as follows: (3-28-18)

   a. If the residential improvement is the primary dwelling of the husband and wife but not of the child, the claimant qualifies for full benefits applied on one-half (1/2) of the value of the property less the homeowner's exemption. Household income is the total of the community and separate income of the spouses. (3-28-18)

   b. If the residential improvement is the primary dwelling of the qualifying child, but neither the husband or wife, the claimant qualifies for full benefits applied on one-half (1/2) of the value of the property less the homeowner's exemption. Household income is the total income of both spouses. (3-28-18)

   c. If the residential improvement is the primary dwelling of the husband, wife and a qualifying child, the claimant qualifies for the full benefits applied on full value of the property less the homeowner's exemption. Household income is the total of the community and separate income of the spouses and the income of the child. (3-15-02)

   d. If the residential improvement is the primary dwelling of one (1) spouse but of neither the other spouse nor the child, the claimant qualifies for full benefits applied on one-half (1/2) of the value of the property less the homeowner's exemption unless the residential improvement of the other spouse has qualified for the homeowner’s exemption. Household income is the total income of both spouses. (3-28-18)

   e. If the residential improvement is the primary dwelling of one (1) spouse and a qualifying child, the
claimant qualifies for the full benefits applied on the full value of the property less the homeowner's exemption unless the residential improvement of the other spouse has previously qualified for the homeowner’s exemption. Household income is the total income of both spouses plus the income of the child. (3-15-02)

802. BUDGET CERTIFICATION RELATING TO NEW CONSTRUCTION AND ANNEXATION (RULE 802).
Sections 63-802, 63-301A, 63-602W, and 63-602NN, Idaho Code

01. Definitions. (4-5-00)

a. “Change of Land Use Classification.” “Change of land use classification” shall mean any change in land use resulting in a secondary category change and in a change in taxable land value to be reflected on the current property roll. (4-7-11)

b. “Incremental Value as of December 31, 2006.” “Incremental value as of December 31, 2006” means the total of the incremental values on the property roll, subsequent property roll, missed property roll, and operating property roll for the 2006 tax year. (4-7-11)

c. “Non-residential Structure.” “Non-residential structure” shall mean any structure listed by the assessor in any secondary category not described as residential, manufactured homes, or improvements to manufactured homes in Rule 511 of these rules. (4-2-08)

02. New Construction Roll Listing. “Listing” shall mean a summary report of the net taxable value of property listed on the new construction roll. This listing shall include the taxable value of qualifying new construction throughout each taxing district or unit, but shall not include otherwise qualifying new construction, the value of which will be included in the increment value of any revenue allocation area (RAA) within any urban renewal district encompassed by the taxing district or unit. In addition, new construction related to change of land use classification, but required by section 50-2903(4) to be added to the base assessment roll, cannot be added to any new construction roll. This report is to summarize the value reported on the new construction roll by taxing district or unit. Taxing districts and units shall be listed in the same order that is used for the certification of value required pursuant to Section 63-510(1), Idaho Code. (3-29-12)

a. Qualifying new construction which is valued by the State Tax Commission shall be reported to the county assessor for each applicable taxing district by October 1 and shall be listed by the assessor on the immediate next new construction roll. (3-25-16)

b. Previously allowable new construction that has never been included. When a taxing district proves new construction described by Section 63-301A(3), Idaho Code, occurred during any one of the immediately preceding five (5) years and has never been included on a new construction roll, the county assessor must list that property on the immediate next new construction roll at the value proven by the taxing district. Any such additional new construction must also be separately listed for each taxing district or unit. The taxing district has the burden of proving the new construction was omitted from a new construction roll and the value that would have been listed for that property had it been listed on the appropriate new construction roll. No taxing district shall ever be granted any increase in budget authority greater than the amount that would have resulted had the property been listed on the appropriate new construction roll. Regardless of the year that the new construction should have been listed on the appropriate new construction roll, additional budget authority resulting from new construction previously omitted from a new construction roll and listed on the current year’s new construction roll shall be permitted only if the taxing district is in compliance with the budget hearing notification requirements of Section 63-802A, Idaho Code, for the current year. (3-29-12)

c. Reporting the amount of taxable market value to be deducted. For each taxing district or unit, the new construction roll listing shall separately identify the total amount of taxable market value to be deducted as
required in Section 63-301A(1)(f), Idaho Code, and Paragraph 802.02.e., of this rule. In addition to other requirements, the amount of value deducted shall never exceed the amount originally added to a new construction roll.

\[ (3-29-12) \]

d. Determining the amount of taxable market value to be deducted – appeals. The amount of taxable market value to be deducted under Section 63-301A(1)(f), Idaho Code, shall be determined by the highest authority to which the assessment is ultimately appealed. Accordingly, adjustments should not be made until there has been a final decision on any appeal. In addition, the deduction for lower values resulting from appeals shall be made only for property that was placed on a new construction roll within the immediately preceding five (5) years.

\[ (3-29-12) \]

e. Determining the amount of taxable market value to be deducted – provisional exemptions. Provided the addition occurred within the immediate preceding five (5) years but not earlier than 2016, the amount of taxable market value added to any new construction roll for property subsequently granted a provisional exemption under Section 63-1305C, Idaho Code, shall be deducted from the taxable market value otherwise included on the immediate next new construction roll prepared following the granting of the provisional exemption.

\[ (3-29-12) \]

03. Special Provisions for Value Increases and Decreases. Special provisions for value increases and decreases related to change of land use classification as defined in Paragraph 802.01.a. of this rule or increases in land value resulting from loss of the exemption provided in Section 63-602W(4), Idaho Code.

a. Value increases. Certain related land value increases are to be included on the new construction roll.

\[ (4-4-13) \]

i. Except as provided in Subparagraph 802.03.a.iii., increases in land value shall be reported on the new construction roll in the year in which the new category appears on the current property roll.

\[ (4-4-13) \]

ii. Except as provided in Subparagraph 802.03.a.iii., the increase in taxable land value to be reported shall be computed by subtracting the taxable land value, had the land remained in its previous use category, from the taxable land value in the current use category.

\[ (4-4-13) \]

iii. Subject to the limitations found in Paragraph 802.06.a. of this rule, increases in land value resulting from loss of the exemption provided in Section 63-602W(4), Idaho Code, shall be reported on the new construction roll in the year the exemption is lost, provided this occurs no later than June 30 of that year. If the exemption is lost after June 30 of a given year, the resulting increase in land value shall be reported on the new construction roll in the immediate following year.

\[ (4-4-13) \]

b. Value decreases. Certain related land value decreases are to be included on the new construction roll and subtracted from total new construction value for any taxing district. The amount of decrease in any one year shall never exceed the amount of value originally added to the new construction roll for the same property.

\[ (4-4-13) \]

i. Value decreases are to be reported only for land for which taxable market value was reduced as a result of change of land use classification or granting of the exemption for site improvements provided in Section 63-602W(4), Idaho Code, during any one (1) of the immediately preceding five (5) years and for which an increase in value due to addition of site improvements or change of land use classification during the same five-year period had been added to a new construction roll. For the site improvement exemption provided in Section 63-602W(4), Idaho Code, the five-year period shall commence with the year following the year the exemption is first granted. For example, if a parcel first received the exemption in 2012, any site improvement related addition to a new construction roll for 2008 or more recently must be subtracted from the 2013 new construction roll, unless the exemption is lost by June 30, 2013, in which case there is no subtraction and no addition to the new construction roll for the loss of this exemption.

\[ (4-4-13) \]

ii. If the current land category is the same as the category prior to the change that resulted in an addition to the new construction roll, the amount to be subtracted shall equal the amount originally added. For example, a dry grazing land parcel that would have had a value of ten thousand dollars ($10,000) became commercial land and was assessed at fifty thousand dollars ($50,000). The forty thousand dollar ($40,000) difference was reported on the new construction roll in year one (1). In year two (2), the parcel is reclassified as dry grazing land and is to be assessed at fifteen thousand dollars ($15,000). The forty thousand dollar ($40,000) difference that was added
to the year one (1) new construction roll must be deducted from the value shown on the new construction roll in year two (2).

iii. If the current land category is different than the category prior to the change that resulted in an addition to the new construction roll, the amount to be subtracted shall be the lesser of the amount originally added or the amount that would have been added had the first change in land use been from the current land category. For example, a dry grazing land parcel that would have had a value of ten thousand dollars ($10,000) became commercial land and was assessed at fifty thousand dollars ($50,000). The forty thousand dollar ($40,000) difference was reported on the new construction roll in year one (1). In year two (2), the parcel is reclassified as irrigated agricultural land and would have had a value in year one (1) of twenty thousand dollars ($20,000). The amount to be subtracted from the value shown on the new construction roll in year two (2) is thirty thousand dollars ($30,000).

