

Dear Senators MARTIN, Riggs, Stennett, and
Representatives WOOD, Vander Woude, Chew:

The Legislative Services Office, Research and Legislation, has received the enclosed rules of
the Department of Health and Welfare:

IDAPA 16.07.33 - Adult Mental Health Services (ZBR Chapter Rewrite) - Proposed Rule (Docket
No. 16-0733-2201).

Pursuant to Section 67-454, Idaho Code, a meeting on the enclosed rules may be called by the
cochairmen or by two (2) or more members of the subcommittee giving oral or written notice to Research
and Legislation no later than fourteen (14) days after receipt of the rules' analysis from Legislative
Services. The final date to call a meeting on the enclosed rules is no later than 09/30/2022. If a meeting is
called, the subcommittee must hold the meeting within forty-two (42) days of receipt of the rules' analysis
from Legislative Services. The final date to hold a meeting on the enclosed rules is 10/28/2022.

The germane joint subcommittee may request a statement of economic impact with respect to a
proposed rule by notifying Research and Legislation. There is no time limit on requesting this statement,
and it may be requested whether or not a meeting on the proposed rule is called or after a meeting has
been held.

To notify Research and Legislation, call 334-4854, or send a written request to the address on the
memorandum attached below.



Terri Kondeff
Director

Legislative Services Office Idaho State Legislature

Serving Idaho's Citizen Legislature

MEMORANDUM

TO: Rules Review Subcommittee of the Senate Health & Welfare Committee and the House Health & Welfare Committee
FROM: Principal Legislative Drafting Attorney - Elizabeth Bowen
DATE: September 13, 2022
SUBJECT: Department of Health and Welfare

IDAPA 16.07.33 - Adult Mental Health Services (ZBR Chapter Rewrite) - Proposed Rule (Docket No. 16-0733-2201)

Summary and Stated Reasons for the Rule

This proposed rule revises rules regarding adult mental health services to simplify and streamline the language in accordance with Executive Order 2020-01.

Negotiated Rulemaking / Fiscal Impact

Negotiated rulemaking was conducted. There is no anticipated negative fiscal impact on the state general fund.

Statutory Authority

This rulemaking appears to be authorized pursuant to Sections 39-3140, 56-1003, 56-1004, and 56-1004A, Idaho Code.

cc: Department of Health and Welfare
Frank Powell and Trinette Middlebrook

*** PLEASE NOTE ***

Per the Idaho Constitution, all administrative rules may be reviewed by the Legislature during the next legislative session. The Legislature has 3 options with this rulemaking docket: **1)** Approve the docket in its entirety; **2)** Reject the docket in its entirety; or **3)** Reject the docket in part.

Paul Headlee, Deputy Director Kristin Ford, Manager Keith Bybee, Manager April Renfro, Manager Glenn Harris, Manager
Legislative Services Office Research & Legislation Budget & Policy Analysis Legislative Audits Information Technology

Statehouse, P.O. Box 83720
Boise, Idaho 83720-0054

Tel: 208-334-2475
legislature.idaho.gov

IDAPA 16 – DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND WELFARE

16.07.33 – ADULT MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES

DOCKET NO. 16-0733-2201 (ZBR CHAPTER REWRITE)

NOTICE OF RULEMAKING – PROPOSED RULE

AUTHORITY: In compliance with Section 67-5221(1), Idaho Code, notice is hereby given that this agency has initiated proposed rulemaking procedures. The action is authorized pursuant to Sections 39-3140, 56-1003(1), 56-1003(3)(d), 56-1004, and 56-1004A, Idaho Code.

PUBLIC HEARING SCHEDULE: A public hearing concerning this rulemaking will be held as follows:

Virtual Public Hearing via WebEx
Tuesday, September 20, 2022 1:00 p.m. to 2:00 p.m. (MT)
Join from the meeting link https://idhw.webex.com/idhw/j.php?MTID=m5a1a42bd9dbe63b717dd807fbc9baca0
Join by Phone: 1-415-527-5035 or 1-303-498-7536
Meeting access code: 2761 289 2093
Meeting password: eDA7ZxEAy55 (33279932 from phones and video systems)

The hearing site(s) will be accessible to persons with disabilities. Requests for accommodation must be made not later than five (5) days prior to the hearing, to the agency address below.

DESCRIPTIVE SUMMARY: The following is a nontechnical explanation of the substance and purpose of the proposed rulemaking:

In accordance with [Executive Order 2020-01: Zero-Based Regulation](#), this chapter of rules is being rewritten. The intent is to prevent the accumulation of costly, ineffective, and outdated regulations and reduce regulatory burden to achieve a more efficient operation of government. These rule changes represent a comprehensive review and revision of this chapter, in collaboration with the public, to streamline and simplify this rule language.

FEE SUMMARY: The following is a specific description of the fee or charge imposed or increased: N/A

FISCAL IMPACT: The following is a specific description, if applicable, of any negative fiscal impact on the state general fund greater than ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) during the fiscal year as a result of this rulemaking: This rulemaking is not anticipated to have any fiscal impact on the State General Fund, or any other known funds.

NEGOTIATED RULEMAKING: Pursuant to Section 67-5220(1), Idaho Code, negotiated rulemaking was conducted. The Notice of Intent to Promulgate Rules - Negotiated Rulemaking was published in the February 2, 2022, Idaho Administrative Bulletin, [Vol. 22-2, pages 36-37](#).

INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE: Pursuant to Section 67-5229(2)(a), Idaho Code, the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition, Text Revision (DSM-5-TR) is being incorporated by reference into these rules to give it the force and effect of law. This will replace the currently incorporated document, DSM-5. The document is not being published in this chapter of rules due to its length and format, and may be ordered from American Psychiatric Association, 800 Maine Avenue, S.W., Suite 900, Washington, DC 20024.

ASSISTANCE ON TECHNICAL QUESTIONS, SUBMISSION OF WRITTEN COMMENTS: For assistance on technical questions concerning the proposed rule, contact Treena Clark at (208) 334-6611.

Anyone may submit written comments regarding this proposed rulemaking. All written comments must be directed to the undersigned and must be delivered on or before September 28, 2022.

DATED this 5th day of August, 2022.

Tamara Prisock
DHW – Administrative Rules Unit
450 W. State Street – 10th Floor
P.O. Box 83720
Boise, ID 83720-0036
phone: (208) 334-5500
fax: (208) 334-6558
e-mail: dhwrules@dhw.idaho.gov

**THE FOLLOWING IS THE PROPOSED TEXT OF DOCKET NO. 16-0733-2201
(Zero Based Regulation (ZBR) Chapter Rewrite)**

16.07.33 – ADULT MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES

000. LEGAL AUTHORITY.

Under Section 39-3140, Idaho Code, the Department is authorized to promulgate rules to carry out the purposes and intent of the Regional Behavioral Health Services Act. Under Sections 56-1003(3)(d), 56-1004, and 56-1004A, Idaho Code, the Director is authorized to adopt rules to supervise and administer a mental health program. ()

001. SCOPE.

This chapter sets the standards for providing adult mental health services administered under the Department's Division of Behavioral Health. ()

002. (RESERVED)

003. ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS.

Administrative appeals from a denial of eligibility under Section 102 of these rules are governed by the provisions of IDAPA 16.05.03, "Contested Case Proceedings and Declaratory Rulings." ()

004. INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE.

American Psychiatric Association: Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition, Text Revision (DSM-5-TR) Washington, DC, American Psychiatric Association, 2022, is hereby incorporated by reference under this chapter of rules. Copies of the manual are available from the American Psychiatric Association, 800 Maine Avenue, S.W., Suite 900, Washington, DC 20024. ()

005. -- 008. (RESERVED)

009. CRIMINAL HISTORY AND BACKGROUND CHECK REQUIREMENTS.

01. Criminal History and Background Check. All employees, interns, contractors, and volunteers, of adult mental health services must comply with the provisions in IDAPA 16.05.06, “Criminal History and Background Checks.,” Section 101. ()

02. Availability to Work or Provide Service. An individual listed in Subsection 009.01 of these rules is available to work on a provisional basis at the discretion of the employer or agency once the individual has submitted their background check application, it has been reviewed by the employer or agency, and no disqualifying crimes or relevant records are disclosed on the application. An individual is fingerprinted within twenty-one (21) days of submitting their background check. ()

a. An individual is allowed to work or have access to participants only under supervision until the background check is completed. ()

b. An individual, who does not receive a background check clearance or have a Behavioral Health waiver granted under the provisions in this chapter, may not provide direct care or services, or serve in a position that requires regular contact with participants. ()

03. Waiver of Criminal History and Background Check Denial. ()

a. A certified individual who is seeking to provide Peer Support Specialist, Family Support Partner, or Recovery Coach services that receives an unconditional denial or a denial after an exemption review by the Department’s Criminal History Unit, may apply for a Behavioral Health waiver. ()

b. An individual is allowed to work with or have access to participants only under supervision until the waiver request is processed. ()

010. DEFINITIONS - A THROUGH L.

For the purposes of these rules, the following terms apply: ()

01. Adult. An individual eighteen (18) years or older. ()

02. Adult Mental Health Services. Adult mental health services are listed in Section 301 of these rules. These services are provided in response to the mental health needs of adults eligible for services required in Title 39, Chapter 31, Idaho Code, the Regional Behavioral Health Service Act, and under Section 102 of these rules. ()

03. Applicant. An adult individual who is seeking mental health services through the Department who has completed, or had completed on their behalf, an application for mental health services. ()

04. Clinical Assessment. The gathering of historical and current clinical information through a clinical interview and from other available resources to identify a participant’s mental health issues, strengths, and service needs. ()

05. Clinical Team. A proposed participant’s clinical team may include: qualified clinicians, behavioral health professionals, professionals other than behavioral health professionals, behavioral health technicians, and any other individual deemed appropriate and necessary to ensure that the treatment is comprehensive and meets the needs of the proposed participant. ()

06. Crisis Intervention Services. A set of planned activities designed to reduce the risk of life-threatening harm to self or another person. Crisis intervention services include evaluation, assessment, intervention, stabilization, and follow-up planning. ()

