MINUTES

SENATE COMMERCE & HUMAN RESOURCES COMMITTEE

DATE: Tuesday, March 09, 2021

TIME: 1:30 P.M.

PLACE: Room WW54

MEMBERS Chairman Patrick, Vice Chairman Souza, Senators Martin, Lakey, Guthrie,

PRESENT: Agenbroad, Riggs, Ward-Engelking, and Burgoyne

ABSENT/ None

EXCUSED:

NOTE: The sign-in sheet, testimonies and other related materials will be retained with

the minutes in the committee's office until the end of the session and will then be

located on file with the minutes in the Legislative Services Library.

CONVENED: Chairman Patrick called the meeting of the Senate Commerce and Human

Resources Committee (Committee) to order at 1:30 p.m.

Chairman Patrick noted a change in the meeting agenda. The RS would be heard

first before the Committee discusses other items.

RS 28755 Relating to Employment Security Law - Unanimous Consent Request for

Referral to a Privileged Committee for Printing. Senator Zito gave a very brief

overview of the RS noting it protects the Unemployment Trust Fund.

MOTION: Chairman Patrick asked for unanimous consent to send RS 28755 to the Judiciary

and Rules Committee for a print hearing. There were no objections.

H 81 Relating to Insurance - Revise Maximum Number of Board of Directors.

Representative Matthew Bundy (District 23), remarked this bill corrects a historical anomaly in Idaho Code § 41, Chapter 28, concerning insurance

companies and the maximum number of directors allowed by law to sit on certain insurance companies' boards of directors. He noted this bill expands from 15 to 25 the maximum number of directors who may sit on the board of a domestic insurance company under Idaho Code § 41-2835(1). He said this change would create a consistent rule by matching the 25 maximum number of directors currently allowed to sit on the board of a service corporation that converted to a mutual insurer, under

existing Idaho Code § 41-2835(5).

Representative Bundy noted this change in statute would not impact the General Fund, any dedicated fund, federal grants, or impacts to local funds because no

expenditure from these accounts is required.

Representative Bundy added there was no opposition from stakeholders on

this bill.

TESTIMONY: Stephen Thomas, Farm Bureau Mutual Insurance Company, stated he supported

the bill.

Jeff Neumeyer, United Heritage Financial Group, testified in support of the bill

on behalf of the Farm Bureau.

MOTION: Senator Agenbroad moved to send H 81 to the floor with a do pass

recommendation. Senator Lakey seconded the motion. The motion carried by

voice vote.

S 1120

Relating to Police Officers - Collective Bargaining - Discussion and Vote Only. Rob Shoplock, Vice President, Professional Firefighters of Idaho, said in closing it is important to hear from employees and to work collaboratively. Mr. Shoplock stated that in the last 50 years of collective bargaining in the State, a city has never filed for bankruptcy. He noted he could spend time studying a contract and make decisions.

Mike Miraglia, Legislative Chair, Fraternal Order of Police, addressed some of the concerns expressed by Committee members from its meeting on March 4, 2021. He explained the definition of police officers includes city, county, and state officers and originates from the Public Employee Retirement System of Idaho (PERSI). He pointed out collective bargaining is about open communication and transparency. Mr. Miraglia clarified that union funds could not be used for a political situation. He stated many police departments have tried to institute collective bargaining with cities and counties on the local level and failed. He stated many officers were asked by the Fraternal Order of Police if they would be willing to testify in support of this bill but said they feared repercussions. He noted that this bill was brought forward because officers want to be part of the solution and want a voice in collective bargaining.

DISCUSSION:

In response to a question from Senator Riggs, **Mr. Miraglia** stated if an agreement could not be reached, an independent perspective would be sought by the parties involved. He said the agreements are non binding and he hoped the good faith effort of communicating would help in negotiations.

Senator Agenbroad asked Susan Buxton, Administrator, Division of Human Resources (DHR), to answer some of his questions. **Senator Agenbroad** queried if the State is engaged in any collective bargaining. **Ms. Buxton** remarked she was not aware and that issue was never brought to DHR for review. She explained the structure of collective bargaining will address any law enforcement officers under PERSI. She declined to take a position on this bill.

MOTION:

Senator Ward-Engelking moved to send **S 1120** to the floor with a **do pass** recommendation. **Senator Burgoyne** seconded the motion.