iv. Provided the criteria in Subparagraph 802.03.b.i. are met, value decreases resulting from previously included land value becoming exempt are to be reported and subtracted.

v. Except as provided in Subparagraph 802.03.b.vi., only land value decreases that meet the criteria listed in Subparagraphs 802.03.b.i. or 802.03.b.iv. of this rule and include and result from a change in land secondary category can be considered.

vi. Provided the criteria in Subparagraph 802.03.b.i. are met, land value decreases resulting from the exemption provided in Section 63-602W(4), Idaho Code, are to be subtracted from the new construction roll in the year immediately following the most recent year in which the exemption has been granted. To comply with the budget adjustments required by Section 63-802, Idaho Code, which limits taxing district budgets based on the highest amount of property tax revenue requested during the previous three (3) years, such subtraction shall be required for up to three (3) years, provided the property continues to receive the exemption. For example, a property for which five hundred thousand dollars ($500,000) was added to the 2011 new construction roll for site improvements that were added and taxable at that time receives a five hundred thousand dollar ($500,000) exemption pursuant to Section 63-602W(4), Idaho Code, in 2012. The property continues to receive the exemption in the same amount in 2013, but the exempt amount increases to five hundred twenty thousand dollars ($520,000) in 2014. The property loses the exemption before June 30, 2015. However, the 2015 value of the site improvements has been determined to be only four hundred thousand dollars ($400,000) because of market value changes. Therefore, only four hundred thousand dollars ($400,000) in value is added as a result of the loss of the exemption. Table A shows the effect on each year’s new construction roll, while Table B shows the effect on a hypothetical taxing district’s maximum allowable property tax budget.

Table A - Effect on New Construction Roll:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Occurrence</th>
<th>Effect on New Construction Roll (for that year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Site improvements added and taxable</td>
<td>+ $500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Site improvements exempt</td>
<td>NA (no prior year’s exemption)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Site improvements exempt</td>
<td>- $500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Site improvements exempt</td>
<td>- $500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Loses site improvement exemption before June 30</td>
<td>+ $400,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

viii. In Table B, assume that the taxing district has a tax levy rate of zero point zero zero two five (0.0025) in 2010, a total taxable value of one hundred million dollars ($100,000,000) in 2010 and a property tax budget in 2010 that is two hundred fifty thousand dollars ($250,000) and was the highest of the preceding three (3) years. The total amount of new construction is the amount due to the site improvements and no other value change occurs in the district during the period shown. There are no property tax replacement monies for this district. Beginning in 2011 the taxing district levies the maximum it is allowed each year. The factor of one point zero three
(1.03) shown in Table B is used to calculate the allowable three percent (3%) increase.  

ix. Table B - Effect on Hypothetical Taxing District’s Maximum Allowable Property Tax Budget:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Occurrence</th>
<th>Effect on New Construction Roll (for that year)</th>
<th>Maximum Allowable Property Tax Budget</th>
<th>Calculations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Site improvements added and taxable</td>
<td>+ $500,000</td>
<td>$258,750</td>
<td>($250,000 X 1.03) + ($500,000 X 0.0025) (tax levy rate = $258,750/$100,500,000 = 0.002574627)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Site improvements exempt</td>
<td>NA (no prior year’s exemption; no new construction value)</td>
<td>$266,512</td>
<td>$258,750 X 1.03 (tax levy rate = $266,512/$100,000,000 = 0.002665120)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Site improvements exempt</td>
<td>- $500,000</td>
<td>$273,174</td>
<td>($266,512 X 1.03) – ($500,000 X 0.002665120) (tax levy rate = $273,174/$100,000,000 = 0.002731744)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Site improvements exempt</td>
<td>- $500,000</td>
<td>$280,003</td>
<td>($273,174 X 1.03) – ($500,000 X 0.002731744) (tax levy rate = $280,003/$100,000,000 = 0.002800033)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Loses site improvement exemption before June 30</td>
<td>+ $400,000</td>
<td>$289,523</td>
<td>($280,003 X 1.03) + ($400,000 X 0.002731744) (tax levy rate = $289,523/$100,400,000 = 0.002883896)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

04. Manufactured Housing. “Installation” of new or used manufactured housing shall mean capturing the net taxable market value of the improvement(s) that did not previously exist within the county.  

05. Partial New Construction Values. Except as provided in Subsection 802.06 of this rule, the net taxable market value attributable directly to new construction shall be reported on the new construction roll in the tax year it is placed on the current assessment roll. Except as provided in Subsection 802.06 of this rule, any increase in a non-residential parcel’s taxable value, due to new construction, shall be computed by subtracting the previous year’s or years’ partial taxable value(s) from the current taxable value. If any of this difference is attributable to inflation, such value, except as provided in Subsection 802.06 of this rule, shall not be included on the new construction roll.

Example: Assume a partially completed, non-residential improvement was assessed at ten thousand dollars ($10,000) as of January 1, 2009. The improvement was occupied February 2, 2009. Assume the ten thousand dollar ($10,000) value was on the 2009 new construction roll. Assume that in 2010 the improvement is assessed at ninety thousand dollars ($90,000). Assume there has been no inflation. The value that can be reported on the 2010 new construction roll is calculated as follows:

| 2010 Value                  | $90,000       |
| 2009 Value Already Reported on New Construction Roll | <$10,000>          |
| 2010 New Construction Roll Value (this improvement) | $80,000       |
06. Change in Status. (4-2-08)

a. A previously exempt improvement which becomes taxable shall not be included on the new construction roll, unless the loss of the exemption occurs during the year in which the improvement was constructed or unless the improvement has lost the exemption provided in Section 63-602W(3) or (4), Section 63-602E(3), or Section 63-602NN, Idaho Code. For any such property, the amount that may be included on the new construction roll shall be the value of the portion of the property subject to the exemption at the time the exemption was first granted. For otherwise qualifying property that loses the exemption provided in Section 63-602NN, Idaho Code, but that has had its value added to the base assessment roll in a revenue allocation area as provided in Rule 804 of these rules, the value so added may be added to the new construction roll. Examples of special cases for the exemption provided in Section 63-602W(4), Idaho Code, follow:

i. If the exemption is lost by June 30 of the year in which the exempt amount was to be subtracted from the new construction roll, then there shall be no subtraction, nor shall the formerly exempt amount be added, to the new construction roll, unless it had been previously subtracted from a new construction roll. For example, the property first became exempt in 2012, but lost the exemption by June 30, 2013. The 2013 new construction roll was not adjusted downward, so any previous inclusion of the exempt value would not be added in the future. Had the property lost the exemption later in 2013, there would have been a subtraction from the 2013 new construction roll and a subsequent addition to the 2014 new construction roll. (4-4-13)

ii. If the exemption was granted to property for which no value had been added to any new construction roll, the value of the property (site improvements) at the time the exemption was first granted may be added to the new construction roll following loss of the exemption. (4-4-13)

b. Except as provided in Paragraph 802.06.e. of this rule, upon receipt by the State Tax Commission of a resolution recommending adoption of an ordinance for termination of an RAA under Section 50-2903(5), Idaho Code, any not previously included positive difference of the most current increment value minus the “incremental value as of December 31, 2006,” or the entire current increment value, if there was no such value as of December 31, 2006, shall be added to the appropriate year’s new construction roll. Upon the effective date of any de-annexation of a portion of an RAA, the immediate prior year’s increment value associated with the parcels in the de-annexed area is to be included in the appropriate year’s new construction roll as described in Paragraph 802.06.d. of this rule, provided such value has not been previously included on any new construction roll. When this information is received after the fourth Monday in July, this positive net increment value shall be added to the following year’s new construction roll. (3-29-17)

c. Taxable value included in the base value in an RAA, but not previously on any new construction roll and otherwise eligible to be included on a new construction roll due to change of land use classification, shall be included on the next new construction roll prepared following dissolution of the RAA or deannexation of any affected parcel from the RAA. The value to be included shall be the value that would have been included at the time the change of land use classification occurred had the parcel not been located in the RAA. (4-4-13)

d. Upon receipt by the State Tax Commission of an attestation indicating that an urban renewal plan has been modified in such a way as to result in resetting the base value in an RAA, as provided in Section 50-2903A, Idaho Code, increases in base value due to the addition of previously determined increment value may be added to the new construction roll as described in Section 63-301A(3)(j), Idaho Code, provided such value has not previously been included on any new construction roll. In such a case, at termination of the RAA, only new additional increment value following the reset of the base value shall be included on the new construction roll. (3-29-17)

e. When a portion of an RAA is de-annexed, the following steps must be used to determine the amount to be added to the current year’s new construction roll and the amount to be subtracted from the “incremental value as of December 31, 2006.” (4-7-11)

i. Step 1. For the parcels in the de-annexed area, determine the December 31, 2006, increment value. (4-7-11)

ii. Step 2. Subtract the increment value determined in Step 1 from the immediate prior year’s
increment value for the parcels in the de-annexed area. (3-29-17)

   iii. Step 3. Add any positive difference calculated in Step 2 to the current year’s new construction roll value. (4-7-11)

   iv. Step 4. Adjust the “incremental value as of December 31, 2006” for the RAA by subtracting the increment value determined in Step 1. (4-7-11)

   v. The following table shows the amount to be added to the current year’s new construction roll and the amount to be subtracted from the “incremental value as of December 31, 2006” applicable to the adjusted remaining RAA. The table assumes an area is de-annexed from an original RAA effective December 31, 2016.