07. Department. The Idaho Department of Health and Welfare or its designee. ()

08. Eligibility Screening. The collection and review of information directly related to the applicant’s mental health and level of functioning, which the Department uses to determine whether an applicant is eligible for adult mental health services available through the Department’s Division of Behavioral Health. ()

011. DEFINITIONS - M THROUGH Z.

For the purposes of these rules, the following terms apply: ()

01. Mental Health Crisis. A mental health crisis occurs when a sudden loss of an adult individual's ability to use effective problem-solving and coping skills leads to an imminent risk of harm to self or others, or decompensation to the point of the individual's inability to protect themselves. ()

02. Network Treatment Provider. Any provider, group of providers, or entity that has a network provider agreement with the Department's Division of Behavioral Health contractor to provide behavioral health services. ()

03. Participant. A person receiving mental health services through the Department. ()

04. Serious Mental Illness (SMI). Means any of the following psychiatric illnesses as defined by the American Psychiatric Association in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, (DSM-5-TR), incorporated in Section 004 of these rules: ()

a. Schizophrenia spectrum and other psychotic disorders; ()

b. Bipolar disorders (mixed, manic and depressive); ()

c. Major depressive disorders (single episode or recurrent); ()

d. Obsessive-compulsive disorders. ()

05. Serious and Persistent Mental Illness (SPMI). A primary diagnosis under DSM-5-TR of Schizophrenia, Schizoaffective Disorder, Bipolar I Disorder, Bipolar II Disorder, Major Depressive Disorder Recurrent Severe, Delusional Disorder, or Psychotic Disorder Not Otherwise Specified (NOS) for a maximum of one hundred twenty (120) days without a conclusive diagnosis. The psychiatric disorder must be of sufficient severity to cause a substantial disturbance in role performance or coping skills in at least two (2) of the following functional areas in the last six (6) months: ()

a. Vocational or educational, or both. ()

b. Financial. ()

c. Social relationships or support, or both. ()

d. Family. ()

e. Basic daily living skills. ()

f. Housing. ()

g. Community or legal, or both. ()

h. Health or medical, or both. ()

012. -- 099. (RESERVED)

100. ACCESSING ADULT MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES.

Adult mental health services may be accessed either through an application for services, or through a court order for services. Individuals may access adult mental health services administered by the Department's Division of Behavioral Health through an eligibility screening. ()

101. ELIGIBILITY SCREENING AND MENTAL HEALTH ASSESSMENT.

01. Eligibility Screening. The eligibility screening must be directly related to the participant's mental illness and level of functioning and is based on the eligibility criteria under Section 102 of these rules. ()

02. Clinical Assessment. Once an individual is found eligible for adult mental health services, the individual will be authorized to receive a clinical assessment from a treatment provider in the Division of Behavioral Health's adult mental health services network to determine level of care. ()

102. ELIGIBILITY DETERMINATION.

01. Determination of Eligibility for Mental Health Services. The Department may limit or prioritize mental health services, define eligibility criteria, or establish the number of persons eligible based upon such factors as court-ordered services, availability of funding, the degree of financial need, the degree of clinical need, or other factors. ()

02. Eligibility Requirements. To be eligible for voluntary mental health services, the individual must: ()

a. Be an adult; ()

b. Be a resident of the state of Idaho; and ()

c. Have a primary diagnosis of SMI or SPMI. ()

03. Court-Ordered Assessment, Treatment, and Services. The court may order the Department to provide assessment, treatment, and services according to Sections 18-212, 19-2524, and 66-329, Idaho Code. ()

04. Ineligible Conditions. An individual who has a neurological disorder, a neurocognitive disorder as defined in Section 66-317, Idaho Code, a developmental disability as defined in Section 66-402, Idaho Code, a physical disability, or any medical disorder that includes psychiatric symptomology or is primarily impaired by substance use, unless in addition to such condition, such person is mentally ill. ()

103. NOTICE OF CHANGES IN ELIGIBILITY FOR MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES.

The Department may, upon ten (10) days' written notice, reduce, limit, suspend, or terminate eligibility for mental health services. ()

104. CRISIS INTERVENTION SERVICES.

Crisis intervention services are available twenty-four (24) hours per day, seven (7) days per week to adults experiencing a mental health crisis as defined under Section 011 of these rules. Crisis intervention services include evaluation, assessment, intervention, stabilization, and follow-up planning. ()

01. Determination of the Need for Crisis Intervention Services. The Department or its contractors will assess an adult experiencing a mental health crisis to determine whether services are needed to alleviate the crisis. ()

02. Identification of the Crisis Intervention Services Needed. If crisis intervention services are clinically necessary, as determined by the Department or its contractors, the Department or its contractors will: ()

a. Identify the services needed to stabilize the crisis; ()

b. Arrange for the provision of the crisis intervention services; and ()

c. Document in the individual's record the crisis services that are to be provided to the individual. ()

03. Immediate Intervention. If the Department determines that a mental health crisis exists

necessitating immediate intervention, crisis services will be arranged immediately. ()

105. NOTICE OF DECISION ON ELIGIBILITY AND RIGHT TO APPEAL.

01. Notification of Eligibility Determination. Within two (2) business days of receiving a completed screening, the Department or its contractors will notify the applicant or the applicant's designated representative in writing of its eligibility determination. ()

02. Notice of Right to Appeal. When the applicant is not eligible for services through the Department or its contractor(s), the Department or its contractor(s) will notify the applicant or the applicant's designated representative. The written notice will include: ()

a. A statement of the decision and the concise reasons for it; ()

b. The process and timeline for pursuing an appeal of the decision under IDAPA 16.05.03, "Contested Case Proceedings and Declaratory Rulings"; and ()

c. The right to be represented on appeal. ()

106. -- 119. (RESERVED)

120. PARTICIPANT'S RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES.

The Department will inform each participant receiving adult mental health services through the Department of their rights and responsibilities prior to the delivery of mental health services. Each participant is given a written statement of participant rights and responsibilities, which includes who the participant may contact with questions, concerns, or complaints regarding services provided.. ()

121. -- 999. (RESERVED)

INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE SYNOPSIS

In compliance with [Section 67-5223\(4\), Idaho Code](#), the following is a synopsis of the differences between the materials previously incorporated by reference in this rule that are currently in full force and effect and newly revised or amended versions of these same materials that are being proposed for incorporation by reference under this rulemaking.

The following agency of the State of Idaho has prepared this synopsis as part of the proposed rulemaking for the chapter cited here under the docket number specified:

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND WELFARE
IDAPA 16.07.33 – ADULT MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES
Under Docket No. 16-0733-2201**

(Include a brief description that explains the differences between the version of the materials or documents that are currently incorporated by reference and the materials or documents that are being proposed for adoption in this rulemaking.)

(You may use the following table or write a brief summary of the differences)

Incorporated Document Version/URL	IDAPA Section Number	Current Version of Incorporated Document	Substantive Changes in New Incorporation by Reference Version
American Psychiatric Association: Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5, 2013)	16.07.33.004	American Psychiatric Association: Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5-TR, 2022) <i>(NOTE: when approved by the 2023 Legislature, the incorporation of the DSM-5-TR, 2022, will go into effect on <i>Sine Die</i>, 2023.)</i>	See below for the detailed summary of changes to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5-TR, 2022) incorporated by reference under Section 004 of Behavioral Health chapter IDAPA 16.07.33, “Adult Mental Health Services.” The changes for this incorporated manual reflect a major overhaul of the 2013 edition (DSM-5). The 970-page text of the updated Manual (<i>DSM-5-TR, 2022</i>) may be ordered from: American Psychiatric Association, 800 Maine Avenue, S.W., Suite 900, Washington, DC 20024. If you have further questions, please contact BH Program Manager Treena Clark at: Treena.Clark@dhw.idaho.gov .



Attention to Culture, Racism, and Discrimination in DSM-5-TR

The APA, in response to concerns from members and others in the mental health field that race, ethnoracial differences, racism and discrimination be handled appropriately in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM), adopted multiple strategies to address these factors that impact psychiatric diagnosis in DSM-5-TR. These strategies include:

- A Cross-Cutting Review Committee on Cultural Issues, composed of 19 U.S. and international based experts in cultural psychiatry, psychology, and anthropology. Those experts reviewed the texts for cultural influences on disorder characteristics.
- An Ethnoracial Equity and Inclusion Work Group, composed of 10 mental health practitioners from diverse ethnic and racialized backgrounds with expertise in disparity-reduction practices, reviewed references to race, ethnicity, nationality, and related concepts throughout DSM-5-TR to avoid perpetuating stereotypes or including discriminatory clinical information.

As part of the changes implemented in DSM-5-TR is the use of language that challenges the view that races are discrete and natural entities:

- The term “racialized” is used instead of “race/racial” to highlight the socially constructed nature of race.
- The term “ethnoracial” is used in the text to denote the U.S. Census categories, such as Hispanic, White, or African American, that combine ethnic and racialized identifiers.
- The terms “minority” and “non-White” are avoided because they describe social groups in relation to a racialized “majority,” a practice that tends to perpetuate social hierarchies.
- The emerging term “Latinx” is used in place of Latino/Latina to promote gender-inclusive terminology.
- The term Caucasian is not used because it is based on obsolete and erroneous views about the geographic origin of a prototypical pan-European ethnicity.
- Prevalence data on specific ethnoracial groups were included when existing research documented reliable estimates based on representative samples.

In addition, information is provided on variations in symptom expression, attributions for disorder causes or precipitants, and factors associated with differential prevalence across demographic groups. Cultural norms that may affect the level of perceived pathology are also reported. Attention was paid to the risk of misdiagnosis when evaluating individuals from socially oppressed ethnoracial groups.

DSM is the manual used by clinicians and researchers to diagnose and classify mental disorders. The American Psychiatric Association (APA) will publish DSM-5-TR in 2022.