DISCUSSION:

Senator Ward-Engelking remarked all of her collective bargaining experiences as an educator were positive. Employees do not always see what the administration must deal with but could always find agreement on some issues and move forward.

Vice Chair Souza remarked she appreciated this bill but it is not the answer Idaho needs at this time. She advised it was not the place of the State to mandate that collective bargaining is a process every community has to undergo. Vice Chair Souza explained that in Coeur d'Alene collective bargaining was instituted by public vote and had community support. She said Coeur d'Alene's approach is better for law enforcement and the community because it ensures mutual respect.

SUBSTITUTE MOTION:

Vice Chair Souza moved that S 1120 be held in Committee. Senator Agenbroad seconded the motion.

DISCUSSION:

Senator Burgoyne expressed his concerns about the substitute motion. He noted when involved in arbitration he never found the hearings to be contentious. He said testimony from both sides was characterized by candor and honesty. He remarked there are all kinds of reasons to have collective bargaining for balance. **Senator Burgoyne** stated law enforcement should be heard and this bill is a solid step in that direction.

Committee members discussed the process of collective bargaining and expressed their appreciation and support for law enforcement. Several members expressed a concern about the State mandating local government to engage in the collective bargaining process and supported the substitute motion.

Senators Ward-Engelking and **Senator Burgoyne** expressed support for the original motion.

ROLL CALL VOTE:

Chairman Patrick asked for a roll call vote on the substitute motion that S 1120 be held in Committee. Senators Lakey, Guthrie, Agenbroad, Riggs, Vice Chair Souza, and Chairman Patrick voted aye. Senators Martin, Ward-Engelking, and Burgoyne voted nay. The motion carried.

S 1161

Relating to Occupational Licensing - Electrical Contractors and Journeymen. Senator Guthrie stated apprenticeships are an integral part of workforce development. He said electrical apprenticeships provide critical on-the-job training to the apprentice and ensure the quality of the next generation of journeymen electricians. He said proper supervision of apprentices is key to on-the-job safety.

Senator Guthrie remarked the Idaho Electrical Board, after considering input from the electrical industry, established journeymen-to-apprentice ratios of 1:2 in commercial and industrial work and 1:4 in residential work. He noted in order to meet the needs of the electrical industry and protect public safety, this bill adopts the journeyman-to- apprentice ratio of IDAPA 24.39.10.09(a). **Senator Guthrie** reported there is no fiscal impact to the General Fund, any dedicated fund, federal grants, or to local funds because no expenditure from those accounts is required.

TESTIMONY:

Jeff Fitzloff, master electrician and inspector, representing himself, testified in support of the bill. He emphasized it was important for apprentices to be supervised.

MOTION:

Senator Martin moved to send **S 1161** to the 14th Order of Business for possible amendment. **Senator Burgoyne** seconded the motion.

DISCUSSION:

Senator Ward-Engelking and **Senator Guthrie** discussed whether this bill is an effort to place supervision of apprentices in statute rather than rule.

VOICE VOTE:

The motion to send **S 1161** to the 14th Order of Business for possible amendment carried by **voice vote**.

S 1057

Reconsideration of Insured Homeowner Protection Act. Michael Kane, American Property Casualty Insurance Association, noted this bill was returned to Committee because legislators raised enough concerns to warrant amendment..

TESTIMONY:

Mark Pasculli, President and Chief Executive Officer, Cleanup and Total Restoration, testified in support of the bill. He said the industry is highly unregulated and what they do is unique. He said this bill is a good road map to protect homeowners from predatory practices in the cleanup and restoration business.

MOTION:

Senator Burgoyne asked for unanimous consent to send **S 1057** to the 14th Order of Business for possible amendment. There were no objections.

PRESENTATION	Kealey, Director vision, and its of future with broad amount of time a big effect on	or, IDOC, ou economic de adband (Atta on core val	itlined the development achment 1) ues and gu	department t priorities. . He report uiding princ	t's responsibi He reported ed the IDOC ciples. He ind	ilities, the miss on building Id has spent a si licated COVID	ion and aho's gnificant
ADJOURNED:	There being no meeting at 3:03		iness at th	is time, C h	airman Patr	rick adjourned	the
Senator Patrick Chair					Linda Kamb Secretary	peitz	