   vi. If the de-annexation in the example in sub-paragraph v. had taken effect prior to the fourth Monday of July 2016, the 2015 increment value for the affected parcels would have been added to the 2016 new construction roll after subtracting the 2006 increment value. (3-29-17)

   vii. The value of operating property increment value to be included on the new construction roll when a de-annexation occurs is computed as shown in the following example:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steps (as designated in Paragraph 802.06.d.)</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 31, 2006, increment value of the original RAA</td>
<td></td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 31, 2006, increment value of the de-annexed area</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 31, 2015, increment value of the de-annexed area</td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steps 2 and 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount related to the de-annexed area to be added to the 2017 new construction roll</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustment amount to be deducted from the original RAA’s “incremental value as of December 31, 2006”</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;$1,000,000&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted “incremental value as of December 31, 2006” for the remaining RAA (base for future new construction roll additions upon dissolution of all or part of remaining RAA)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$9,000,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(3-29-17)
f. For taxing districts formed after December 31, 2006, or annexing or being annexed into a revenue allocation area after that date, the amount of increment value to be added to the new construction roll will equal any positive difference between the increment value at the time of formation of the taxing district or annexation by or into the revenue allocation area and the increment value at the time of dissolution of the revenue allocation area or the increment value within the area deannexed from the revenue allocation area.

07. Limitation on Annexation and New Construction Roll Value. For any taxing district annexing property in a given year, the new construction roll for the following year shall not include value that has been included in the annexation value. When an annexation includes any part of a revenue allocation area, only taxable value that is part of the current base value of the taxing district is to be included in the annexation value reported for that taxing district for the year following the year of the annexation.

08. Notification of New Construction Roll and Annexation Values. On or before the fourth Monday in July, each county auditor must report the net taxable values on the new construction roll and of locally assessed property within annexed areas for each appropriate taxing district or unit to that taxing district or unit. Annexation value contributed by centrally assessed operating property will be provided to each county auditor by the first Monday in September.

803. BUDGET CERTIFICATION -- DOLLAR CERTIFICATION FORM (L-2 FORM) (RULE 803). Sections 63-602G(5), 63-802, 63-803, 63-3029B(4), 63-3502B, 50-2903A, 50-2913, 63-3638(11), and (13), and 63-1305C, Idaho Code

01. Definitions.

a. “Dollar Certification Form” (L-2 Form). The Dollar Certification Form (L-2 Form) is the form used to submit to the State Tax Commission the budget request from each board of county commissioners for each taxing district. This form shall be presumed a true and correct representation of the budget previously prepared and approved by a taxing district. The budget will be presumed adopted in accordance with pertinent statutory provisions unless clear and convincing documentary evidence establishes that a budget results in an unauthorized levy and action as provided in Section 63-809, Idaho Code.

b. “Prior Year’s Market Value for Assessment Purposes.” Prior year’s market value for assessment purposes shall mean the value used to calculate levies during the immediate prior year. This value shall be used for calculating the permanent budget increase permitted for cities, pursuant to Section 63-802(1)(fg), Idaho Code.

c. “Annual Budget.” For the purpose of calculating dollar amount increases permitted pursuant to Section 63-802(1), Idaho Code, the annual budget shall include any amount approved as a result of an election held pursuant to Sections 63-802(1)fg or 63-802(1)gh, Idaho Code, provided that said amount is certified on the L-2 Form as part of the budget request. If the amount certified does not include the entire amount approved as a result of the election held pursuant to Sections 63-802(1)fg or 63-802(1)gh, Idaho Code, then the amount not used shall be added to the foregone increase amount determined for the taxing district. See the following example.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CERTIFIED PROPERTY TAX BUDGET LIBRARY DISTRICT*</th>
<th>FY 1999</th>
<th>FY 2000</th>
<th>FY 2001</th>
<th>FY 2002</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual Budget</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$10,700</td>
<td>$11,621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3% Increase</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>$321</td>
<td>$349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$10,300</td>
<td>$11,021</td>
<td>$11,970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999 Election Amount</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$400 of $1,000</td>
<td>$600 of $1,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certified Budget</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$10,700</td>
<td>$11,621</td>
<td>$11,970</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The Library District with zero dollars ($0) in value for new construction and/or annexation approves an additional
d. "Property Tax Funded Budget." Property tax funded budget means that portion of any taxing district’s budget certified to the board of county commissioners, approved by the State Tax Commission, and subject to the limitations of Section 63-802, Idaho Code.

(3-20-04)

e. "Recovered/Recaptured Property Substitute Funds Tax and Refund List." Recovered/recaptured property tax substitute funds and refund list means the report sent by the county auditor to the appropriate taxing district(s) by the first Monday in August and to the State Tax Commission with the L-2 Forms, listing the amount of revenue distributed, or refunds charged, to each appropriate taxing district/unit as recovery of property tax or other payments during the twelve (12) month period ending June 30 each year under the following sections:

(5-8-09)

i. Section 63-602G(5), Idaho Code; and

(5-8-09)

ii. Section 63-3029B(4), Idaho Code; and

(5-8-09)

iii. Section 63-602KK(7), Idaho Code, for personal property exempted after 2013 for which no replacement money was paid; and

(3-29-17)

iv. Section 63-3502B(2), Idaho Code, for distributions of gross earnings tax on solar farms; and

(3-29-17)

v. Section 50-2903A(3), Idaho Code, for distributions of urban renewal allocations in excess of the amount necessary to pay indebtedness, when required; and

(2-9-17)

vi. Section 50-2913(3)(c), Idaho Code, for distributions of urban renewal allocations in excess of the amount received during the immediate prior tax year, when required;

(3-29-17)

vii. Section 63-1305C(3), Idaho Code, for revoked provisional property tax exemptions; and

(____)

viii. Section 63-1305C(6), Idaho Code, for refunds related to provisional property tax exemptions.

(____)

f. "Taxing District/Unit." Taxing district/unit means any governmental entity with authority to levy property taxes as defined in Section 63-201, Idaho Code, and those non-countywide governmental entities without authority to levy property taxes but on whose behalf such taxes are levied or allocated by an authorized entity such as the county or city for such entities as county road and bridge funds or urban renewal agencies, respectively.

(4-6-05)

g. “New Taxing District.” For property tax budget and levy purposes, new taxing district means any taxing district for which no property tax revenue has previously been levied. See the Idaho Supreme Court case of Idaho County Property Owners Association, Inc. v. Syringa General Hospital District, 119 Idaho 309, 805 P.2d 1233 (1991).
county commissioners shall only submit documentation specifically requested by the State Tax Commission.

(3-28-18)

a. Foregone Increase Documentation. For any taxing district submitting a budget including previously forgone increases, required documentation includes a copy of the resolution certifying the amount of the forgone increase being included and the specific purpose for which this increase is being budgeted. Each such taxing district must submit the resolution to the board of county commissioners representing each county in which the district is located along with the L-2 Form. The board of county commissioners must attach a copy of the resolution to be submitted to the State Tax Commission along with the L-2 Form. Such submittal will constitute submittal to the State Tax Commission.