APA is a national medical specialty society whose more than 37,400 physician members specialize in the diagnosis, treatment, prevention, and research of mental illnesses, including substance use disorders. Visit the APA at www.psychiatry.org. For more information, please contact APA Communications at 202-459-9732 or press@psych.org.

© 2022 American Psychiatric Association



Order DSM-5-TR and related titles
at www.appi.org



Since October 1, 2015, the official coding system in the United States has been the International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-10-CM). ICD-10-CM is a version of the World Health Organization's ICD-10 that has been modified for clinical use by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) and provides the only permissible diagnostic codes for mental disorders for clinical use in the United States.

The codes that appear in DSM are the ICD codes that are equivalent to the DSM diagnoses. In DSM-5 both ICD-9 and ICD-10 codes were included, given that at the time of DSM-5 release the ICD-9-CM system was still in use in the United States. DSM-5-TR will, however, include only ICD-10-CM codes since they are the only official coding system in the United States at this time.

Most disorders in DSM-5-TR have an alphanumeric ICD-10-CM code that appears preceding the name of the disorder (or coded subtype or specifier). The text sections "recording procedures" or "coding notes" describe the appropriate coding procedure for the DSM diagnoses. The use of diagnostic codes is fundamental to medical record keeping. It facilitates data collection and retrieval and compilation of statistical information.

DSM is the manual used by clinicians and researchers to diagnose and classify mental disorders. The American Psychiatric Association (APA) will publish DSM-5-TR in 2022.

APA is a national medical specialty society whose more than 37,400 physician members specialize in the diagnosis, treatment, prevention, and research of mental illnesses, including substance use disorders. Visit the APA at www.psychiatry.org. For more information, please contact APA Communications at 202-459-9732 or press@psych.org.

© 2022 American Psychiatric Association



Order DSM-5-TR and related titles
at www.appi.org



The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, fifth edition, text revision (DSM-5-TR), like DSM-5, features a lifespan approach to mental health. The organization of childhood conditions underscores how they can continue to manifest at various stages of life and may be impacted by the developmental continuum that influences many disorders.

Some of the diagnostic criteria were updated in DSM-5-TR to capture the experiences and symptoms of children more precisely. In addition, DSM-5-TR, like DSM-5, emphasized that similar to any medical issue, no child should ever be diagnosed without a careful, comprehensive evaluation, and no medication should be prescribed without equal vigilance. Parents play an integral role in this process, as many of the DSM criteria require that symptoms be observed by them or other individuals who interact regularly with the child.

More Precise Criteria

Existing criteria have been updated in DSM-5-TR to provide more precise descriptions and reflect the scientific advances and clinical experience of the last decade. Below are brief summaries of changes to select disorders.

Autism Spectrum Disorder

Criterion A phrase “as manifested by the following” was revised to read “as manifested by all of the following” to improve its clarity. The revision by the work group was made to maintain a high diagnostic threshold by requiring “all of the following,” and not “any of the following” criteria, as could be mistakenly implied by the previous wording of the criterion.

Disruptive Mood Dysregulation Disorder

The text in the “Development and Course” section describing the age range at which disruptive mood dysregulation disorder can be diagnosed and for which validity is established was updated to “6–18 years,” as noted in criterion G.

Posttraumatic Stress Disorder

For children 6 years and younger, the note that “witnessing does not include events that are witnessed only in electronic media, television, movies, or pictures” in Criterion A.2 was removed for its redundancy, given that criterion A.2 already indicates that the events occurring to others must be witnessed in person.

Prolonged Grief Disorder

Prolonged Grief Disorder is a new disorder in DSM-5-TR. Specific language was added to the criteria to define the difference between children and adolescents versus adults. The intent of that is to reflect current scientific evidence and highlight the different reactions children or adolescents might have in such situations.

DSM is the manual used by clinicians and researchers to diagnose and classify mental disorders. The American Psychiatric Association (APA) will publish DSM-5-TR in 2022.

APA is a national medical specialty society whose more than 37,400 physician members specialize in the diagnosis, treatment, prevention, and research of mental illnesses, including substance use disorders. Visit the APA at www.psychiatry.org. For more information, please contact APA Communications at 202-459-9732 or press@psych.org.

© 2022 American Psychiatric Association



Order DSM-5-TR and related titles
at www.appi.org



The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, fifth edition, text revision (DSM-5-TR) includes robust debate about the scientific evidence and clinical experience supporting the book's contents. This section, just as in DSM-5, offers tools and techniques to help clinicians enhance clinical practice, understand the cultural context of mental disorders, and facilitate further study of proposed emerging diagnoses.

Clinical Sequence

The Assessment Measures offers Level 1 and Level 2 cross-cutting self/informant-rated measures. Level 1 cross-cutting measures serve as a review of systems across mental disorders. Level 2 cross-cutting symptom measures provide selected means of obtaining more in-depth information on potentially significant symptoms to inform diagnosis, treatment planning, and follow-up. They are available online at www.psychiatry.org/dsm5.

Some of the changes regarding the Assessment Measures:

Sex “Male/Female” checkboxes:

In DSM-5-TR, all Sex “Male/Female” checkboxes at beginning of each measure were deleted to eliminate the use of binary classification.

Clinician-Rated Dimensions of Psychosis Symptom Severity measure:

The instructions for use of the measure were edited in keeping with criteria (severity specifiers) for schizophrenia spectrum and other psychotic disorders.

World Health Organization Disability Assessment Schedule 2.0:

Clarifications were added to the instructions on how to calculate the summary scores for the WHODAS 2.0 36-item full version.

Cultural Context

The cultural context section provides a comprehensive review of the cultural context of mental disorders and the cultural formulation interview (CFI) for clinical use. It includes basic information on integrating culture and social context in clinical diagnoses, as well as cultural formulation, and cultural concepts of distress.

In DSM-5-TR, key terms that help to highlight the cultural context of illness experience are provided. Understanding this context is essential for effective diagnostic assessment and clinical management. Definitions and clarifications were provided for terms such as culture, race, and ethnicity.

Examples of the cultural concepts of distress were revised in DSM-5-TR to provide more clarifications and ensure that no stigmatizing or generalizing language was used.

The cultural formulation section presents an outline for a systematic person-centered cultural assessment that is designed to be used by any clinician providing services to any individual in any care setting. This section also includes an interview protocol, the cultural formulation interview, that operationalizes these components.

The cultural concepts of distress section describe the ways individuals express, report, and interpret experiences of illness and distress. Cultural concepts of distress include idioms, explanations or perceived causes, and syndromes.

Alternative DSM-5 Model for Personality Disorder

The alternative DSM-5 Model for personality disorders provides an alternative to the extant personality disorders classification in Section II. This section was not changed from DSM-5.

Conditions for Further Study

The chapter includes proposed criteria sets presented for conditions on which research is encouraged. It is hoped that such research will allow the field to better understand these conditions and inform future decisions about possible placement in the DSM. Persistent complex bereavement disorder, originally located in this section, has been moved to the chapter “trauma- and stressor-related disorders” in Section II as an official diagnosis. Based on thorough reviews finding sufficient evidence of validity, reliability, and clinical utility to justify its recognition as an official DSM diagnosis, it is now named “prolonged grief disorder” and the criteria have been appropriately reformulated.

DSM is the manual used by clinicians and researchers to diagnose and classify mental disorders. The American Psychiatric Association (APA) will publish DSM-5-TR in 2022.

APA is a national medical specialty society whose more than 37,400 physician members specialize in the diagnosis, treatment, prevention, and research of mental illnesses, including substance use disorders. Visit the APA at www.psychiatry.org. For more information, please contact APA Communications at 202-459-9732 or press@psych.org.

© 2022 American Psychiatric Association



Order DSM-5-TR and related titles
at www.appi.org



Highlights of Changes from DSM-IV-TR to DSM-5



Changes made to the DSM-5 diagnostic criteria and texts are outlined in this chapter in the same order in which they appear in the DSM-5 classification. This is not an exhaustive guide; minor changes in text or wording made for clarity are not described here. It should also be noted that Section I of DSM-5 contains a description of changes pertaining to the chapter organization in DSM-5, the multiaxial system, and the introduction of dimensional assessments (in Section III).

Terminology

The phrase “general medical condition” is replaced in DSM-5 with “another medical condition” where relevant across all disorders.

Neurodevelopmental Disorders

Intellectual Disability (Intellectual Developmental Disorder)

Diagnostic criteria for intellectual disability (intellectual developmental disorder) emphasize the need for an assessment of both cognitive capacity (IQ) and adaptive functioning. Severity is determined by adaptive functioning rather than IQ score. The term mental retardation was used in DSM-IV. However, *intellectual disability* is the term that has come into common use over the past two decades among medical, educational, and other professionals, and by the lay public and advocacy groups. Moreover, a federal statute in the United States (Public Law 111-256, Rosa’s Law) replaces the term “mental retardation with intellectual disability. Despite the name change, the deficits in cognitive capacity beginning in the developmental period, with the accompanying diagnostic criteria, are considered to constitute a mental disorder. The term *intellectual developmental disorder* was placed in parentheses to reflect the World Health Organization’s classification system, which lists “disorders” in the International Classification of Diseases (ICD; ICD-11 to be released in 2015) and bases all “disabilities” on the International Classification of Functioning, Disability, and Health (ICF). Because the ICD-11 will not be adopted for several years, *intellectual disability* was chosen as the current preferred term with the bridge term for the future in parentheses.

Communication Disorders

The DSM-5 communication disorders include language disorder (which combines DSM-IV expressive and mixed receptive-expressive language disorders), speech sound disorder (a new name for phonological disorder), and childhood-onset fluency disorder (a new name for stuttering). Also included is social (pragmatic) communication disorder, a new condition for persistent difficulties in the social uses of verbal and nonverbal communication. Because social communication deficits are one component of autism spectrum disorder (ASD), it is important to note that social (pragmatic) communication disorder cannot be diagnosed in the presence of restricted repetitive behaviors, interests, and activities (the other component of ASD). The symptoms of some patients diagnosed with DSM-IV pervasive developmental disorder not otherwise specified may meet the DSM-5 criteria for social communication disorder.

