

**Idaho Water Quality Standard Rule**  
**Designates Domestic Water Supply as a Beneficial Use for 27 Waterbodies**  
**Docket No. 58-0102-1703**  
**<< Senate Tab 1 Page 142>>**

**1. Why was this rulemaking necessary?**

- This rule making was initiated to designate domestic water supply as a beneficial use in 27 water bodies where the Safe Drinking Water Information System indicates an active surface water intake or ground water is under direct influence of surface water and where domestic water supply is not currently designated.
- Subsection 100.03.a. is revised to clarify that the domestic water supply use is appropriate for use as untreated raw water for public drinking water supplies. (pg 145)
- In Sections 110 through 160, Domestic Water Supply (DWS) designations have been added to the “Other” column for 27 water body units where Domestic Water Supply is an existing use. (pg 146-202)
- Subsection 252.01 is revised by deleting and replacing Subsections 252.01.a and b.
  - 01.a is unnecessary as it is redundant with the Idaho Rules for Public Drinking Water Systems, IDAPA 58.01.08. and
  - 01.b includes the outdated table that is replaced by the designations in sections 110-160 (pg 205-206)
- Subsection 252.01.a. is replaced with a note that the Fish + Water human health criteria apply to Domestic Water Supply designated waters as a clarification. (pg 208)
- Subsection 252.01.b is replaced with an amended turbidity table to protect drinking water intakes. (pg 208)

**2. What opportunities did we provide for public involvement?**

- The draft Rule was published in the December 2017 Administrative Bulletin and was negotiated on December 19, 2017, and April 24, 2018.
- DEQ provided a 30 day public comment period after publication of the proposed rule in the administrative bulletin August 1, 2018.
- DEQ’s Board approved the rule at the November Board meeting.

**3. What is going to be the estimated cost to the regulated community, etc?**

- No additional costs to the regulated community.

**4. What are the controversial issues or contentious elements of the rule?**

- There are no controversial issues with this rule.

**5. Stringency issue?**

- This pending rule regulates an activity currently regulated by the federal government; the pending rule is not broader in scope nor more stringent than federal regulations.

DEQ requests the Committee recommend approval of the rule docket 58-0102-1703. This concludes my presentation. I’ll stand for questions.