(3-28-18)

b. Forgone increase disclaimer. Any resolution to disclaim the right to recover an annual increase in the forgone amount must state the amount of such forgone increase being disclaimed and must be submitted to the board of county commissioners representing each county in which the district is located along with the L-2 Form. The board of county commissioners must attach a copy of the resolution to be submitted to the State Tax Commission along with the L-2 Form. Such submittal will constitute submittal to the State Tax Commission. The following table illustrates calculation of the maximum forgone amount that may be disclaimed in 2018:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2017 maximum property tax $10,000. This is an increase of $1,000 from 2016.</td>
<td>The district has no prior forgone balance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>The district certifies $9,800 in 2017.</td>
<td>The district now has $200 in forgone balance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2018 maximum property tax $11,000 (not including $200 forgone).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2018 property tax budgeted (to be submitted for certification) is $10,600.</td>
<td>This amount is approved to be levied and would generate $400 in additional forgone balance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2018 maximum amount of forgone increase that may be disclaimed by the district is $400.</td>
<td>If the district disclaims the full $400, their forgone balance remains at $200.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(3-28-18)

04. L-2 Form Contents. Each taxing district or unit completing an L-2 Form shall include the following information on or with this form.

a. “Department or Fund.” Identify the department or fund for which the taxing district is requesting a budget for the current tax year.

(4-5-00)

b. “Total Approved Budget.” List the dollar amount of the total budget for each department or fund identified. The amounts must include all money that a taxing district has a potential to spend at the time the budget is set, regardless of whether funds are to be raised from property tax.

(4-5-00)

c. “Cash Forward Balance.” List any money retained, but intended to be spent to fund the approved budget being certified on the L-2 form.

(4-11-15)

d. “Other Revenue not Shown in Column 5.” List the revenue included in the total approved budget to be derived from sources other than property tax or money brought forward from a prior year. For example, sales tax revenue is included.

(3-15-02)

e. “Property Tax Replacement.” Report the following amounts received for the twelve (12) month period ending June 30 of the current tax year:

(3-8-09)

i. The amount of money received annually under Section 63-3638(11), Idaho Code, as replacement revenue for the agricultural equipment exemption under Section 63-602EE, Idaho Code;
ii. The amount of money received as recovery of property tax exemption under Section 63-602G(5), Idaho Code, and listed on the “Recovered/recaptured property tax substitute funds and refund list”; (5-3-09)

iii. The amount of money received as recapture of the property tax benefit under Section 63-3029B(4), Idaho Code, and listed on the “Recovered/recaptured property tax substitute funds and refund list”; (4-11-15)

iv. The amount of money received annually under Section 63-3638(13), for the personal property exemption under 63-602KK(2), Idaho Code; (3-29-17)

v. The amount of money received annually under Section 63-602KK(7), Idaho Code, for personal property exempted after 2013, for which no replacement money was paid, and listed on the “Recovered/recaptured property tax substitute funds and refund list”; (3-29-17)

vi. The amount of money received in the 12 month period ending June 30 of the current tax year as a result of distributions of the gross earning tax on solar farms, as provided in Section 63-3502B(2), Idaho Code, and listed on the “Recovered/recaptured property tax substitute funds and refund list”; (3-29-17)

vii. The amount of money received in the 12 month period ending June 30 of the current tax year as a result of distributions of urban renewal allocations in excess of the amount necessary to pay indebtedness, as provided in Section 50-2903A(3), Idaho Code, and listed on the “Recovered/recaptured property tax substitute funds and refund list”; (3-29-17)

viii. The amount of money received in the 12 month period ending June 30 of the current tax year as a result of distributions of urban renewal allocations in excess of the amount received by the urban renewal agency in the immediate prior year, as provided in Section 50-2913(3)(c), Idaho Code and listed on the “Recovered/recaptured property tax substitute funds and refund list.” and (3-29-17)

ix. The amount of money received as a result of distributions of recovered property tax for revoked provisional property tax exemptions pursuant to Section 63-1305C(3), Idaho Code.

f. “Balance to be Levied.” Report the amount of money included in the total approved budget to be derived from property tax. (3-15-02)

g. Other Information. Provide the following additional information. (4-5-00)
i. The name of the taxing district or unit; (3-20-04)

ii. The date of voter approval (if required by statute) and effective period for any new or increased fund which is exempt from the budget limitations in Section 63-802, Idaho Code; (4-5-00)

iii. The signature, date signed, printed name, address, and phone number of an authorized representative of the taxing district; and (5-3-03)

iv. For a hospital district which has held a public hearing, a signature certifying such action. (4-5-00)

v. For any taxing district including previously forgone increases in their budget or disclaiming any forgone increase, an attestation to having held the required public hearing on the resolution to include or disclaim the forgone amount. (3-28-18)

h. Attached Information. Other information submitted to the county auditor with the L-2 Form. (4-6-05)
i. For all taxing districts, L-2 worksheet. (3-20-04)

ii. For newly formed recreation or auditorium districts, a copy of the petition forming the district showing any levy restrictions imposed by that petition. (3-20-04)
iii. For any new ballot measures (bonds, overrides, permanent overrides, supplemental maintenance and operations funds, cooperative service agency funds, and plant facility funds), notice of election and election results. (3-20-04)

iv. Voter approved fund tracker. (3-20-04)

v. For fire districts, a copy of any new agreements with utility companies providing for payment of property taxes by that utility company to that fire district. (3-20-04)

vi. For any city with city funded library operations and services at the time of consolidation with any library district, each such city must submit a certification to the board of county commissioners and the board of the library district reporting the dedicated portion of that city’s property tax funded library fund budget and separately reporting any portion of its property tax funded general fund budget used to fund library operations or services at the time of the election for consolidation with the library district. (3-20-04)

vii. For any library district consolidating with any city that had any portion of its property tax funded budget(s) dedicated to library operations or services at the time of the election for consolidation, each such library district must submit to the board of county commissioners a copy of the certification from that city reporting the information provided for in Subparagraph 803.04.h.vi. of this rule. (4-6-05)

viii. For any taxing district including previously forgone increases in their budget or disclaiming any forgone increase, a copy of the resolution describing the amount of the forgone increase being disclaimed, or the amount included and specific purpose for which it is being included. (3-28-18)

05. Special Provisions for Fire Districts Levying Against Operating Property. To prevent double counting of public utility property values, for any year following the first year in which any fire district increases its budget using the provision of Section 63-802(2), Idaho Code, such fire district shall not be permitted further increases under this provision unless the following conditions are met:

a. The fire district and public utility have entered into a new agreement of consent to provide fire protection to the public utility; and (3-30-01)

b. Said new agreement succeeds the original agreement; and (3-30-01)

c. In the first year in which levies are certified following the new agreement, the difference between the current year’s taxable value of the consenting public utility and public utility value used in previous budget calculations made pursuant to this section is used in place of the current year’s taxable value of the consenting public utility. (3-30-01)

06. Special Provisions for Property Tax Replacement and Refunds Pursuant to Section 63-1305C(6), Idaho Code. Property tax replacement monies must be reported on the L-2 Form and separately identified on accompanying worksheets. Except as provided in Paragraph 803.06.f. of this rule, for all taxing districts, these monies must be subtracted from or, in the case of refunds, not included in, the “balance to be levied”. The reduced balance shall be used to compute levies. The maximum amount permitted pursuant to Section 63-802(1), Idaho Code, shall be based on the sum of these property tax replacement monies including recoveries received pursuant to Section 63-1305C(3), Idaho Code, but excluding monies received pursuant to Section 63-3502B(2), Idaho Code, and the amount actually levied. Each taxing district’s proportionate share of refunds pursuant to Section 63-1305C(6), Idaho Code, as reported in Paragraph 803.01.e. of this rule, must be subtracted from the maximum amount permitted pursuant to Section 63-802(1), Idaho Code. (3-29-17)

a. The State Tax Commission shall, by the fourth Monday of July, notify each county clerk if the amount of property tax replacement money, pursuant to Sections 63-3638(11) and (13), Idaho Code, to be paid to a taxing district changes from the amount paid in the preceding year. By the first Monday of May, the State Tax Commission shall further notify each school district and each county clerk of any changes in the amount of property tax replacement money to be received by that school district pursuant to Sections 63-3638(11) and (13), Idaho Code. (4-11-15)
b. By no later than the first Monday of August of each year, each county clerk shall notify each appropriate taxing district or unit of the total amount of property tax replacement monies, and the type of replacement money, as described in Paragraph 803.04.e. of this rule. For charter school districts subject to the provisions of Paragraph 803.06.f. of this rule, the amount to be subtracted shall be reported. (3-29-17)

c. Except as provided in Paragraph 803.06.d. of this rule, the subtraction required in Subsection 803.06 of this rule may be from any fund(s) subject to the limitations of Section 63-802, Idaho Code. For school districts these subtractions must be first from funds subject to the limitations of Section 63-802, Idaho Code, then from other property tax funded budgets. (3-29-17)