Autism Spectrum Disorder

Autism spectrum disorder is a new DSM-5 name that reflects a scientific consensus that four previously separate disorders are actually a single condition with different levels of symptom severity in two core

domains. ASD now encompasses the previous DSM-IV autistic disorder (autism), Asperger's disorder, childhood disintegrative disorder, and pervasive developmental disorder not otherwise specified. ASD is characterized by 1) deficits in social communication and social interaction and 2) restricted repetitive behaviors, interests, and activities (RRBs). Because both components are required for diagnosis of ASD, social communication disorder is diagnosed if no RRBs are present.

Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder

The diagnostic criteria for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) in DSM-5 are similar to those in DSM-IV. The same 18 symptoms are used as in DSM-IV, and continue to be divided into two symptom domains (inattention and hyperactivity/impulsivity), of which at least six symptoms in one domain are required for diagnosis. However, several changes have been made in DSM-5: 1) examples have been added to the criterion items to facilitate application across the life span; 2) the cross-situational requirement has been strengthened to "several" symptoms in each setting; 3) the onset criterion has been changed from "symptoms that caused impairment were present before age 7 years" to "several inattentive or hyperactive-impulsive symptoms were present prior to age 12"; 4) subtypes have been replaced with presentation specifiers that map directly to the prior subtypes; 5) a comorbid diagnosis with autism spectrum disorder is now allowed; and 6) a symptom threshold change has been made for adults, to reflect their substantial evidence of clinically significant ADHD impairment, with the cutoff for ADHD of five symptoms, instead of six required for younger persons, both for inattention and for hyperactivity and impulsivity. Finally, ADHD was placed in the neurodevelopmental disorders chapter to reflect brain developmental correlates with ADHD and the DSM-5 decision to eliminate the DSM-IV chapter that includes all diagnoses usually first made in infancy, childhood, or adolescence.

Specific Learning Disorder

Specific learning disorder combines the DSM-IV diagnoses of reading disorder, mathematics disorder, disorder of written expression, and learning disorder not otherwise specified. Because learning deficits in the areas of reading, written expression, and mathematics commonly occur together, coded specifiers for the deficit types in each area are included. The text acknowledges that specific types of reading deficits are described internationally in various ways as dyslexia and specific types of mathematics deficits as dyscalculia.

Motor Disorders

The following motor disorders are included in the DSM-5 neurodevelopmental disorders chapter: developmental coordination disorder, stereotypic movement disorder, Tourette's disorder, persistent (chronic) motor or vocal tic disorder, provisional tic disorder, other specified tic disorder, and unspecified tic disorder. The tic criteria have been standardized across all of these disorders in this chapter. Stereotypic movement disorder has been more clearly differentiated from body-focused repetitive behavior disorders that are in the DSM-5 obsessive-compulsive disorder chapter.

Schizophrenia Spectrum and Other Psychotic Disorders

Schizophrenia

Two changes were made to DSM-IV Criterion A for schizophrenia. The first change is the elimination of the special attribution of bizarre delusions and Schneiderian first-rank auditory hallucinations (e.g., two or more voices conversing). In DSM-IV, only one such symptom was needed to meet the diagnostic requirement for Criterion A, instead of two of the other listed symptoms. This special attribution was

removed due to the nonspecificity of Schneiderian symptoms and the poor reliability in distinguishing bizarre from nonbizarre delusions. Therefore, in DSM-5, two Criterion A symptoms are required for any diagnosis of schizophrenia. The second change is the addition of a requirement in Criterion A that the individual must have at least one of these three symptoms: delusions, hallucinations, and disorganized speech. At least one of these core “positive symptoms” is necessary for a reliable diagnosis of schizophrenia.

Schizophrenia subtypes

The DSM-IV subtypes of schizophrenia (i.e., paranoid, disorganized, catatonic, undifferentiated, and residual types) are eliminated due to their limited diagnostic stability, low reliability, and poor validity. These subtypes also have not been shown to exhibit distinctive patterns of treatment response or longitudinal course. Instead, a dimensional approach to rating severity for the core symptoms of schizophrenia is included in Section III to capture the important heterogeneity in symptom type and severity expressed across individuals with psychotic disorders.

Schizoaffective Disorder

The primary change to schizoaffective disorder is the requirement that a major mood episode be present for a majority of the disorder’s total duration after Criterion A has been met. This change was made on both conceptual and psychometric grounds. It makes schizoaffective disorder a longitudinal instead of a cross-sectional diagnosis—more comparable to schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, and major depressive disorder, which are bridged by this condition. The change was also made to improve the reliability, diagnostic stability, and validity of this disorder, while recognizing that the characterization of patients with both psychotic and mood symptoms, either concurrently or at different points in their illness, has been a clinical challenge.

Delusional Disorder

Criterion A for delusional disorder no longer has the requirement that the delusions must be non-bizarre. A specifier for bizarre type delusions provides continuity with DSM-IV. The demarcation of delusional disorder from psychotic variants of obsessive-compulsive disorder and body dysmorphic disorder is explicitly noted with a new exclusion criterion, which states that the symptoms must not be better explained by conditions such as obsessive-compulsive or body dysmorphic disorder with absent insight/delusional beliefs. DSM-5 no longer separates delusional disorder from shared delusional disorder. If criteria are met for delusional disorder then that diagnosis is made. If the diagnosis cannot be made but shared beliefs are present, then the diagnosis “other specified schizophrenia spectrum and other psychotic disorder” is used.

Catatonia

The same criteria are used to diagnose catatonia whether the context is a psychotic, bipolar, depressive, or other medical disorder, or an unidentified medical condition. In DSM-IV, two out of five symptom clusters were required if the context was a psychotic or mood disorder, whereas only one symptom cluster was needed if the context was a general medical condition. In DSM-5, all contexts require three catatonic symptoms (from a total of 12 characteristic symptoms). In DSM-5, catatonia may be diagnosed as a specifier for depressive, bipolar, and psychotic disorders; as a separate diagnosis in the context of another medical condition; or as an other specified diagnosis.

Bipolar and Related Disorders

Bipolar Disorders

To enhance the accuracy of diagnosis and facilitate earlier detection in clinical settings, Criterion A for manic and hypomanic episodes now includes an emphasis on changes in activity and energy as well as mood. The DSM-IV diagnosis of bipolar I disorder, mixed episode, requiring that the individual simultaneously meet full criteria for both mania and major depressive episode, has been removed. Instead, a new specifier, “with mixed features,” has been added that can be applied to episodes of mania or hypomania when depressive features are present, and to episodes of depression in the context of major depressive disorder or bipolar disorder when features of mania/hypomania are present.

Other Specified Bipolar and Related Disorder

DSM-5 allows the specification of particular conditions for other specified bipolar and related disorder, including categorization for individuals with a past history of a major depressive disorder who meet all criteria for hypomania except the duration criterion (i.e., at least 4 consecutive days). A second condition constituting an other specified bipolar and related disorder is that too few symptoms of hypomania are present to meet criteria for the full bipolar II syndrome, although the duration is sufficient at 4 or more days.

Anxious Distress Specifier

In the chapter on bipolar and related disorders and the chapter on depressive disorders, a specifier for anxious distress is delineated. This specifier is intended to identify patients with anxiety symptoms that are not part of the bipolar diagnostic criteria.

Depressive Disorders

DSM-5 contains several new depressive disorders, including disruptive mood dysregulation disorder and premenstrual dysphoric disorder. To address concerns about potential overdiagnosis and overtreatment of bipolar disorder in children, a new diagnosis, disruptive mood dysregulation disorder, is included for children up to age 18 years who exhibit persistent irritability and frequent episodes of extreme behavioral dyscontrol. Based on strong scientific evidence, premenstrual dysphoric disorder has been moved from DSM-IV Appendix B, “Criteria Sets and Axes Provided for Further Study,” to the main body of DSM-5. Finally, DSM-5 conceptualizes chronic forms of depression in a somewhat modified way. What was referred to as dysthymia in DSM-IV now falls under the category of persistent depressive disorder, which includes both chronic major depressive disorder and the previous dysthymic disorder. An inability to find scientifically meaningful differences between these two conditions led to their combination with specifiers included to identify different pathways to the diagnosis and to provide continuity with DSM-IV.

Major Depressive Disorder

Neither the core criterion symptoms applied to the diagnosis of major depressive episode nor the requisite duration of at least 2 weeks has changed from DSM-IV. Criterion A for a major depressive episode in DSM-5 is identical to that of DSM-IV, as is the requirement for clinically significant distress or impairment in social, occupational, or other important areas of life, although this is now listed as Criterion B rather than Criterion C. The coexistence within a major depressive episode of at least three manic symptoms (insufficient to satisfy criteria for a manic episode) is now acknowledged by the specifier “with mixed features.” The presence of mixed features in an episode of major depressive disorder in-

creases the likelihood that the illness exists in a bipolar spectrum; however, if the individual concerned has never met criteria for a manic or hypomanic episode, the diagnosis of major depressive disorder is retained.

Bereavement Exclusion

In DSM-IV, there was an exclusion criterion for a major depressive episode that was applied to depressive symptoms lasting less than 2 months following the death of a loved one (i.e., the bereavement exclusion). This exclusion is omitted in DSM-5 for several reasons. The first is to remove the implication that bereavement typically lasts only 2 months when both physicians and grief counselors recognize that the duration is more commonly 1–2 years. Second, bereavement is recognized as a severe psychosocial stressor that can precipitate a major depressive episode in a vulnerable individual, generally beginning soon after the loss. When major depressive disorder occurs in the context of bereavement, it adds an additional risk for suffering, feelings of worthlessness, suicidal ideation, poorer somatic health, worse interpersonal and work functioning, and an increased risk for persistent complex bereavement disorder, which is now described with explicit criteria in Conditions for Further Study in DSM-5 Section III. Third, bereavement-related major depression is most likely to occur in individuals with past personal and family histories of major depressive episodes. It is genetically influenced and is associated with similar personality characteristics, patterns of comorbidity, and risks of chronicity and/or recurrence as non-bereavement-related major depressive episodes. Finally, the depressive symptoms associated with bereavement-related depression respond to the same psychosocial and medication treatments as non-bereavement-related depression. In the criteria for major depressive disorder, a detailed footnote has replaced the more simplistic DSM-IV exclusion to aid clinicians in making the critical distinction between the symptoms characteristic of bereavement and those of a major depressive episode. Thus, although most people experiencing the loss of a loved one experience bereavement without developing a major depressive episode, evidence does not support the separation of loss of a loved one from other stressors in terms of its likelihood of precipitating a major depressive episode or the relative likelihood that the symptoms will remit spontaneously.