d. For taxing districts receiving distributions of the gross earnings tax on solar farms described in Section 63-3502B(2), Idaho Code, the amount of any such distribution received during the 12 (twelve) months ending June 30 of the current tax year shall be subtracted from the maximum amount of property tax revenue permitted pursuant to Section 63-802, Idaho Code. In addition to the amounts reported as described in Paragraph 803.06.b. of this rule, the county clerk shall, by the first Monday in August, notify each taxing unit of the total amount of the gross earnings tax on solar farms billed for the current tax year. (3-29-17)

e. Levy limits shall be tested against the amount actually levied. (3-15-02)

f. For charter school districts with a levy in 2013 for maintenance and operations, as provided in Section 33-802(6), Idaho Code, a portion of the property tax replacement money received for property subject to the exemption in Section 63-602KK, Idaho Code, is not required to be subtracted in determining the “balance to be levied.” Said portion shall be the amount calculated by applying the 2013 levy rate for the maintenance and operations levy amount, as authorized in the district’s charter, to the 2013 exempt value of personal property used to compute replacement money provided to the school district. (4-11-15)

g. For recovered personal property exemptions, as provided in Section 63-602KK(7), Idaho Code, for personal property exempted in 2013 for which replacement money was paid, recovered amounts shall be distributed to the State Tax Commission. Once received, the amount of future payments to the affected taxing districts shall be reduced by the amount received. (3-25-16)

07. Special Provisions for Library Districts Consolidating with Any City’s Existing Library Operations or Services. For any library district consolidating with any city’s existing library operations or services, the amount of the dedicated property tax funded general fund and library fund budgets certified by the city under Subparagraph 803.04.h.vi., of this rule shall be added to that library district’s property tax funded budget in effect at the time of the election for consolidation. This total shall be used as this district’s property tax funded budget for the most recent year of the three (3) years preceding the current tax year for the purpose of deciding the property tax funded budget that may be increased as provided by Section 63-802, Idaho Code. (4-6-05)

08. Special Provisions for Cities with Existing Library Operations or Services Consolidating with Any Library District. For any city with existing library operations or services at the time of consolidation with any library district, the amount of the dedicated property tax funded library fund budget included in the certification by the city under Subparagraph 803.04.h.vi., of this rule shall be subtracted from that city’s total property tax funded budget in effect at the time of the election for the consolidation. This difference shall be used as this city’s property tax funded budget for the most recent year of the three (3) years preceding the current tax year for the purpose of deciding the property tax funded budget that may be increased as provided by Section 63-802, Idaho Code. (4-6-05)

09. Special Provisions for Calculating Total Levy Rate for Taxing Districts or Units with Multiple Funds. Whenever the “Calculated Levy Rate” column of the L-2 Form indicates that a levy rate has been calculated for more than one (1) fund for any taxing district or unit, the “Column Total” entry must be the sum of the levy rates calculated for each fund. Prior to this summation, the levy rates to be summed must be rounded or truncated at the ninth decimal place. No additional rounding is permitted for the column total. (4-6-05)

10. Special Provisions for School Districts’ Tort Funds - Hypothetical New Construction Levy. To calculate the new construction portion of the allowed annual increase in a school district’s tort fund under Section 63-802(1), Idaho Code, calculate a Hypothetical New Construction Levy. To calculate this hypothetical levy, sum the amount of the school district’s tort fund levied for the prior year, the agricultural equipment replacement revenue, and
the personal property replacement revenue, then divide this sum by the school district's taxable value used to determine the tort fund's levy for the prior year. For the current year, the allowed tort fund increase for new construction is this Hypothetical New Construction Levy times the current year's new construction roll value for the school district. (3-25-16)

11. Special Provisions for Interim Abatement Districts. When an interim abatement district transitions into a formally defined abatement district under Section 39-2812, Idaho Code, the formally defined abatement district shall not be considered a new taxing district as defined in Paragraph 803.01.g of this rule for the purposes of Section 63-802, Idaho Code. For the formally defined abatement district, the annual budget subject to the limitations of Section 63-802, Idaho Code, shall be the amount of property tax revenue approved for the interim abatement district. (4-2-08)

12. Special Provisions for Consolidating Cemetery Districts. When two (2) or more cemetery districts consolidate, the first year in which the consolidated cemetery district levies property tax, the maximum budget subject to the limitations of Section 63-802, Idaho Code, shall be computed as follows: (____)

a. Determine the highest levy rate of any of the former cemetery districts now consolidating, based on the sum of the immediate prior year's levies subject to the limitations of Section 63-802, Idaho Code. (____)

b. Multiply this levy rate by the current taxable value of property within the area of the former cemetery districts other than the district with the highest rate. (____)

c. Multiply this levy rate by the current taxable value of new construction, as reported on the new construction roll, within the area of the former cemetery district with the highest levy rate. (____)

d. Add: (____)

i. The amounts computed in Paragraphs 803.12.b. and 803.12.c., of this rule; (____)

ii. Three percent (3%) of the highest amount of property taxes certified by the former cemetery district determined in Paragraph 803.12.a. of this rule, to have had the highest levy rate, for its annual budget, as defined in Section 63-802(1)(a), Idaho Code; and (____)

iii. Any forgone amounts of the former cemetery districts now consolidating. (____)

123. Cross Reference for School Districts with Tuition Funds. School district tuition fund levies are exempt from the limitations of Section 63-802, Idaho Code. See Section 33-1408, Idaho Code. (4-11-15)

804. TAX LEVY - CERTIFICATION - URBAN RENEWAL DISTRICTS (RULE 804).

01. Definitions. (4-5-00)

a. “Urban renewal district.” An urban renewal district, as referred to in Section 63-215, Idaho Code, shall mean an urban renewal area formed pursuant to an urban renewal plan adopted in accordance with Section 50-2008, Idaho Code. Urban renewal districts are not taxing districts. (4-5-00)

b. “Revenue allocation area (RAA).” A revenue allocation area (RAA) as referred to in Section 50-2908, Idaho Code, shall be the area defined in Section 50-2903, Idaho Code, in which base and increment values are to be determined. A new urban renewal plan is required when an urban renewal agency establishes a new RAA. Revenue allocation areas (RAAs) are not taxing districts. (3-29-17)

c. “Current base value.” The current base value of each parcel in a taxing district or unit shall be the value of that parcel on the current base assessment roll as defined under Section 50-2903, Idaho Code. Current base value does not include value found on the occupancy roll. (4-5-00)

d. “Initial base value.” The initial base value for each parcel is the sum of the taxable value of each
category of property in the parcel for the year the RAA is established. In the case of annexation to an RAA, initial base value of each annexed parcel shall be the value of that parcel as of January 1 of the year in which the annexation takes place.

(4-11-15)

e. “Increment value.” The increment value is the difference between the current equalized value of each parcel of taxable property in the RAA and that parcel’s current base value, provided such difference is a positive value.

(4-5-00)
f. “Revenue allocation financing provision.” A revenue allocation area (RAA) shall be considered to be a revenue allocation financing provision.

(3-29-17)

02. Establishing and Adjusting Base and Increment Values.

(4-5-00)
a. Establishing initial base value. If a parcel’s legal description has changed prior to computing initial base year value, the value that best reflects the prior year’s taxable value of the parcel’s current legal description must be determined and will constitute the initial base year value for such parcel. The initial base value includes the taxable value, as of the effective date of the ordinance adopting the urban renewal plan, of all otherwise taxable property, as defined in Section 50-2903, Idaho Code. Initial base value does not include value found on the occupancy roll.

(4-5-00)

i. Case 1: Offsetting decreases and increases in value. One (1) year later the parcel has a one thousand dollar ($1,000) decrease in value in Category 21 and a one thousand dollar ($1,000) increase in Category 42 value. There is no change in the base value for the parcel.

(4-5-00)

ii. Case 2: Partially offsetting decreases and increases in value. One (1) year later the parcel has a three thousand dollars ($3,000) decrease in value in Category 21 and a one thousand dollars ($1,000) increase in Category 42 value. The base value decreases two thousand dollars ($2,000) to ninety-eight thousand dollars ($98,000).

(4-5-00)

iii. Case 3: Future increase in value following decreases. One (1) year after the parcel in Case 2 has a base value reduced to ninety-eight thousand dollars ($98,000), the value of the parcel increases by five thousand dollars ($5,000) which is the net of category changes. The base value remains at ninety-eight thousand dollars ($98,000).

(4-5-00)

c. Adjustments to base value - splits and combinations. Before other adjustments can be made, the most recent base value must be adjusted to reflect changes in each parcel’s legal description. This adjustment shall be calculated as described in the following subsections.

(4-5-00)

i. When a parcel has been split, the most recent base year value is transferred to the new parcels, making sure that the new total equals the most recent base year value. Proportions used to determine the amount of base value assigned to each of the new parcels shall be based on the value of the new parcels had they existed in the year preceding the year for which the value of the new parcels is first established.

(4-11-15)

ii. When a parcel has been combined with another parcel, the most recent base year values are added together.

(4-5-00)
iii. When a parcel has been split and combined with another parcel in the same year, the value of the split shall be calculated as set forth in Subparagraph 804.02.c.i. and then the value of the combination will be calculated as set forth in Subparagraph 804.02.c.ii.

(4-5-00)

d. Adjustments to base values when exempt parcels become taxable. Base values shall be adjusted as described in the following subsections.

(4-5-00)

i. Fully exempt parcels at time of RAA establishment. When a parcel that was exempt at the time the RAA was established becomes taxable, the base value is to be adjusted upwards to reflect the estimated value of the formerly exempt parcel as it existed at the time the RAA was established.

(4-5-00)

ii. Partially exempt parcels losing the speculative value exemption. When a partially exempt parcel with a speculative value exemption that applies to farmland within the RAA becomes fully taxable, the base value of the RAA shall be adjusted upwards by the difference between the taxable value of the parcel for the year in which the exemption is lost and the taxable value of the parcel included in the base value of the RAA. For example, assume a parcel of farmland within an RAA had a taxable value of five hundred dollars ($500) in the year the RAA base value was established. Assume also that this parcel had a speculative value exemption of two thousand dollars ($2,000) at that time. Two (2) years later the parcel is reclassified as industrial land, loses the speculative value exemption, and has a current taxable value of fifty thousand dollars ($50,000). The base value within the RAA would be adjusted upwards by forty-nine thousand five hundred dollars ($49,500), the difference between fifty thousand dollars ($50,000) and five hundred ($500). The preceding example applies only in cases of loss of the speculative value exemption that applies to land actively devoted to agriculture and does not apply to timberland. Site improvements, such as roads and utilities, that become taxable after the loss of the speculative value exemption are not to be added to the base value. For example, if, in addition to the fifty thousand dollars ($50,000) current taxable value of the undeveloped land, site improvements valued at twenty-five thousand dollars ($25,000) are added, the amount reflected in the base value remains fifty thousand dollars ($50,000), and the additional twenty-five thousand dollars ($25,000) is added to the increment value. In addition, this example applies only to land that loses the speculative value exemption as a result of changes occurring in 2010 or later and first affecting taxable values in 2011 or later.

(3-29-12)

Parcels that lost speculative value exemptions prior to 2010 had base value adjustments as described in Subparagraph 804.02.d.iii. of this rule.

(3-29-12)

iii. Partially exempt parcels other than those losing the speculative value exemption. Except as provided in Subparagraph 804.02.d.vi. of this rule, when a partially exempt parcel, other than one subject to the speculative value exemption that applies to farmland, within the RAA becomes fully taxable, the base value of the RAA shall be adjusted upwards by the difference between the value that would have been assessed had the parcel been fully taxable in the year the RAA was established and the taxable value of the parcel included in the base value of the RAA. For example, assume a residential parcel within an RAA had a market value of one hundred thousand dollars ($100,000), a homeowner’s exemption of fifty thousand dollars ($50,000), and a taxable value of fifty thousand dollars ($50,000) in the year the RAA base value was established. After five (5) years, this parcel is no longer used for owner-occupied residential purposes and loses its partial exemption. At that time the parcel has a taxable value of one hundred eighty-eight thousand dollars ($188,000). The base value within the RAA would be adjusted upwards by fifty thousand dollars ($50,000), all of which is base value. The following year the homeowner's exemption limit changes in market value to two hundred twenty thousand dollars ($220,000) and the homeowner's exemption drops to ninety thousand dollars ($90,000) because of the change in the maximum amount of this exemption. The base value remains at one hundred thousand dollars ($100,000). Finally, assume the property decreases in value to one hundred eighty-eight thousand dollars ($188,000) at the same time the homeowner's
exemption limit changes to ninety thousand dollars ($90,000). The property now has a taxable value of ninety-eight thousand dollars ($98,000), requiring an adjustment in the base value to match this amount, since it is lower than the original base value of one hundred thousand dollars ($100,000).

v. Change of exempt status. **Except as provided in Subparagraph 804.02.d.vi. of this rule,** when a parcel that is taxable and included in the base value at the time the RAA is established subsequently becomes exempt, the base value is reduced by the most current value of the parcel included in the base value. If this parcel subsequently becomes taxable, the base value is to be adjusted upward by the same amount that was originally subtracted. For example, assume a land parcel had a base value of twenty thousand dollars ($20,000). One (1) year later the parcel has a value of nineteen thousand dollars ($19,000), so the base value is reduced to nineteen thousand dollars ($19,000). Three (3) years later, an improvement valued at one hundred thousand dollars ($100,000) was added. The land at this later date had a value of thirty thousand dollars ($30,000). Both land and improvements were purchased by an exempt entity. The base would be reduced by nineteen thousand dollars ($19,000). Five (5) years later, the land and improvement becomes taxable. The base value is to be adjusted upwards by nineteen thousand dollars ($19,000).

vi. Special case for exemption provided in Section 63-602NN, Idaho Code. Upon loss of the exemption, any newly taxable value in excess of the taxable value of the property in the year immediately preceding the first year of the exemption is to be added to the increment value provided the property was within an RAA when the exemption was granted and remains within the RAA at the time the exemption expires. If the parcel was annexed to an RAA during the period of the exemption, the value that would have been added to the base value at the time of annexation had the property not received the exemption would be added to the base at the time the exemption expires, while any remaining taxable value would be added to the increment. If the exemption has been granted in part, the adjustments provided in this subparagraph shall only apply to the portion of the property granted the exemption.

**e. Adjustments to base values when property is removed.** Base values are to be adjusted downward for real, personal, and operating property removed from the RAA. Property shall be considered removed only under the conditions described in the following subsections.

i. For real property, all of the improvement is physically removed from the RAA, provided that there is no replacement of said improvement during the year the original improvement was removed. If said improvement is replaced during the year of removal, the reduction in base value will be calculated by subtracting the value of the new improvement from the current base value of the original improvement, provided that such reduction is not less than zero (0).

ii. For personal property, all of the personal property associated with one (1) parcel is physically removed from the RAA or any of the personal property associated with a parcel becomes exempt. In the case of exemption applying to personal property, the downward adjustment will first be applied to the increment value and then, if the remaining taxable value of the parcel is less than the most current base value, to the base value. Assume, for example that a parcel consists entirely of personal property with a base value of twenty thousand dollars ($20,000) and an increment value of ninety thousand dollars ($90,000). The next year the property receives a one hundred thousand ($100,000) personal property exemption. The increment value is reduced to zero and the base value is reduced to ten thousand dollars ($10,000).

iii. For operating property, any of the property under a given ownership is removed from the RAA.

f. Adjustments to base value for annexation. When property is annexed into an RAA, the base value in the RAA shall be adjusted upwards to reflect the value of the annexed property as of January 1 of the year in which the annexation takes effect. As an example, assume that parcels with current taxable value of one million dollars ($1,000,000) are annexed into an RAA with an existing base value of two million dollars ($2,000,000). The base value of the RAA is adjusted upwards to three million dollars ($3,000,000).