Specifiers for Depressive Disorders

Suicidality represents a critical concern in psychiatry. Thus, the clinician is given guidance on assessment of suicidal thinking, plans, and the presence of other risk factors in order to make a determination of the prominence of suicide prevention in treatment planning for a given individual. A new specifier to indicate the presence of mixed symptoms has been added across both the bipolar and the depressive disorders, allowing for the possibility of manic features in individuals with a diagnosis of unipolar depression. A substantial body of research conducted over the last two decades points to the importance of anxiety as relevant to prognosis and treatment decision making. The “with anxious distress” specifier gives the clinician an opportunity to rate the severity of anxious distress in all individuals with bipolar or depressive disorders.

Anxiety Disorders

The DSM-5 chapter on anxiety disorder no longer includes obsessive-compulsive disorder (which is included with the obsessive-compulsive and related disorders) or posttraumatic stress disorder and acute stress disorder (which is included with the trauma- and stressor-related disorders). However, the sequential order of these chapters in DSM-5 reflects the close relationships among them.

Agoraphobia, Specific Phobia, and Social Anxiety Disorder (Social Phobia)

Changes in criteria for agoraphobia, specific phobia, and social anxiety disorder (social phobia) include deletion of the requirement that individuals over age 18 years recognize that their anxiety is excessive or unreasonable. This change is based on evidence that individuals with such disorders often overestimate the danger in “phobic” situations and that older individuals often misattribute “phobic” fears to aging. Instead, the anxiety must be out of proportion to the actual danger or threat in the situation, after taking cultural contextual factors into account. In addition, the 6-month duration, which was limited to individuals under age 18 in DSM-IV, is now extended to all ages. This change is intended to minimize overdiagnosis of transient fears.

Panic Attack

The essential features of panic attacks remain unchanged, although the complicated DSM-IV terminology for describing different types of panic attacks (i.e., situationally bound/cued, situationally predisposed, and unexpected/uncued) is replaced with the terms unexpected and expected panic attacks. Panic attacks function as a marker and prognostic factor for severity of diagnosis, course, and comorbidity across an array of disorders, including but not limited to anxiety disorders. Hence, panic attack can be listed as a specifier that is applicable to all DSM-5 disorders.

Panic Disorder and Agoraphobia

Panic disorder and agoraphobia are unlinked in DSM-5. Thus, the former DSM-IV diagnoses of panic disorder with agoraphobia, panic disorder without agoraphobia, and agoraphobia without history of panic disorder are now replaced by two diagnoses, panic disorder and agoraphobia, each with separate criteria. The co-occurrence of panic disorder and agoraphobia is now coded with two diagnoses. This change recognizes that a substantial number of individuals with agoraphobia do not experience panic symptoms. The diagnostic criteria for agoraphobia are derived from the DSM-IV descriptors for agoraphobia, although endorsement of fears from two or more agoraphobia situations is now required, because this is a robust means for distinguishing agoraphobia from specific phobias. Also, the criteria for agoraphobia are extended to be consistent with criteria sets for other anxiety disorders (e.g., clinician judgment of the fears as being out of proportion to the actual danger in the situation, with a typical duration of 6 months or more).

Specific Phobia

The core features of specific phobia remain the same, but there is no longer a requirement that individuals over age 18 years must recognize that their fear and anxiety are excessive or unreasonable, and the duration requirement (“typically lasting for 6 months or more”) now applies to all ages. Although they are now referred to as specifiers, the different types of specific phobia have essentially remained unchanged.

Social Anxiety Disorder (Social Phobia)

The essential features of social anxiety disorder (social phobia) (formerly called social phobia) remain the same. However, a number of changes have been made, including deletion of the requirement that individuals over age 18 years must recognize that their fear or anxiety is excessive or unreasonable, and duration criterion of “typically lasting for 6 months or more” is now required for all ages. A more significant change is that the “generalized” specifier has been deleted and replaced with a “performance only” specifier. The DSM-IV generalized specifier was problematic in that “fears include most social situations” was difficult to operationalize. Individuals who fear only performance situations (i.e., speaking

or performing in front of an audience) appear to represent a distinct subset of social anxiety disorder in terms of etiology, age at onset, physiological response, and treatment response.

Separation Anxiety Disorder

Although in DSM-IV, separation anxiety disorder was classified in the section “Disorders Usually First Diagnosed in Infancy, Childhood, or Adolescence,” it is now classified as an anxiety disorder. The core features remain mostly unchanged, although the wording of the criteria has been modified to more adequately represent the expression of separation anxiety symptoms in adulthood. For example, attachment figures may include the children of adults with separation anxiety disorder, and avoidance behaviors may occur in the workplace as well as at school. Also, in contrast to DSM-IV, the diagnostic criteria no longer specify that age at onset must be before 18 years, because a substantial number of adults report onset of separation anxiety after age 18. Also, a duration criterion—“typically lasting for 6 months or more”—has been added for adults to minimize overdiagnosis of transient fears.

Selective Mutism

In DSM-IV, selective mutism was classified in the section “Disorders Usually First Diagnosed in Infancy, Childhood, or Adolescence.” It is now classified as an anxiety disorder, given that a large majority of children with selective mutism are anxious. The diagnostic criteria are largely unchanged from DSM-IV.

Obsessive-Compulsive and Related Disorders

The chapter on obsessive-compulsive and related disorders, which is new in DSM-5, reflects the increasing evidence that these disorders are related to one another in terms of a range of diagnostic validators, as well as the clinical utility of grouping these disorders in the same chapter. New disorders include hoarding disorder, excoriation (skin-picking) disorder, substance-/medication-induced obsessive-compulsive and related disorder, and obsessive-compulsive and related disorder due to another medical condition. The DSM-IV diagnosis of trichotillomania is now termed trichotillomania (hair-pulling disorder) and has been moved from a DSM-IV classification of impulse-control disorders not elsewhere classified to obsessive-compulsive and related disorders in DSM-5.

Specifiers for Obsessive-Compulsive and Related Disorders

The “with poor insight” specifier for obsessive-compulsive disorder has been refined in DSM-5 to allow a distinction between individuals with good or fair insight, poor insight, and “absent insight/delusional” obsessive-compulsive disorder beliefs (i.e., complete conviction that obsessive-compulsive disorder beliefs are true). Analogous “insight” specifiers have been included for body dysmorphic disorder and hoarding disorder. These specifiers are intended to improve differential diagnosis by emphasizing that individuals with these two disorders may present with a range of insight into their disorder-related beliefs, including absent insight/delusional symptoms. This change also emphasizes that the presence of absent insight/delusional beliefs warrants a diagnosis of the relevant obsessive-compulsive or related disorder, rather than a schizophrenia spectrum and other psychotic disorder. The “tic-related” specifier for obsessive-compulsive disorder reflects a growing literature on the diagnostic validity and clinical utility of identifying individuals with a current or past comorbid tic disorder, because this comorbidity may have important clinical implications.

Body Dysmorphic Disorder

For DSM-5 body dysmorphic disorder, a diagnostic criterion describing repetitive behaviors or mental

acts in response to preoccupations with perceived defects or flaws in physical appearance has been added, consistent with data indicating the prevalence and importance of this symptom. A “with muscle dysmorphia” specifier has been added to reflect a growing literature on the diagnostic validity and clinical utility of making this distinction in individuals with body dysmorphic disorder. The delusional variant of body dysmorphic disorder (which identifies individuals who are completely convinced that their perceived defects or flaws are truly abnormal appearing) is no longer coded as both delusional disorder, somatic type, and body dysmorphic disorder; in DSM-5 this presentation is designated only as body dysmorphic disorder with the absent insight/delusional beliefs specifier.

Hoarding Disorder

Hoarding disorder is a new diagnosis in DSM-5. DSM-IV lists hoarding as one of the possible symptoms of obsessive-compulsive personality disorder and notes that extreme hoarding may occur in obsessive-compulsive disorder. However, available data do not indicate that hoarding is a variant of obsessive-compulsive disorder or another mental disorder. Instead, there is evidence for the diagnostic validity and clinical utility of a separate diagnosis of hoarding disorder, which reflects persistent difficulty discarding or parting with possessions due to a perceived need to save the items and distress associated with discarding them. Hoarding disorder may have unique neurobiological correlates, is associated with significant impairment, and may respond to clinical intervention.

Trichotillomania (Hair-Pulling Disorder)

Trichotillomania was included in DSM-IV, although “hair-pulling disorder” has been added parenthetically to the disorder’s name in DSM-5.

Excoriation (Skin-Picking) Disorder

Excoriation (skin-picking) disorder is newly added to DSM-5, with strong evidence for its diagnostic validity and clinical utility.

Substance/Medication-Induced Obsessive-Compulsive and Related Disorder and Obsessive-Compulsive and Related Disorder Due to Another Medical Condition

DSM-IV included a specifier “with obsessive-compulsive symptoms” in the diagnoses of anxiety disorders due to a general medical condition and substance-induced anxiety disorders. Given that obsessive-compulsive and related disorders are now a distinct category, DSM-5 includes new categories for substance-/medication-induced obsessive-compulsive and related disorder and for obsessive-compulsive and related disorder due to another medical condition. This change is consistent with the intent of DSM-IV, and it reflects the recognition that substances, medications, and medical conditions can present with symptoms similar to primary obsessive-compulsive and related disorders.