**g. Adjustments to increment values.** In addition to the adjustment illustrated in Subparagraph 804.02.e.ii. of this rule, decreases in total parcel value below the initial base value decrease the base value for the parcel. This leads to greater increment value if the parcel increases in value in future years. For example, if a parcel
with a initial base value of one hundred thousand dollars ($100,000) decreases in value to ninety-five thousand dollars ($95,000), but later increases to ninety-eight thousand dollars ($98,000), an increment value of three thousand dollars ($3,000) is generated. If the same parcel increases in value to one hundred two thousand dollars ($102,000) after the decrease to ninety-five thousand dollars ($95,000), the increment value would be seven thousand dollars ($7,000). (4-11-15)

b. Apportioning operating property values. For operating property, the original base value shall be apportioned to the RAA on the same basis as is used to apportion operating property to taxing districts and units. The operating property base value shall be adjusted as required under Section 50-2903, Idaho Code. (4-5-00)

03. Levy Computation for Taxing Districts Encompassing RAAs Within Urban Renewal Districts. Beginning in 2008, levies shall be computed in one (1) or two (2) ways as follows: (5-8-09)

a. For taxing district or taxing unit funds other than those meeting the criteria listed in Subsection 804.05 of this rule, the property tax levy shall be computed by dividing the dollar amount certified for the property tax portion of the budget of the fund by the market value for assessment purposes of all taxable property within the taxing district or unit, including the value of each parcel on the current base assessment roll (base value), but excluding the increment value. For example, if the taxable value of property within a taxing district or unit is one hundred million dollars ($100,000,000) but fifteen million dollars ($15,000,000) of that value is increment value, the levy of the taxing district must be computed by dividing the property tax portion of the district’s or unit’s budget by eighty-five million dollars ($85,000,000). (5-8-09)

b. For taxing district or taxing unit funds meeting the criteria listed in Subsections 804.05 and 804.07 of this rule, the property tax levy shall be computed by dividing the dollar amount certified for the property tax portion of the budget of the fund by the market value for assessment purposes of all taxable property within the taxing district or unit, including the increment value. Given the values in the example in Paragraph 804.03.a. of this rule, the levy would be computed by dividing the property tax portion of the fund by one hundred million dollars ($100,000,000). (3-28-18)

04. Modification of an Urban Renewal Plan. Except when inapplicable as described in Paragraphs 804.04.a, b, or c, of this rule, when an authorized municipality passes an ordinance modifying an urban renewal plan containing a revenue allocation financing provision, for the tax year immediately following the year in which the modification occurs, the base value of property in the RAA shall be reset by being adjusted to reflect the current taxable value of the property. All modifications to boundaries of RAAs must comply with the provisions of Rule 225 of these rules. (3-29-17)

a. Modification by consolidation of RAAs. If such modification involves combination or consolidation of two (2) or more RAAs, the base value shall be determined by adding together independently determined current base values for each of the areas to be combined or consolidated. The current taxable value of property in an area not previously included in any RAA shall be added to determine the total current base value for the consolidated RAA. (4-5-00)

b. Modification by annexation. (5-8-09)

i. If an RAA is modified by annexation, the current taxable value of property in the area annexed shall be added to the most current base value determined for the RAA prior to the annexation. (5-8-09)

ii. For levies described in Paragraphs 804.05.b., c., or d. of this rule approved prior to December 31, 2007, and included within the boundaries of a revenue allocation area by a change in the boundaries of either the revenue allocation area or the area subject to the levy by the taxing district or unit fund after December 31, 2007, the property tax levy shall be computed by dividing the dollar amount certified for the property tax portion of the budget of the fund by the market value for assessment purposes of all taxable property within the taxing district or unit, including the increment value. The example below shows the value to be used for setting levies for various funds within an urban renewal district “A” that annexes area “B” within a school district. Area (B) was annexed after December 31, 2007. Therefore, the Area (B) increment was added back to the base for all funds shown except the tort fund. The Area (A) increment value was added back to the base for the bond and override funds which were certified or passed after December 31, 2007.
(3-29-17) iii. An annexation permitted pursuant to section 50-2033, Idaho Code, to an RAA in existence prior to July 1, 2016 shall not change the status of the urban renewal agency or the RAA and its related plan regarding inapplicability of the base reset or attestation provisions found in section 50-2903A, Idaho Code.

(3-29-17) c. Other modifications – attestation requirements. Modification resulting in adjustment of base value to reflect the current taxable value of the property within the RAA shall not be deemed to have occurred when the urban renewal agency attests to having made no modifications to a plan or is not required to attest to plan modifications. Certain urban renewal agencies are required to attest annually to having made or not made plan modifications. These include:

(3-29-17) i. Urban renewal agencies that establish new RAAs on or after July 1, 2016, provided however that such agencies are only required to attest to having made or not made modifications with regard to any new RAA.

(3-29-17) ii. Urban renewal agencies that enact new plans including an RAA on or after July 1, 2016.

(3-29-17) d. Modifications when there is outstanding indebtedness. When any urban renewal agency attests to having had a plan modification that is not an exception identified in Paragraphs 804.04.a. or b. or c. of this rule or fails to provide the required attestation, the base value will be determined without regard to the modification, provided that the agency certifies to the State Tax Commission by June 30 of the tax year that there is outstanding indebtedness as defined in Section 50-2903A, Idaho Code. In this case, the allocation of revenue to the urban renewal agency shall be limited to the amount certified as necessary to pay the indebtedness. Any additional revenue shall be distributed to each taxing district or unit in the same manner as property taxes. Such revenue shall be treated as property tax revenue for the purpose of the limitations in Section 63-802, Idaho Code. The county clerk will notify the tax commission of the amount so distributed for each year beginning July 1 of the prior year and ending June 30 of the current tax year.

(3-28-18) e. Failure to submit attestation regarding plan modification. For any urban renewal agency subject to the requirements of Section 50-2903A, Idaho Code, attestation of plan modification or attestation that there has been no plan modification is required to be made to the State Tax Commission by the first Monday of June each year. Except as provided in Paragraph 804.04.d. of this rule, if such agency fails to provide the required attestation, the State Tax Commission will proceed to reset the base value or limit allocation of property tax to the urban renewal agency as otherwise required in Section 50-2903A, Idaho Code. Provided there is no new plan, an urban renewal agency...
agency with a plan including one or more revenue allocation financing provisions (RAAs) in existence prior to July 1, 2016 shall only be required to provide this attestation or be subject to base resetting or other limitations for failure to submit this attestation with respect to new RAAs formed on or after July 1, 2016. If such an agency develops a new plan, on or after July 1, 2016, or provides for a new RAA under an existing plan, the agency shall be subject to the attestation requirements and other provisions of Section 50-2903A, Idaho Code, with respect to any RAAs formed July 1, 2016 or later. (3-28-18)

f. Notice of actions related to base reset or revenue allocation limitations. (3-29-17)

i. The State Tax Commission will notify any urban renewal agency within thirty (30) days of the time the State Tax Commission receives an attestation that an urban renewal plan has been modified, or by July 30 in any year in which an attestation is required but none is received, of the State Tax Commission’s intent to initiate the process to reset the base value in the following tax year. Said notice will be provided to affected county commissioners and city officials. (3-29-17)

ii. In the case of base reset due to failure to attest to a modification or to having made no modification in an urban renewal plan, despite being required to provide this attestation, the agency and county and city officials will be so notified and will be given an opportunity to provide the necessary attestation. This further notice will provide that, if the State Tax Commission has not received the attestation by December 31 of the tax year, the base will be reset in the immediate following year. (3-29-17)

iii. In the case of a revenue allocation limitation pursuant to Section 50-2913, Idaho Code, notice will be provided to the agency, county, and city officials including the county assessor and county clerk, within thirty (30) days of the due date of the plan or plan update. (3-29-17)

iv. In the case of a revenue allocation limitation due to a plan modification but outstanding indebtedness, notice will be provided to the agency and county and city officials, including the county assessor and county clerk, within thirty (30) days of receipt by the State Tax Commission of the certification of the amount needed to repay the indebtedness. (3-29-17)

v. Once decisions about base reset or revenue allocation limitations are final, additional notice will be sent to the agency and county and city officials, including the county assessor and county clerk, within thirty (30) days of any such final decision. Said notice will include an identification of the year in which the reset or revenue allocation limitation will take effect and the amount of any revenue allocation limitation. (3-29-17)

05. Criteria for Determining Whether Levies for Funds Are to Be Computed Using Base Value or Market Value for Assessment Purposes. Beginning in 2008, levies to be certified for taxing district or unit funds meeting the following criteria or used for any of the following purposes will be computed as described in Paragraph 804.03.b. of this rule. (5-8-09)

a. Refunds or credits pursuant to Section 63-1305, Idaho Code, and any school district judgment pursuant to Section 33-802(1), Idaho Code, provided the refunds, credits, or judgments were pursuant to actions taken no earlier than January 1, 2008; (5-8-09)

b. Voter approved overrides of the limits provided in Section 63-802, Idaho Code, provided such overrides are for a period not to exceed two (2) years and were passed after December 31, 2007, or earlier as provided in the criteria found in Paragraph 804.05.e.; (5-8-09)

c. Voter approved bonds and plant facilities reserve funds passed after December 31, 2007, or earlier as provided in the criteria found in Paragraph 804.05.e.; (5-8-09)

d. Voter approved school or charter school district temporary supplemental maintenance and operation levies passed after December 31, 2007; or (3-29-10)

e. Levies described in Paragraphs 804.05.b., c., or d. approved prior to December 31, 2007, and included within the boundaries of a revenue allocation area by a change in the boundaries of either the revenue allocation area or the area subject to the levy by the taxing district or unit fund after December 31, 2007; (3-29-10)
f. Levies authorized by Section 33-317A, Idaho Code, known as the cooperative service agency school plant facility levy. (3-29-10)

g. Levies authorized by Section 33-909, Idaho Code, known as the state-authorized plant facility levy. (4-11-15)

h. Levies authorized by Section 33-805, Idaho Code, known as school emergency fund levy. (3-29-17)