Other Specified and Unspecified Obsessive-Compulsive and Related Disorders

DSM-5 includes the diagnoses other specified obsessive-compulsive and related disorder, which can include conditions such as body-focused repetitive behavior disorder and obsessional jealousy, or unspecified obsessive-compulsive and related disorder. Body-focused repetitive behavior disorder is characterized by recurrent behaviors other than hair pulling and skin picking (e.g., nail biting, lip biting, cheek chewing) and repeated attempts to decrease or stop the behaviors. Obsessional jealousy is characterized by nondelusional preoccupation with a partner’s perceived infidelity.

Trauma- and Stressor-Related Disorders

Acute Stress Disorder

In DSM-5, the stressor criterion (Criterion A) for acute stress disorder is changed from DSM-IV. The criterion requires being explicit as to whether qualifying traumatic events were experienced directly, witnessed, or experienced indirectly. Also, the DSM-IV Criterion A2 regarding the subjective reaction to the traumatic event (e.g., “the person’s response involved intense fear, helplessness, or horror”) has been eliminated. Based on evidence that acute posttraumatic reactions are very heterogeneous and that DSM-IV’s emphasis on dissociative symptoms is overly restrictive, individuals may meet diagnostic criteria in DSM-5 for acute stress disorder if they exhibit any 9 of 14 listed symptoms in these categories: intrusion, negative mood, dissociation, avoidance, and arousal.

Adjustment Disorders

In DSM-5, adjustment disorders are reconceptualized as a heterogeneous array of stress-response syndromes that occur after exposure to a distressing (traumatic or nontraumatic) event, rather than as a residual category for individuals who exhibit clinically significant distress without meeting criteria for a more discrete disorder (as in DSM-IV). DSM-IV subtypes marked by depressed mood, anxious symptoms, or disturbances in conduct have been retained, unchanged.

Posttraumatic Stress Disorder

DSM-5 criteria for posttraumatic stress disorder differ significantly from those in DSM-IV. As described previously for acute stress disorder, the stressor criterion (Criterion A) is more explicit with regard to how an individual experienced “traumatic” events. Also, Criterion A2 (subjective reaction) has been eliminated. Whereas there were three major symptom clusters in DSM-IV—reexperiencing, avoidance/numbing, and arousal—there are now four symptom clusters in DSM-5, because the avoidance/numbing cluster is divided into two distinct clusters: avoidance and persistent negative alterations in cognitions and mood. This latter category, which retains most of the DSM-IV numbing symptoms, also includes new or reconceptualized symptoms, such as persistent negative emotional states. The final cluster—alterations in arousal and reactivity—retains most of the DSM-IV arousal symptoms. It also includes irritable or aggressive behavior and reckless or self-destructive behavior. Posttraumatic stress disorder is now developmentally sensitive in that diagnostic thresholds have been lowered for children and adolescents. Furthermore, separate criteria have been added for children age 6 years or younger with this disorder.

Reactive Attachment Disorder

The DSM-IV childhood diagnosis reactive attachment disorder had two subtypes: emotionally withdrawn/inhibited and indiscriminately social/disinhibited. In DSM-5, these subtypes are defined as distinct disorders: reactive attachment disorder and disinhibited social engagement disorder. Both of these disorders are the result of social neglect or other situations that limit a young child’s opportunity to form selective attachments. Although sharing this etiological pathway, the two disorders differ in important ways. Because of dampened positive affect, reactive attachment disorder more closely resembles internalizing disorders; it is essentially equivalent to a lack of or incompletely formed preferred attachments to caregiving adults. In contrast, disinhibited social engagement disorder more closely resembles ADHD; it may occur in children who do not necessarily lack attachments and may have established or even secure attachments. The two disorders differ in other important ways, including correlates, course, and response to intervention, and for these reasons are considered separate disorders.

Dissociative Disorders

Major changes in dissociative disorders in DSM-5 include the following: 1) derealization is included in the name and symptom structure of what previously was called depersonalization disorder and is now called *depersonalization/derealization disorder*, 2) dissociative fugue is now a specifier of dissociative amnesia rather than a separate diagnosis, and 3) the criteria for dissociative identity disorder have been changed to indicate that symptoms of disruption of identity may be reported as well as observed, and that gaps in the recall of events may occur for everyday and not just traumatic events. Also, experiences of pathological possession in some cultures are included in the description of identity disruption.

Dissociative Identity Disorder

Several changes to the criteria for dissociative identity disorder have been made in DSM-5. First, Criterion A has been expanded to include certain possession-form phenomena and functional neurological symptoms to account for more diverse presentations of the disorder. Second, Criterion A now specifically states that transitions in identity may be observable by others or self-reported. Third, according to Criterion B, individuals with dissociative identity disorder may have recurrent gaps in recall for everyday events, not just for traumatic experiences. Other text modifications clarify the nature and course of identity disruptions.

Somatic Symptom and Related Disorders

In DSM-5, somatoform disorders are now referred to as somatic symptom and related disorders. In DSM-IV, there was significant overlap across the somatoform disorders and a lack of clarity about their boundaries. These disorders are primarily seen in medical settings, and nonpsychiatric physicians found the DSM-IV somatoform diagnoses problematic to use. The DSM-5 classification reduces the number of these disorders and subcategories to avoid problematic overlap. Diagnoses of somatization disorder, hypochondriasis, pain disorder, and undifferentiated somatoform disorder have been removed.

Somatic Symptom Disorder

DSM-5 better recognizes the complexity of the interface between psychiatry and medicine. Individuals with somatic symptoms plus abnormal thoughts, feelings, and behaviors *may or may not* have a diagnosed medical condition. The relationship between somatic symptoms and psychopathology exists along a spectrum, and the arbitrarily high symptom count required for DSM-IV somatization disorder did not accommodate this spectrum. The diagnosis of somatization disorder was essentially based on a long and complex symptom count of medically unexplained symptoms. Individuals previously diagnosed with somatization disorder will usually meet DSM-5 criteria for somatic symptom disorder, but only if they have the maladaptive thoughts, feelings, and behaviors that define the disorder, in addition to their somatic symptoms.

In DSM-IV, the diagnosis undifferentiated somatoform disorder had been created in recognition that somatization disorder would only describe a small minority of “somatizing” individuals, but this disorder did not prove to be a useful clinical diagnosis. Because the distinction between somatization disorder and undifferentiated somatoform disorder was arbitrary, they are merged in DSM-5 under somatic symptom disorder, and no specific number of somatic symptoms is required.

Medically Unexplained Symptoms

DSM-IV criteria overemphasized the importance of an absence of a medical explanation for the somatic symptoms. Unexplained symptoms are present to various degrees, particularly in conversion disorder,

but somatic symptom disorders can also accompany diagnosed medical disorders. The reliability of medically unexplained symptoms is limited, and grounding a diagnosis on the absence of an explanation is problematic and reinforces mind-body dualism. The DSM-5 classification defines disorders on the basis of positive symptoms (i.e., distressing somatic symptoms plus abnormal thoughts, feelings, and behaviors in response to these symptoms). Medically unexplained symptoms do remain a key feature in conversion disorder and pseudocyesis because it is possible to demonstrate definitively in such disorders that the symptoms are not consistent with medical pathophysiology.

Hypochondriasis and Illness Anxiety Disorder

Hypochondriasis has been eliminated as a disorder, in part because the name was perceived as pejorative and not conducive to an effective therapeutic relationship. Most individuals who would previously have been diagnosed with hypochondriasis have significant somatic symptoms in addition to their high health anxiety, and would now receive a DSM-5 diagnosis of somatic symptom disorder. In DSM-5, individuals with high health anxiety without somatic symptoms would receive a diagnosis of illness anxiety disorder (unless their health anxiety was better explained by a primary anxiety disorder, such as generalized anxiety disorder).

Pain Disorder

DSM-5 takes a different approach to the important clinical realm of individuals with pain. In DSM-IV, the pain disorder diagnoses assume that some pains are associated solely with psychological factors, some with medical diseases or injuries, and some with both. There is a lack of evidence that such distinctions can be made with reliability and validity, and a large body of research has demonstrated that psychological factors influence all forms of pain. Most individuals with chronic pain attribute their pain to a combination of factors, including somatic, psychological, and environmental influences. In DSM-5, some individuals with chronic pain would be appropriately diagnosed as having somatic symptom disorder, with predominant pain. For others, psychological factors affecting other medical conditions or an adjustment disorder would be more appropriate.

Psychological Factors Affecting Other Medical Conditions and Factitious Disorder

Psychological factors affecting other medical conditions is a new mental disorder in DSM-5, having formerly been included in the DSM-IV chapter “Other Conditions That May Be a Focus of Clinical Attention.” This disorder and factitious disorder are placed among the somatic symptom and related disorders because somatic symptoms are predominant in both disorders, and both are most often encountered in medical settings. The variants of psychological factors affecting other medical conditions are removed in favor of the stem diagnosis.

Conversion Disorder (Functional Neurological Symptom Disorder)

Criteria for conversion disorder (functional neurological symptom disorder) are modified to emphasize the essential importance of the neurological examination, and in recognition that relevant psychological factors may not be demonstrable at the time of diagnosis.

Feeding and Eating Disorders

In DSM-5, the feeding and eating disorders include several disorders included in DSM-IV as feeding and eating disorders of infancy or early childhood in the chapter “Disorders Usually First Diagnosed in Infancy, Childhood, or Adolescence.” In addition, brief descriptions and preliminary diagnostic criteria are provided for several conditions under other specified feeding and eating disorder; insufficient informa-

tion about these conditions is currently available to document their clinical characteristics and validity or to provide definitive diagnostic criteria.

Pica and Rumination Disorder

The DSM-IV criteria for pica and for rumination disorder have been revised for clarity and to indicate that the diagnoses can be made for individuals of any age.

Avoidant/Restrictive Food Intake Disorder

DSM-IV feeding disorder of infancy or early childhood has been renamed avoidant/restrictive food intake disorder, and the criteria have been significantly expanded. The DSM-IV disorder was rarely used, and limited information is available on the characteristics, course, and outcome of children with this disorder. Additionally, a large number of individuals, primarily but not exclusively children and adolescents, substantially restrict their food intake and experience significant associated physiological or psychosocial problems but do not meet criteria for any DSM-IV eating disorder. Avoidant/restrictive food intake disorder is a broad category intended to capture this range of presentations.

Anorexia Nervosa

The core diagnostic criteria for anorexia nervosa are conceptually unchanged from DSM-IV with one exception: the requirement for amenorrhea has been eliminated. In DSM-IV, this requirement was waived in a number of situations (e.g., for males, for females taking contraceptives). In addition, the clinical characteristics and course of females meeting all DSM-IV criteria for anorexia nervosa except amenorrhea closely resemble those of females meeting all DSM-IV criteria. As in DSM-IV, individuals with this disorder are required by Criterion A to be at a significantly low body weight for their developmental stage. The wording of the criterion has been changed for clarity, and guidance regarding how to judge whether an individual is at or below a significantly low weight is now provided in the text. In DSM-5, Criterion B is expanded to include not only overtly expressed fear of weight gain but also persistent behavior that interferes with weight gain.

Bulimia Nervosa

The only change to the DSM-IV criteria for bulimia nervosa is a reduction in the required minimum average frequency of binge eating and inappropriate compensatory behavior frequency from twice to once weekly. The clinical characteristics and outcome of individuals meeting this slightly lower threshold are similar to those meeting the DSM-IV criterion.

Binge-Eating Disorder

Extensive research followed the promulgation of preliminary criteria for binge eating disorder in Appendix B of DSM-IV, and findings supported the clinical utility and validity of binge-eating disorder. The only significant difference from the preliminary DSM-IV criteria is that the minimum average frequency of binge eating required for diagnosis has been changed from at least twice weekly for 6 months to at least once weekly over the last 3 months, which is identical to the DSM-5 frequency criterion for bulimia nervosa.

Elimination Disorders

No significant changes have been made to the elimination disorders diagnostic class from DSM-IV to DSM-5. The disorders in this chapter were previously classified under disorders usually first diagnosed in infancy, childhood, or adolescence in DSM-IV and exist now as an independent classification in DSM-5.

Sleep-Wake Disorders

Because of the DSM-5 mandate for concurrent specification of coexisting conditions (medical and mental), sleep disorders related to another mental disorder and sleep disorder related to a general medical condition have been removed from DSM-5, and greater specification of coexisting conditions is provided for each sleep-wake disorder. This change underscores that the individual has a sleep disorder warranting independent clinical attention, in addition to any medical and mental disorders that are also present, and acknowledges the bidirectional and interactive effects between sleep disorders and coexisting medical and mental disorders. This reconceptualization reflects a paradigm shift that is widely accepted in the field of sleep disorders medicine. It moves away from making causal attributions between coexisting disorders. Any additional relevant information from the prior diagnostic categories of sleep disorder related to another mental disorder and sleep disorder related to another medical condition has been integrated into the other sleep-wake disorders where appropriate.

Consequently, in DSM-5, the diagnosis of primary insomnia has been renamed insomnia disorder to avoid the differentiation of primary and secondary insomnia. DSM-5 also distinguishes narcolepsy, which is now known to be associated with hypocretin deficiency, from other forms of hypersomnolence. These changes are warranted by neurobiological and genetic evidence validating this reorganization. Finally, throughout the DSM-5 classification of sleep-wake disorders, pediatric and developmental criteria and text are integrated where existing science and considerations of clinical utility support such integration. This developmental perspective encompasses age-dependent variations in clinical presentation.

Breathing-Related Sleep Disorders

In DSM-5, breathing-related sleep disorders are divided into three relatively distinct disorders: obstructive sleep apnea hypopnea, central sleep apnea, and sleep-related hypoventilation. This change reflects the growing understanding of pathophysiology in the genesis of these disorders and, furthermore, has relevance to treatment planning.

Circadian Rhythm Sleep-Wake Disorders

The subtypes of circadian rhythm sleep-wake disorders have been expanded to include advanced sleep phase syndrome, irregular sleep-wake type, and non-24-hour sleep-wake type, whereas the jet lag type has been removed.

Rapid Eye Movement Sleep Behavior Disorder and Restless Legs Syndrome

The use of DSM-IV “not otherwise specified” diagnoses has been reduced by designating rapid eye movement sleep behavior disorder and restless legs syndrome as independent disorders. In DSM-IV, both were included under dyssomnia not otherwise specified. Their full diagnostic status is supported by research evidence.

Sexual Dysfunctions

In DSM-IV, sexual dysfunctions referred to sexual pain or to a disturbance in one or more phases of the sexual response cycle. Research suggests that sexual response is not always a linear, uniform process and that the distinction between certain phases (e.g., desire and arousal) may be artificial. In DSM-5, gender-specific sexual dysfunctions have been added, and, for females, sexual desire and arousal disorders have been combined into one disorder: female sexual interest/arousal disorder.

To improve precision regarding duration and severity criteria and to reduce the likelihood of overdiag-

nosis, all of the DSM-5 sexual dysfunctions (except substance-/medication-induced sexual dysfunction) now require a minimum duration of approximately 6 months and more precise severity criteria. These changes provide useful thresholds for making a diagnosis and distinguish transient sexual difficulties from more persistent sexual dysfunction.

Genito-Pelvic Pain/Penetration Disorder

Genito-pelvic pain/penetration disorder is new in DSM-5 and represents a merging of the DSM-IV categories of vaginismus and dyspareunia, which were highly comorbid and difficult to distinguish. The diagnosis of sexual aversion disorder has been removed due to rare use and lack of supporting research.

Subtypes

DSM-IV included the following subtypes for all sexual disorders: lifelong versus acquired, generalized versus situational, and due to psychological factors versus due to combined factors. DSM-5 includes only lifelong versus acquired and generalized versus situational subtypes. Sexual dysfunction due to a general medical condition and the subtype due to psychological versus combined factors have been deleted due to findings that the most frequent clinical presentation is one in which both psychological and biological factors contribute. To indicate the presence and degree of medical and other nonmedical correlates, the following associated features are described in the accompanying text: partner factors, relationship factors, individual vulnerability factors, cultural or religious factors, and medical factors.

Gender Dysphoria

Gender dysphoria is a new diagnostic class in DSM-5 and reflects a change in conceptualization of the disorder's defining features by emphasizing the phenomenon of "gender incongruence" rather than cross-gender identification per se, as was the case in DSM-IV gender identity disorder. In DSM-IV, the chapter "Sexual and Gender Identity Disorders" included three relatively disparate diagnostic classes: gender identity disorders, sexual dysfunctions, and paraphilias. Gender identity disorder, however, is neither a sexual dysfunction nor a paraphilia. Gender dysphoria is a unique condition in that it is a diagnosis made by mental health care providers, although a large proportion of the treatment is endocrinological and surgical (at least for some adolescents and most adults). In contrast to the dichotomized DSM-IV gender identity disorder diagnosis, the type and severity of gender dysphoria can be inferred from the number and type of indicators and from the severity measures.

The experienced gender incongruence and resulting gender dysphoria may take many forms. Gender dysphoria thus is considered to be a multicategory concept rather than a dichotomy, and DSM-5 acknowledges the wide variation of gender -incongruent conditions. Separate criteria sets are provided for gender dysphoria in children and in adolescents and adults. The adolescent and adult criteria include a more detailed and specific set of polythetic symptoms. The previous Criterion A (cross-gender identification) and Criterion B (aversion toward one's gender) have been merged, because no supporting evidence from factor analytic studies supported keeping the two separate. In the wording of the criteria, "the other sex" is replaced by "some alternative gender." Gender instead of sex is used systematically because the concept "sex" is inadequate when referring to individuals with a disorder of sex development.

In the child criteria, "strong desire to be of the other gender" replaces the previous "repeatedly stated desire" to capture the situation of some children who, in a coercive environment, may not verbalize the desire to be of another gender. For children, Criterion A1 ("a strong desire to be of the other gender or

an insistence that he or she is the other gender . . .)” is now necessary (but not sufficient), which makes the diagnosis more restrictive and conservative.

Subtypes and Specifiers

The subtyping on the basis of sexual orientation has been removed because the distinction is not considered clinically useful. A posttransition specifier has been added because many individuals, after transition, no longer meet criteria for gender dysphoria; however, they continue to undergo various treatments to facilitate life in the desired gender. Although the concept of posttransition is modeled on the concept of full or partial remission, the term remission has implications in terms of symptom reduction that do not apply directly to gender dysphoria.

Disruptive, Impulse-Control, and Conduct Disorders

The chapter on disruptive, impulse-control, and conduct disorders is new to DSM-5. It brings together disorders that were previously included in the chapter “Disorders Usually First Diagnosed in Infancy, Childhood, or Adolescence” (i.e., oppositional defiant disorder; conduct disorder; and disruptive behavior disorder not otherwise specified, now categorized as other specified and unspecified disruptive, impulse-control, and conduct disorders) and the chapter “Impulse-Control Disorders Not Otherwise Specified” (i.e., intermittent explosive disorder, pyromania, and kleptomania). These disorders are all characterized by problems in emotional and behavioral self-control. Because of its close association with conduct disorder, antisocial personality disorder has dual listing in this chapter and in the chapter on personality disorders. Of note, ADHD is frequently comorbid with the disorders in this chapter but is listed with the neurodevelopmental disorders.

Oppositional Defiant Disorder

Four refinements have been made to the criteria for oppositional defiant disorder. First, symptoms are now grouped into three types: angry/irritable mood, argumentative/defiant behavior, and vindictiveness. This change highlights that the disorder reflects both emotional and behavioral symptomatology. Second, the exclusion criterion for conduct disorder has been removed. Third, given that many behaviors associated with symptoms of oppositional defiant disorder occur commonly in normally developing children and adolescents, a note has been added to the criteria to provide guidance on the frequency typically needed for a behavior to be considered symptomatic of the disorder. Fourth, a severity rating has been added to the criteria to reflect research showing that the degree of pervasiveness of symptoms across settings is an important indicator of severity.