06. Setting Levies When There is a De-annexation From an RAA. In any de-annexation from an RAA, levies will be set using the base value and, as indicated in Subsection 804.05 of this rule, the appropriate amount of increment value associated with the parcels and operating property remaining in the RAA after the de-annexation, provided that the de-annexation is in effect no later than September 1 of the current tax year and provided further that the de-annexation is approved by the State Tax Commission in accordance with Section 225 of these rules. (3-29-17)

07. Setting Levies When There is a Refinancing of Bonded Indebtedness. Refinancing of bonded indebtedness in existence as of December 31, 2007 does not create new bonded indebtedness for any taxing district with respect to the levy setting criteria in Subsection 804.05 of this rule. (3-28-18)

08. Cross Reference. The county auditor shall certify the full market value by taxing district as specified in Rule 995 of these rules. See also Rule 802 of these rules for calculation of new construction given de-annexation from an RAA and see Rule 805 of these rules for penalties for failure to submit plans. (3-29-17)

(BREAK IN CONTINUITY OF SECTIONS)

962. TAXATION OF DESIGNATED FORESTLANDS UNDER THE PRODUCTIVITY OPTION (RULE 962). Section 63-1705, Idaho Code

01. Forestland Valuation Process. The process used to determine the forestland value under the productivity option shall be as specified in the User’s Guide referenced in Section 63-1701, Idaho Code. (4-11-06)

02. Forest Valuation Zones. The state shall be divided into four (4) forest valuation zones: (7-1-99)

a. ZONE 1 - Boundary, Bonner, Kootenai counties. (7-1-97)

b. ZONE 2 - Benewah, Shoshone, Latah, Clearwater, Nez Perce, Lewis, Idaho counties. (7-1-97)

c. ZONE 3 - Adams, Valley, Washington, Payette, Gem, Boise, Canyon, Ada, Elmore, Camas, Blaine, Gooding, Lincoln, Jerome, Minidoka counties. (7-1-97)

d. ZONE 4 - The remaining nineteen (19) counties. (7-1-97)

03. Classification of Forestlands. In all forest valuation zones, there shall be three (3) separate productivity classes of forestland: poor, medium, and good. These broad classes are related in the following manner by definition to the “Meyer Tables” published in “Yield of Even-Aged Stands of Ponderosa Pine” and “Haig Tables” published in “Second-Growth Yield, Stand, and Volume Table for the Western White Pine Type” as both documents are referenced in Rule 006 of these rules. These classes apply to forestland which may or may not be stocked with commercial or young growth timber. (4-11-06)

a. Poor productivity class is defined as forestland having a mean annual increment, MAI, of one hundred twenty-five (125) board feet per acre per year, based on a seventy-three (73) year rotation. This productivity class includes western white pine site index 35-45 and Ponderosa pine site index 45-80. One hundred twenty-five (125) board feet per acre MAI shall be used in the valuation process. (4-11-06)
b. Medium productivity class is defined as forestland having a mean annual increment, MAI, of two hundred twenty-five (225) board feet per acre per year, based on an sixty-eight (68) year rotation. This productivity class includes western white pine site index 46-60 and Ponderosa pine site index 81-110. Two hundred twenty-five (225) board feet per acre MAI shall be used in the valuation process. (4-11-06)

c. Good productivity class is defined as forestland having a mean annual increment, MAI, of three hundred fifty (350) board feet per acre per year, based on an sixty-three (63) year rotation. This productivity class includes western white pine site index 61 and above and Ponderosa pine site index 111 and above. Three hundred fifty (350) board feet per acre MAI shall be used in the valuation process. (4-11-06)

d. For forest valuation zones 1 and 2, forestland shall be stratified into areas of similar productive potential using the habitat typing methodology described in “Forest Habitat Types of Northern Idaho: A Second Approximation,” referenced in Rule 006 of these rules. Within these stratified areas, site index trees will be selected and measured that will identify the site index to be used to place the land in one (1) of the three (3) productivity classes listed above. (5-3-03)

e. For forest valuation zones 3 and 4, the criteria for stratification shall be generally the same as that used in zones 1 and 2 based on the habitat typing methodology described in “Forest Habitat Types of Central Idaho,” as referenced in Rule 006 of these rules, with the following adjustments made in growth rates for lower moisture levels:

i. For poor productivity class, one hundred twenty-five (125) board feet per acre MAI shall be used in the valuation process.

ii. For medium productivity class, two hundred thirteen (213) board feet per acre MAI shall be used in the valuation process.

iii. For good productivity class, three hundred twenty (320) board feet per acre MAI shall be used in the valuation process. (4-11-06)

04. Deficient Areas. Lakes, solid rock bluffs, talus slopes, and continuously flooded swampy areas, larger than five contiguous acres in size which can be identified through remote sensing shall be valued at forty percent (40%) of the poor bare land value as defined in Section 63-1706, Idaho Code. These areas are defined as being incapable of growing trees. (4-11-06)

05. Reclassification of Forestlands. Except as provided in Subsection 962.06 of this rule, no parcel’s productivity classification can be changed from the classification as of January 1, 2016, until requirements for landowner notification, inspector qualifications, and document retention have been met.

a. Landowner notification. Notice of intent to change classification must be provided in writing to the landowner of record or their designee within two (2) weeks of any determination by the county assessor of intent to change classification. Such notice must be provided no later than the first Monday in November for the change to be in effect during the following year. Notice may be delivered in person or by U.S. mail, or, if agreed to by the assessor and the landowner, by electronic mail. Notice of intent to change classification includes:

i. A statement of intent to change the classification.

ii. A statement of the present classification and the intended new classification.

iii. A statement that the intent notice is not an assessment notice and that the assessment notice will be sent by the first Monday in June in the following year.

iv. A statement that both the taxable value stated on the assessment notice and the classification may be appealed to the county board of equalization as provided in Section 63-501A, Idaho Code.

v. Contact information indicating assessor’s office staff who may be contacted and how to do so.
b. Inspector qualifications. The inspector is the person assigned by the county assessor to review property characteristics and complete a timberland classification form provided by the state tax commission. The inspector must be proficient in each of the following:

i. Navigating forest locations;

ii. Skilled mapping techniques;

iii. Establishment of plot locations;

iv. Plant and tree identification; and

v. Site tree identification and measurements.

c. Inspector proficiency. Inspector proficiency must be established by a minimum of twelve (12) months of experience doing fieldwork, including reviewing the characteristics of timberland and:

i. Passing a tax commission sponsored class on timberland appraisal and inspection; or

ii. Passing equivalent courses from an accredited college or university; or

iii. Obtaining a degree in forestry or a related field from an accredited institution.

d. Documentation and retention. Documentation related to timberland productivity classification shall be retained for no less than ten (10) years following classification determination. Documentation shall include, but is not limited to:

i. Timberland characteristics, on a form provided by the tax commission, with sufficient detail to verify the classification, including the calculation of productivity class as set forth in Subsection 962.03 of this rule;

ii. The location of the field plot and any site trees using map or Global Positioning System (GPS) coordinates;

iii. A map illustrating property boundaries, habitat type based stratifications as provided in Subsection 962.03 of these rules, and plot locations used in the determination of productivity class;

iv. Any imagery used to assess the parcel prior to field review.

06. Alternate Method to Establish Productivity Classification. Provided the county assessor and forestland owner agree and the data is deemed by the county to be acceptable and accurate, the data used to establish any parcel’s productivity classification may be provided by the forestland owner. In this case, inspector qualifications and proficiency provisions of this rule will not apply.

a. Data to be considered confidential. When productivity data is provided to the county by the forestland owner, it shall be deemed confidential financial information and not subject to public disclosure, as provided in Section 004 of these rules.

b. Inspector certification not required. When the alternate method described in this section is to be used, the county shall not be required to have a certified inspector to review property characteristics.

c. Acceptable classification. To be considered acceptable, the classification of the timberland so established must result in market value for assessment purposes as defined in Section 63-1705(3), Idaho Code.