Conduct Disorder

The criteria for conduct disorder are largely unchanged from DSM-IV. A descriptive features specifier has been added for individuals who meet full criteria for the disorder but also present with limited prosocial emotions. This specifier applies to those with conduct disorder who show a callous and unemotional interpersonal style across multiple settings and relationships. The specifier is based on research showing that individuals with conduct disorder who meet criteria for the specifier tend to have a relatively more severe form of the disorder and a different treatment response.

Intermittent Explosive Disorder

The primary change in DSM-5 intermittent explosive disorder is the type of aggressive outbursts that should be considered: physical aggression was required in DSM-IV, whereas verbal aggression and non-destructive/noninjurious physical aggression also meet criteria in DSM-5. DSM-5 also provides more

specific criteria defining frequency needed to meet criteria and specifies that the aggressive outbursts are impulsive and/or anger based in nature, and must cause marked distress, cause impairment in occupational or interpersonal functioning, or be associated with negative financial or legal consequences. Furthermore, because of the paucity of research on this disorder in young children and the potential difficulty of distinguishing these outbursts from normal temper tantrums in young children, a minimum age of 6 years (or equivalent developmental level) is now required. Finally, especially for youth, the relationship of this disorder to other disorders (e.g., ADHD, disruptive mood dysregulation disorder) has been further clarified.

Substance-Related and Addictive Disorders

Gambling Disorder

An important departure from past diagnostic manuals is that the substance-related disorders chapter has been expanded to include gambling disorder. This change reflects the increasing and consistent evidence that some behaviors, such as gambling, activate the brain reward system with effects similar to those of drugs of abuse and that gambling disorder symptoms resemble substance use disorders to a certain extent.

Criteria and Terminology

DSM-5 does not separate the diagnoses of substance abuse and dependence as in DSM-IV. Rather, criteria are provided for substance use disorder, accompanied by criteria for intoxication, withdrawal, substance/medication-induced disorders, and unspecified substance-induced disorders, where relevant. The DSM-5 substance use disorder criteria are nearly identical to the DSM-IV substance abuse and dependence criteria combined into a single list, with two exceptions. The DSM-IV recurrent legal problems criterion for substance abuse has been deleted from DSM-5, and a new criterion, craving or a strong desire or urge to use a substance, has been added. In addition, the threshold for substance use disorder diagnosis in DSM-5 is set at two or more criteria, in contrast to a threshold of one or more criteria for a diagnosis of DSM-IV substance abuse and three or more for DSM-IV substance dependence. Cannabis withdrawal is new for DSM-5, as is caffeine withdrawal (which was in DSM-IV Appendix B, “Criteria Sets and Axes Provided for Further Study”). Of note, the criteria for DSM-5 tobacco use disorder are the same as those for other substance use disorders. By contrast, DSM-IV did not have a category for tobacco abuse, so the criteria in DSM-5 that are from DSM-IV abuse are new for tobacco in DSM-5. Severity of the DSM-5 substance use disorders is based on the number of criteria endorsed: 2–3 criteria indicate a mild disorder; 4–5 criteria, a moderate disorder; and 6 or more, a severe disorder. The DSM-IV specifier for a physiological subtype has been eliminated in DSM-5, as has the DSM-IV diagnosis of polysubstance dependence. Early remission from a DSM-5 substance use disorder is defined as at least 3 but less than 12 months without substance use disorder criteria (except craving), and sustained remission is defined as at least 12 months without criteria (except craving). Additional new DSM-5 specifiers include “in a controlled environment” and “on maintenance therapy” as the situation warrants.

Neurocognitive Disorders

Delirium

The criteria for delirium have been updated and clarified on the basis of currently available evidence.

Major and Mild Neurocognitive Disorder

The DSM-IV diagnoses of dementia and amnesic disorder are subsumed under the newly named entity

major neurocognitive disorder (NCD). The term dementia is not precluded from use in the etiological subtypes where that term is standard. Furthermore, DSM-5 now recognizes a less severe level of cognitive impairment, mild NCD, which is a new disorder that permits the diagnosis of less disabling syndromes that may nonetheless be the focus of concern and treatment. Diagnostic criteria are provided for both major NCD and mild NCD, followed by diagnostic criteria for the different etiological subtypes. An updated listing of neurocognitive domains is also provided in DSM-5, as these are necessary for establishing the presence of NCD, distinguishing between the major and mild levels of impairment, and differentiating among etiological subtypes.

Although the threshold between mild NCD and major NCD is inherently arbitrary, there are important reasons to consider these two levels of impairment separately. The major NCD syndrome provides consistency with the rest of medicine and with prior DSM editions and necessarily remains distinct to capture the care needs for this group. Although the mild NCD syndrome is new to DSM-5, its presence is consistent with its use in other fields of medicine, where it is a significant focus of care and research, notably in individuals with Alzheimer's disease, cerebrovascular disorders, HIV, and traumatic brain injury.

Etiological Subtypes

In DSM-IV, individual criteria sets were designated for dementia of the Alzheimer's type, vascular dementia, and substance-induced dementia, whereas the other neurodegenerative disorders were classified as dementia due to another medical condition, with HIV, head trauma, Parkinson's disease, Huntington's disease, Pick's disease, Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease, and other medical conditions specified. In DSM-5, major or mild vascular NCD and major or mild NCD due to Alzheimer's disease have been retained, whereas new separate criteria are now presented for major or mild NCD due to frontotemporal NCD, Lewy bodies, traumatic brain injury, Parkinson's disease, HIV infection, Huntington's disease, prion disease, another medical condition, and multiple etiologies. Substance/medication-induced NCD and unspecified NCD are also included as diagnoses.

Personality Disorders

The criteria for personality disorders in Section II of DSM-5 have not changed from those in DSM-IV. An alternative approach to the diagnosis of personality disorders was developed for DSM-5 for further study and can be found in Section III. For the general criteria for personality disorder presented in Section III, a revised personality functioning criterion (Criterion A) has been developed based on a literature review of reliable clinical measures of core impairments central to personality pathology. Furthermore, the moderate level of impairment in personality functioning required for a personality disorder diagnosis in DSM-5 Section III was set empirically to maximize the ability of clinicians to identify personality disorder pathology accurately and efficiently. With a single assessment of level of personality functioning, a clinician can determine whether a full assessment for personality disorder is necessary. The diagnostic criteria for specific DSM-5 personality disorders in the alternative model are consistently defined across disorders by typical impairments in personality functioning and by characteristic pathological personality traits that have been empirically determined to be related to the personality disorders they represent. Diagnostic thresholds for both Criterion A and Criterion B have been set empirically to minimize change in disorder prevalence and overlap with other personality disorders and to maximize relations with psychosocial impairment. A diagnosis of personality disorder—trait specified, based on moderate or greater impairment in personality functioning and the presence of pathological personality traits, replaces personality disorder not otherwise specified and provides a much more

informative diagnosis for patients who are not optimally described as having a specific personality disorder. A greater emphasis on personality functioning and trait-based criteria increases the stability and empirical bases of the disorders.

Personality functioning and personality traits also can be assessed whether or not an individual has a personality disorder, providing clinically useful information about all patients. The DSM-5 Section III approach provides a clear conceptual basis for all personality disorder pathology and an efficient assessment approach with considerable clinical utility.

Paraphilic Disorders

Specifiers

An overarching change from DSM-IV is the addition of the course specifiers “in a controlled environment” and “in remission” to the diagnostic criteria sets for all the paraphilic disorders. These specifiers are added to indicate important changes in an individual’s status. There is no expert consensus about whether a long-standing paraphilia can entirely remit, but there is less argument that consequent psychological distress, psychosocial impairment, or the propensity to do harm to others can be reduced to acceptable levels. Therefore, the “in remission” specifier has been added to indicate remission from a paraphilic disorder. The specifier is silent with regard to changes in the presence of the paraphilic interest per se. The other course specifier, “in a controlled environment,” is included because the propensity of an individual to act on paraphilic urges may be more difficult to assess objectively when the individual has no opportunity to act on such urges.

Change to Diagnostic Names

In DSM-5, paraphilias are not ipso facto mental disorders. There is a distinction between paraphilias and paraphilic disorders. A paraphilic disorder is a paraphilia that is currently causing distress or impairment to the individual or a paraphilia whose satisfaction has entailed personal harm, or risk of harm, to others. A paraphilia is a necessary but not a sufficient condition for having a paraphilic disorder, and a paraphilia by itself does not automatically justify or require clinical intervention.

The distinction between paraphilias and paraphilic disorders was implemented without making any changes to the basic structure of the diagnostic criteria as they had existed since DSM-III-R. In the diagnostic criteria set for each of the listed paraphilic disorders, Criterion A specifies the qualitative nature of the paraphilia (e.g., an erotic focus on children or on exposing the genitals to strangers), and Criterion B specifies the negative consequences of the paraphilia (distress, impairment, or harm—or risk of harm—to others).

The change for DSM-5 is that individuals who meet both Criterion A and Criterion B would now be diagnosed as having a paraphilic disorder. A diagnosis would not be given to individuals whose symptoms meet Criterion A but not Criterion B—that is, to those individuals who have a paraphilia but not a paraphilic disorder.

The distinction between paraphilias and paraphilic disorders is one of the changes from DSM-IV that applies to all atypical erotic interests. This approach leaves intact the distinction between normative and nonnormative sexual behavior, which could be important to researchers or to persons who have nonnormative sexual preferences, but without automatically labeling nonnormative sexual behavior as

psychopathological. This change in viewpoint is reflected in the diagnostic criteria sets by the addition of the word disorder to all the paraphilias. Thus, for example, DSM-IV pedophilia has become DSM-5 pedophilic disorder.

DSM is the manual used by clinicians and researchers to diagnose and classify mental disorders. The American Psychiatric Association (APA) will publish DSM-5 in 2013, culminating a 14-year revision process. For more information, go to www.DSM5.org.

APA is a national medical specialty society whose more than 36,000 physician members specialize in the diagnosis, treatment, prevention and research of mental illnesses, including substance use disorders. Visit the APA at www.psychiatry.org. For more information, please contact Eve Herold at 703-907-8640 or press@psych.org.

© 2013 American Psychiatric Association

